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A semiclassical Approach in the Linear Response Theory

M. Combescure and D. Robert

Abstract. We consider a quantum system of non-interacting fermions at temperature $T$, in the framework of linear response theory. We show that semiclassical theory is an appropriate framework to describe some of their thermodynamic properties, in particular through asymptotic expansions in $\hbar$ (Planck constant) of the dynamical susceptibilities. We show how the orbits of the classical motion in phase space manifest themselves in these expansions, in the regime where $T$ is of the order of $\hbar$.

Consider a system of non-interacting fermions confined by an external potential and in contact with an exterior reservoir at temperature $T$. Assume that a time-varying external perturbation drives the system out to, but near of, its equilibrium state. The response of this quantum system to an external time-dependent perturbation is a subject of high physical interest, which can be investigated experimentally, in particular the so-called “dynamical susceptibility”.

A complete rigorous analysis of this problem is still lacking, although recent progress is being made in the understanding of non-equilibrium statistical mechanism, and of its link with the underlying chaotic dynamics [11, 12, 18].

A semi-empirical route which has been proposed (see classical textbooks [14, 15]) consists, for small perturbation, of investigating the response function “to first order of the perturbation”, i.e. the so-called “linear response theory”. This semi-empirical route has been given a firmer foundation (see the book by Bratelli and Robinson [4]) where a link with the KMS condition is established. (See also recent progress in [18]).

In this paper we rederive, formally, the first order response function for the quantum fermionic system under study, i.e. the so-called “generalized Kubo formula” (see also [2] and investigate semiclassical expansions of it, assuming suitable “chaoticity assumptions” on the one-body underlying classical dynamics. These semiclassical expansions are developed in a similar spirit as previous studies on the “Semiclassical magnetic response for non-interacting electrons” [1, 5, 7, 10, 13, 16, 17] i.e. we exhibit a low temperature regime where the closed classical orbits of one-particle motion manifest themselves as oscillating corrections to the response function.

We shall present here the physical objects under study, and give some assumptions under which a mathematical treatment can be applied.

Consider a system of non-interacting fermions living in $\mathbb{R}^n$, each fermion being
subject to a one-body Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ which is the Weyl quantization of a classical Hamiltonian $H(q, p)$ of the form

\begin{equation}
H(q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q)
\end{equation}

with $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

\begin{equation}
V(q) \geq c_0 (1 + q^2)^{s/2}, \quad s, c_0 > 0.
\end{equation}

Under these assumptions, $\hat{H}$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its spectrum is pure point, and contained in $[0, \infty)$. Assume our system is in contact with a reservoir at temperature $T$, and chemical potential $\mu$, and define the Fermi-Dirac function, as usual:

\begin{equation}
f(x) = \left(1 + e^{\beta(x-\mu)}\right)^{-1}
\end{equation}

where $\beta = 1/kT$ ($k$ being the Boltzmann constant). Then the total number of fermions in the system is related to $T$ and $\mu$ by:

\begin{equation}
N = f(\hat{H})
\end{equation}

where the trace is taken in the operator sense in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the trace-one operator:

\begin{equation}
\hat{\rho}_\text{eq} = N^{-1} f(\hat{H})
\end{equation}

is the "equilibrium state" for the fermionic system under consideration.

Assume that this system, being in the far past prepared in the state (0.5) is submitted adiabatically to the one-body perturbed Hamiltonian

\begin{equation}
\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H} + \lambda \hat{A} F(t)
\end{equation}

where $\hat{A}$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (being the Weyl quantization of a symbol $A(q, p)$ that we shall make precise later), and $F$ is any continuous function that equals one on the positive real axis, and is integrable on the negative real axis, for example:

\begin{equation}
F(t) = e^{\eta t}, \quad t < 0 \quad F(t) = 1, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{equation}

