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Abstract

A search for the lightest neutral CP-even and the neutral CP-odd Higgs bosons of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model is performed using 233.2 pb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity collected with the L3 detector at LEP at centre-of-mass energies 192 – 202 GeV. No signal is observed and lower mass limits are given as a function of $\tan\beta$ for two scalar top mixing hypotheses. For $\tan\beta$ greater than 0.8, they are $m_h > 83.4$ GeV and $m_A > 83.8$ GeV at 95% confidence level.
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1 Introduction

The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [1] requires two Higgs doublets. This gives rise to five Higgs bosons: a charged scalar pair, two neutral CP-even, the lightest of which is called h, and a neutral CP-odd, A. The two most important production mechanisms in $e^+e^-$ collisions are:

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow hZ$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
$$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow hA.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The cross section of the process (1) is smaller than that for the similar production of the Higgs boson in the Standard Model. This process is dominant at low values of $\tan\beta$ ($\tan\beta \lesssim 5$), where $\tan\beta$ is the ratio of the two Higgs vacuum expectation values. The pair-production of Higgs bosons (2) takes over at high values of $\tan\beta$.

Previous searches for the h and A bosons were reported by L3 [2] and other experiments [3]. In this paper, we present the results of the search for the h and A bosons using the data collected with the L3 detector [4] at centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 191.6 - 201.7$ GeV, corresponding to 233.2 pb$^{-1}$ of total integrated luminosity. The sensitivity to the production of MSSM neutral Higgs bosons is improved by combining the results of these analyses with our previous searches.

2 Data and Monte Carlo Samples

In 1999 the L3 detector collected data at LEP at average centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 191.6$ GeV, 195.5 GeV, 199.5 GeV and 201.7 GeV, corresponding to the integrated luminosities 29.7 pb$^{-1}$, 83.7 pb$^{-1}$, 82.8 pb$^{-1}$ and 37.0 pb$^{-1}$ respectively.

The cross sections of processes (1) and (2) and the decay branching ratios of h and A are calculated using the HZHA generator [5]. For efficiency studies, Monte Carlo samples of Higgs events are generated using PYTHIA [6] and HZHA. 2000 Monte Carlo events are generated for each mass hypothesis. For hA samples the masses, $m_h$ and $m_A$, of the h and A bosons range from 50 to 95 GeV in steps of 5 GeV. For hZ samples $m_h$ is chosen in steps of 5 GeV from 50 to 95 GeV and in steps of 1 GeV from 95 to 110 GeV. For the background studies, the following Monte Carlo programs are used: PYTHIA ($e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}(\gamma)$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\ell^+\ell^-$), KORALW [7] ($e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$), KORALZ [8] ($e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$). Hadron production in two-photon interactions is simulated with PYTHIA and PHOJET [9]. EXCALIBUR [10] is used for other four fermion final states. The number of simulated background events for the most important background channels is more than 100 times the corresponding number of expected events.

The L3 detector response is simulated using the GEANT program [11], which models the effects of energy loss, multiple scattering and showering in the detector. The GHEISHA program [12] is used to simulate hadronic interactions in the detector. Time dependent inefficiencies are also taken into account.

3 Event Selection

For the hA production, the following decay modes are considered: hA $\rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$, hA $\rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ and hA $\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-b\bar{b}$. In the case of hZ, four event topologies covering approximately 98% of possible final states, are considered: $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$, $q\bar{q}\nu\bar{\nu}$, $q\bar{q}l^+l^-$ ($l = e, \mu, \tau$) and $\tau^+\tau^-q\bar{q}$. The searches
in channels with hadronic decays of the h boson are optimised for the dominant \( h \to b\bar{b} \) decay channel. The analyses \( q\bar{q}\nu\bar{\nu} \) and \( q\bar{q}l^+l^- (l = e, \mu) \) are the same as devised for the Standard Model Higgs search [13].

A common selection is applied to both the hA and hZ searches. In the four-jet channel, it mainly reduces the two-photon interaction background while keeping the signal efficiency high, then a neural network is used to build a discriminating variable. In the tau channel, first a selection is devised, then an optimal variable based on a likelihood approach is defined.

### 3.1 hA → b\bar{b}b\bar{b} and hZ → b\bar{b}q\bar{q} Selection

The signature of both the hA → b\bar{b}b\bar{b} and hZ → b\bar{b}q\bar{q} final states is four hadronic jets and the presence of b-hadrons. The dominant backgrounds come from \( q\bar{q}(\gamma) \) production and hadronic decays of W and Z pairs.