In the "linear response theory" we try to solve the following problem : find a "density matrix" $\hat{\rho}_\text{eq}(t)$ (i.e. a trace one operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$) which, to the first order in the perturbation solves

\begin{equation}
i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = \left[\hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}\right]
\end{equation}

with "initial condition" at $t = -\infty$ being

\begin{equation}
\lim_{t \to -\infty} \hat{\rho}_\text{eq}(t) = \hat{\rho}_\text{eq}.
\end{equation}

Let $V_\lambda(t, t_0)$ be the unitary evolution operator induced by $\hat{H}(t)$ (namely solving
(0.10) \[ i\hbar \frac{dV_\lambda(t, t')}{dt} = \hat{H}(t) \ V_\lambda(t, t') \]

with \( V_\lambda(t_0, t_0) = 1 \), and let

(0.11) \[ U(t) := e^{-it\hat{H}/\hbar} . \]

We know using [19] that \( V_\lambda(t, t') \) solution of (0.10) exists under the following:

**Assumption 1**: \( \mathcal{A}(q) \) is a multiplicative function dominated by \( C(1 + q^2) \) in absolute value.

We can check that:

(0.12) \[ \hat{\rho}(t, t_0; \lambda) = V(t, t_0) \hat{\rho}_{eq} \ V(t_0, t) \]

solves (0.12) with \( \hat{\rho}(t_0) = \hat{\rho}_{eq} \).

Moreover \( \hat{\rho}(t, t_0; \lambda) \) has a limit as \( t_0 \to -\infty \), in the trace-class operator norm sense, which is called \( \hat{\rho}_{eq}(t; \lambda) \).

Then using Duhamel’s formula, we can write:

(0.13) \[ \hat{\rho}_{eq}(t; \lambda) - \hat{\rho}_{eq} = \frac{\lambda}{i\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' F(t') \{ \hat{\rho}_{eq}, \hat{A}_t \} + o(\lambda) \]

\( \hat{A}_t \) being by definition the Heisenberg observable at time \( t \) (for the evolution govern by \( \hat{H} \))

(0.14) \[ \hat{A}_t = U(t) \hat{\rho} U(t)^\dagger . \]

Equation (0.13) is the linear response formula in this framework. It implies that if \( \hat{B} \) is some self-adjoint operator that we want to measure in the “stationary” state \( \hat{\rho}_{eq}(t) \), the first order contribution in \( \lambda \) as \( \lambda \to 0 \) to the result:

(0.15) \[ J(t) = Tr \left\{ \hat{B} (\hat{\rho}_{eq}(t, \lambda) - \hat{\rho}_{eq}) \right\} \]

is of the form:

(0.16) \[ J_E(t) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' F(t') \Phi(t-t') \]

where

(0.17) \[ \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} Tr \left( \hat{B} \left[ \hat{\rho}_{eq}, \hat{A}_t \right] \right) \]

(0.18) \[ = \frac{1}{i\hbar} Tr \left( \hat{\rho}_{eq} \left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B}_{\text{ext}} \right] \right) \]

using the cyclicity of the trace.

We now take the Fourier transform, in the distributional sense of \( \Phi(t) \), called the “generalized susceptibility”:
\[
\chi_A B(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(t) e^{i\omega t} dt 
\]

Our aim is to study the semiclassical behaviour of \( \chi_A B \) in distributional sense as \( T \to 0 \). Setting:

\[
\sigma = \beta h
\]

and

\[
f_\sigma(x) = (1 + e^{\sigma x})^{-1}
\]

and given any real \( g \) in the Schwartz class we have formally:

\[
\int \chi_A B(\omega)|g(\omega)|d\omega = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Tr \left( f_\sigma \left( \frac{H - \mu}{\hbar} \right) \left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B}_t \right] \right) \tilde{g}(t)dt
\]