High multiplicity events are selected and their visible energy, \( E_{\text{vis}} \), is required to be greater than \( 0.6\sqrt{s} \) and less than \( 1.4\sqrt{s} \). Events with perpendicular imbalance greater than \( 0.35E_{\text{vis}} \) or with a lepton whose energy exceeds 65 GeV are rejected to suppress semileptonic W pair decays. Initial state radiation events are further suppressed by requiring \( P_L^{\text{mis}}/(m_{\text{vis}}-m_Z) < 0.4 \), where \( P_L^{\text{mis}} \) is the longitudinal component of the missing momentum, \( m_{\text{vis}} \) is the visible mass and \( m_Z \) the Z boson mass. The remaining events are then forced into four jets using the DURHAM algorithm [14] and a kinematic fit requiring energy and momentum conservation (4C) is performed. The number of expected and observed events in the data, together with the signal efficiencies for the selection cuts are listed in Table 1.

After the selection, discriminating variables are combined into a feed forward neural network [15], with one hidden layer and three output nodes. The inputs include the probability that the jets contain b-quarks [16], hereafter termed BTag, event shape variables and mass information. The information on the event shape includes the event sphericity, the value of the DURHAM jet resolution parameter for which the event is resolved from three to four jets, the longitudinal component of the missing momentum and the event thrust. The mass information is summarised in a \( \chi^2 \) variable defined as:

\[
\chi^2(m_X, m_h) = (\Sigma_{ij}^{\text{min}} - (m_X + m_h))^2w_\Sigma + (\Delta_{ij}^{\text{min}} - |m_X - m_h|)^2w_\Delta, \tag{3}
\]

where \( m_X \) is either \( m_Z \) or \( m_A \). The pairing used gives the minimum value for the difference squared \( (\Delta_{ij} - |m_X - m_h|)^2 \) between the measured and expected dijet mass differences; \( \Sigma_{ij}^{\text{min}} \) is the corresponding sum of the dijet masses. The weights, \( w_\Sigma \) and \( w_\Delta \), are derived from the mass resolutions, and their ratio is 3/5. In this way, the shape of the neural network output is made almost independent of the mass hypothesis. The polar angle of the Higgs boson, \( \Theta \), is also used as input for the hA analysis. It gives additional separation between the hA signal and W^+W^- background, due to the different spins of the W and the Higgs bosons. The distributions of the discriminating input variables are shown in Figure 1.

The Neural Network has three output variables which correspond to the signal, \( O_{\text{Higgs}} \), the \( q\bar{q}(\gamma) \) final state, \( O_{qq} \), and the W^+W^- final state hypotheses, \( O_{WW} \). The discriminating variable is then obtained from the combination \( NN = O_{\text{Higgs}} \times (1 - O_{qq}) \times (1 - O_{WW}) \). Two different neural networks are used for the hA and hZ analyses, and the events are classified as hA or hZ according to the largest value of the discriminating variable. The neural network for the hA is optimised for \( m_h = 100 \text{ GeV} \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 192 - 196 \text{ GeV} \) and \( m_h = 105 \text{ GeV} \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 200 - 202 \text{ GeV} \). For the hZ search, the neural network is optimised for \( m_h = m_A = 85 \text{ GeV} \). Examples of the discriminating variable distributions of the two analyses are shown in Figure 2. Good agreement
is observed between the data and the expected background. An independent analysis based on a likelihood approach [17] validates the present results.

The highest signal sensitivity region corresponds to large values of $NN$. For illustrative purposes, in Table 1 we report the number of the observed and expected events selected after the cuts $NN > 0.9$ for the hA analysis, and $NN > 0.5$ for the hZ analysis. The mass distributions for the hA search after the cut $NN > 0.9$ is shown in Figure 3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Selection $NN_{hA} &gt; 0.9$</th>
<th>$NN_{hA} &gt; 0.5$</th>
<th>Selection $NN_{hA} &gt; 0.9$</th>
<th>$NN_{hA} &gt; 0.5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>433.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1197.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qq</td>
<td>201.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>531.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W$^+$W$^-$</td>
<td>217.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>622.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_{hA}$</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td>95.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_{hZ}$</td>
<td>93.7%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>93.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Number of events observed and expected in the four-jet channels, after the selection and after cuts on the discriminating variables, $NN\_hA$ and $NN\_hZ$. The hA signal efficiencies are quoted for $m_A = m_h = 85$ GeV. The hZ signal efficiencies correspond to $m_h = 100$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 192 - 196$ GeV and $m_h = 105$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 200 - 202$ GeV.

### 3.2 hA → $b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$, hZ → $b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ and hZ → $\tau^+\tau^-$q$q̄$ Selections

The signatures of hA → $b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ and hZ → $b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ or hZ → $\tau^+\tau^-$q$q̄$ events are a pair of taus accompanied by two hadronic jets. For each of the channels hA and hZ an analysis is optimised based either on the tau identification or on the event topology by requiring four jets with two of them being narrow and of low multiplicity. The main background results from W-pair decays containing taus.

The hZ analysis is similar to the one described in detail in Reference 18. The hA selection is optimised for lower Higgs masses by omitting the cuts on the opening angles of the jets and tau pairs and on the invariant mass of the tau pair, $m_{\tau\tau}$. The invariant mass of the hadronic jets, $m_{qq}$, must be between 5 GeV and 125 GeV. The ratio of the sum of the energies of the tau decay products over the sum of the energies of the jets is required to be less than one and the value of the missing momentum vector in the rest frame of the Higgs must be less than 1)

1) Both the decay modes (h → bb, A → $\tau^+\tau^-$) and (h → $\tau^+\tau^-$, A → bb) are considered.
40 GeV. Finally, the cosine of the polar angle of the Higgs boson, |cos Θ|, has to be less than 0.8. The number of events observed, the number expected from background processes, and the signal efficiency for the two selections are listed in Table 2.

For each event class $j$ ($ZZ$, $W^+W^-$, $q\bar{q}$, $Ze^+e^-$, $hA$, $hZ$), a probability function, $f_j^i$, is constructed, where $i$ denotes the variables considered. These are the $B_{Tag}$ for each hadronic jet, $m_{qq}$ and $m_{\tau\tau}$. They are presented in Figure 4. The probability, $p_j^i$, of an event to belong to class $j$, based on the value of the variable $i$, is then defined as

$$p_j^i = \frac{f_j^i}{\sum_k f_k^i}.$$  \hfill (4)

Finally, the probabilities for the individual variables are combined by calculating the likelihood that the event belongs to the either signal class:

$$F_{hA} = \frac{\prod_i p_{hA}^i}{\sum_k \prod_i p_k^i}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{hZ} = \frac{\prod_i p_{hZ}^i}{\sum_k \prod_i p_k^i}. \hfill (5)$$

Events retained by both the $hA$ and the $hZ$ selections, are classified according to highest value of the likelihood, as $hA$ or $hZ$ candidates. An example of the distribution of the discriminating variable for the $hA$ search is shown in Figure 5. Good agreement between the observed data and the expected background is found. The mass distribution for the $hA$ search after an additional cut on the $B_{Tag}$ is shown in Figure 3b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy (GeV)</th>
<th>192</th>
<th>196</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$hA$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$hZ$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$hA$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$hZ$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Number of events observed and expected in the tau selection. Efficiencies for the $hA$ signal are quoted for $m_A = m_h = 85$ GeV. For the $hZ$ signal, they are quoted for $m_h = 100$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 192 - 196$ GeV and for $m_h = 105$ GeV at $\sqrt{s} = 200 - 202$ GeV.

## 4 Results and Interpretation

A good agreement between data and expected background, both in the total number of events and in the shape of the distributions, is observed in all the channels analysed. The mass distributions of the events in the highest sensitivity region are not compatible with a signal for any mass hypothesis. Therefore no evidence of the production of the $h$ and $A$ bosons is found.
The results of the search for the hA and the hZ production are interpreted in the framework of the constrained MSSM (CMSSM) assuming unification of the scalar fermion masses, unification of the gaugino masses and unification of the trilinear Higgs-fermion couplings at the GUT scale. This choice has little impact on the phenomenology of the Higgs bosons but reduces significantly the number of free parameters. The remaining free parameters are $\tan\beta$, $m_A$, the gaugino mass parameter, $M_2$, the universal scalar fermion mass, $m_0$, the common scalar quark trilinear coupling, $A$, and the Higgs mixing parameter, $\mu$.

Two benchmark scenarios [19] are considered. In the first one, termed “maximal mixing”, the CMSSM parameters are chosen such that $m_h$ acquires its maximal value for any given value of $m_A$ and $\tan\beta$. The second scenario corresponds to vanishing mixing in the scalar top sector and is referred to as “minimal mixing”.

The CMSSM parameters are chosen as follows: $m_0 = 1$ TeV, $\mu = -200$ GeV, $M_2 = 200$ GeV. The mass of the top quark is fixed to 175 GeV. The maximal mixing scenario is realised at $X_t = A - \mu \cot \beta = \sqrt{6}$ TeV, where $X_t$ is the parameter which controls the mixing in the scalar top sector. The minimal mixing corresponds to $X_t = 0$. Keeping these values fixed, a scan over the two remaining independent parameters, $\tan\beta$ and $m_A$, is performed in each mixing scheme in the ranges: $0.5 \leq \tan\beta \leq 30$ and $10$ GeV $\leq m_A \leq 1$ TeV.