In order to avoid the singularity in \( x = 0 \) of \( \tilde{f}_\sigma(x) \), (\( \tilde{f}_\sigma \) being the Fourier Transform of \( f_\sigma \)) we replace \( f_\sigma \) by:

\[
f_\sigma, \eta = f_\sigma \ast \eta
\]

with \( \eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) and therefore study a corresponding "regularized susceptibility", or in other words a distribution \( \chi_A B(s, \omega) \) in two variables \( s \) and \( \omega \) in \( \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2) \) such that (0.22) is replaced by:

\[
\int \int \chi_A B(s, \omega)|\tilde{\eta}(s)|g(\omega)|d\omega|ds = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int \int Tr \left( e^{is(H - \mu)/\hbar} \left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B}_t \right] \right) \tilde{f}_\sigma(s)\tilde{\eta}(s)\tilde{g}(t)d\omega|dsdt
\]

Let \( \phi^s \) be the classical flow induced by Hamiltonian (0.1). Consider \( \Sigma_\mu \) the energy surface conserved by the flow:

\[
\Sigma_\mu = \{(q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : H(q, p) = \mu \}
\]

We call \( d\Sigma_\mu \) the Liouville measure on \( \Sigma_\mu \), so that the correlation of classical observables \( A \) and \( B \) on \( \Sigma_\mu \) is defined by:

\[
C_{A, B}(t) = \int_{\Sigma_\mu} ABd\Sigma_\mu
\]

where \( B_t(z) = B[\phi^t(z)] \). Moreover if \( \gamma \) is any periodic orbit on \( \Sigma_\mu \) , and \( \gamma^s \) the corresponding primitive orbit, with period \( T_{\gamma^s} \), we introduce the correlation function:

\[
c_{\gamma^s}(t) = \int_0^{T_{\gamma^s}} A_s(q, p) B_{s+t}(q, p)ds \quad (q, p) \in \gamma^s
\]

\( c_{\gamma^s} \) being \( T_{\gamma^s} \)-periodic it admits the Fourier-series expansion:
\[(0.28)\]
\[
c_{\gamma}(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} c_{\gamma, k}e^{2\pi i k t / T}\]

To each $\gamma$ is associated a corresponding "linearized Poincaré map" called $P_{\gamma}$, a classical action along $\gamma$ called $S_{\gamma}$, and a Maslov index $\nu_\gamma$ (see [6]). Let us assume that $\phi^t$ on $\Sigma_{\mu}$ satisfies the:

**Gutzwiller Assumption:**

**Assumption 2** The periodic orbits $\gamma$ are non-degenerate, i.e., the Poincaré maps do not have 1 as eigenvalue (which implies that they are isolated).

Moreover $B$ has to obey:

**Assumption 3**

\[
|\partial_\alpha^a \partial_\beta^b B(q, p)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \quad |\alpha| + |\beta| \geq 2
\]

\[
|\partial_\alpha^a V| \leq C_\alpha \quad |\alpha| \geq 2
\]

Our result is as follows:

**Theorem 0.1.** Under Assumptions 1, 2, 3, we have, in distributional sense in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$:

\[
\chi_{A,B}(s, \omega) \sim -i\hbar^{-n} \delta_0(s) \otimes \overline{C^*_{A,B}(\omega)} + \sum_{j \geq 1} \hbar^{j-n} \mu_j(s, \omega)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\gamma: T_\gamma \neq 0} \frac{\pi e^{i(S_\gamma / \hbar + \nu_\gamma / 2)}}{\hbar^2 \sinh (\pi T_\gamma / \sigma) |\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}} \left( \delta_{T_\gamma}(s) \otimes \sum_k c_{\gamma, k} \delta_0(\omega - \frac{2k\pi}{T_\gamma}) + \sum_{j \geq 1} \hbar^{j} \nu_{j, \gamma}(s, \omega) \right)
\]

where $\mu_j$ and $\nu_{j, \gamma}$ are distributions in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\text{Supp}(\mu_j) \subseteq \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\text{Supp}(\nu_{j, \gamma}) \subseteq \{T_\gamma\} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: The complete proof is given in [8]. The idea is to develop the trace as an integral over coherent states in $\mathcal{H}$, and to obtain the asymptotic expansions in $\hbar$ by stationary phase theorems as in [6].
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