To set exclusion limits on the CMSSM parameters, the confidence level, CL, that the expected signal is absent in the data, is calculated [20] for each point ($\tan\beta$, $m_A$) of the scan. The full distributions of the discriminating variables, $NN$ and $F$, are used in this calculation.

Systematic and statistical uncertainties on the signal and background are evaluated using the same procedure as in the Standard Model Higgs search [13]. The main sources of systematic uncertainties are detector resolution, selection procedures, theoretical uncertainties and Monte Carlo statistics. The overall systematic uncertainties are estimated to be 4% on the predictions for the expected signal and 10% for the background events. Bins of the final variables with a signal-over-background ratio in the Monte Carlo of less than 0.05 are not considered in the calculation of the CL. This cut is chosen to minimise the effect of systematic uncertainties on the average CL as calculated from a large set of Monte Carlo experiments.

The results of the MSSM Higgs search at lower $\sqrt{s}$ [2] are combined with those presented in this paper. Figure 6 shows the region of the ($\tan\beta$, $m_h$) plane and ($\tan\beta$, $m_A$) plane excluded by L3 for the maximal mixing and minimal mixing scenarios.

For the CMSSM parameters considered and assuming $\tan\beta$ greater than 0.8, this results in lower mass limits at the 95% CL of:

$$m_h > 83.4 \text{ GeV, } m_A > 83.8 \text{ GeV},$$

which compare to the median expected limits in the absence of a signal of $m_h > 85.6$ GeV and $m_A > 85.7$ GeV.

The exclusion plots for the minimal mixing scenario present a small unexcluded area in the low $\tan\beta$ region at low values of $m_A$ where the decay $h \to AA$ is allowed but is not investigated among the signatures described above.

For $0.8 < \tan\beta < 1.8$ values of $m_A$ up to 1 TeV are ruled out for any mixing scenario allowing to exclude this $\tan\beta$ region in the CMSSM, for the top mass $\lesssim 175$ GeV.
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Figure 1: Distributions of a) $B_{Tag}$, b) logarithm of the $\chi^2$ variable, c) cosine of the polar angle $\Theta$ of the Higgs boson and d) sphericity after the four-jet selection. The points represent the data at $\sqrt{s} = 192 - 202$ GeV, the open histograms are the expected Standard Model backgrounds, and the hatched histograms are the expected $hA \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ signal scaled by a factor 50, for $m_h = m_A = 85$ GeV at $\tan\beta = 30$. 
Figure 2: Distributions of the discriminating variable in the four jet channel for a) $hA \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ and b) $hZ \rightarrow b\bar{b}q\bar{q}$. The points show the data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 192 - 202$ GeV, the open histograms are the expected Standard Model backgrounds and the hatched histograms are the expected signals scaled by a factor 10. The discriminating variables are constructed assuming equal mass hypothesis $m_h = m_A = 85$ GeV at $\tan\beta = 30$ in a) and $m_h = 100$ GeV at $\tan\beta = 1$ in b).
Figure 3: Distributions of a) the sum of the reconstructed dijet masses in the $hA \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ channel after the cut $NN > 0.9$, b) the sum of the dijet and the ditau masses in the $hA \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ channel after a cut on the $B_{Tag}$. The points represent the data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 192 - 202$ GeV, the open histogram is the expected Standard Model background and the hatched histogram is the expected signal for $m_h = m_A = 85$ GeV at $\tan\beta = 30$. 
Figure 4: The distributions for the $hA \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ search of a) the B_{Tag} for the most energetic hadronic jet and b) the least energetic hadronic jet, c) the reconstructed mass for the hadronic system, and d) the reconstructed mass for the leptonic system. The points are the $\sqrt{s} = 192 - 202$ GeV data, the open histograms the expected Standard Model background and the hatched histograms the expected $hA \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ signal for $m_h = m_A = 85$ GeV at $\tan\beta = 30$. 
Figure 5: Distribution of the discriminating variable of the $hA \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ selection in the hypothesis $m_h = m_A = 85$ GeV at $\tan\beta = 30$. The points are the data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 192 - 202$ GeV, the open histogram is the expected Standard Model background and the hatched histogram is the expected signal for the $hA$ search multiplied by a factor 10.
Figure 6: Exclusion plots in the $(\tan\beta, m_h)$ and $(\tan\beta, m_A)$ planes at the 95% CL for the minimal and maximal mixing scenarios. The hatched area represents the exclusion and the crossed area is not allowed by the theory. The horizontal hatched area corresponds to $m_A < 10$ GeV and was previously excluded at LEP [21].