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We report the detection of pulsed \( \gamma \)-rays for PSRs J0631+1036, J0659+1414, J0742–2822, J1420–6048, J1509–5850, and J1718–3825 using the Large Area Telescope on board the \textit{Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope} (formerly known as \textit{GLAST}). Although these six pulsars are diverse in terms of their spin parameters, they share an important feature: their \( \gamma \)-ray light curves are (at least given the current count statistics) single peaked. For two pulsars, there are hints for a double-peaked structure in the light curves. The shapes of the observed light curves of this group of pulsars are discussed in the light of models for which the emission originates from high up in the magnetosphere. The observed phases of the \( \gamma \)-ray light curves are, in general, consistent with those predicted by high-altitude models, although we speculate that the \( \gamma \)-ray emission of PSR J0659+1414, possibly featuring the softest spectrum, is lower when accurate positions and spin frequencies (including their unpredictable timing irregularities) are already known. Therefore, a set of pulsars with \( E > 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) is being timed in the radio band, allowing \textit{Fermi} to search for pulsations with the highest possible sensitivity (Smith et al. 2008). In addition, these radio observations allow us to determine the difference

\[ E > 4\pi^2 I P^{-3} \]

between the pulsars' \( \gamma \)-ray light curves and high-energy beam models.
In arrival time of the \( \gamma \)-ray pulses with respect to the radio pulses, an important parameter to distinguish between different high-energy models.

In this paper, we report the detection of pulsed \( \gamma \)-rays for six pulsars that were found by folding the \textit{Fermi} data on the ephemerides obtained from radio observations (e.g., Weltevrede et al. 2009). These \textit{Fermi} detections will be included (although with more limited count statistics) in the Large Area Telescope (LAT) pulsar catalog paper (Abdo et al. 2009e). The six pulsars of this paper have moderate to large spin-down luminosities (\( \dot{E} \geq 10^{34.5} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \); see Table 1) and have (at least given the current count statistics) \( \gamma \)-ray light curves which are consistent with a single peak. Five of the six pulsars have a strong degree of linear polarization in the radio band, which can be used to constrain the emission geometry. Therefore the combination of radio and \( \gamma \)-ray data for these objects make them valuable for tests of the underlying beaming geometry.

The paper is organized such that we will start with describing the \textit{Fermi} observations in Section 2. This is followed by a description of the methods used to constrain the emission geometry using radio data in Section 3. The results, including the \( \gamma \)-ray light curves and spectral parameters obtained with \textit{Fermi}, are presented in Section 4 and discussed in Section 5. Finally, the results and conclusions are summarized in Section 6.

### 2. OBSERVATIONS

#### 2.1. Temporal Analysis

The \( \gamma \)-ray data are collected by the LAT (Atwood et al. 2009), a pair-production telescope on board \textit{Fermi}. With a large effective area (\( \approx 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \) above 1 GeV, on axis), a broad field of view (\( \approx 2.4 \text{ steradian} \)), and a high angular resolution, this telescope is far superior to the Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) on the \textit{Compton Gamma Ray Observatory} (CGRO). The \( \gamma \)-ray events are time stamped using a GPS clock on board the satellite. These arrival times are transformed to a barycentric arrival time using the \textit{Fermi} LAT Science Tools\(^{65}\) by taking into account the orbit of the satellite and the solar system ephemerides (Jet Propulsion Laboratory DE405; Standish 1998; Edwards et al. 2006). Tests have shown that the resulting precision is accurate to at least a few microseconds (Smith et al. 2008).

In this paper, we use photons which were collected between 2008 June 30 and 2009 May 22. Only so-called “diffuse” class events were used (Atwood et al. 2009), which are those with the highest probability to be caused by \( \gamma \)-rays from the source. All \( \gamma \)-rays events with a reconstructed zenith angle larger than 105° were used (Atwood et al. 2009), which are those with the highest probability to be caused by \( \gamma \)-rays from the source. All \( \gamma \)-rays events with a reconstructed zenith angle larger than 105° were ignored to avoid the intense \( \gamma \)-ray background caused by cosmic-ray interactions in the Earth’s atmosphere.

To produce the \( \gamma \)-ray light curves, we used photons within an energy-dependent radius \( \theta \leq 0.8 \times E_{\text{GeV}}^{0.75} \) degrees of the pulsar position, requiring a radius of at least 0.35°, but not larger than the \( \theta_{\text{max}} \) of 0.5° or 1.0° as shown in Table 2. See the LAT pulsar catalog paper (Abdo et al. 2009e) for a detailed discussion of the selection criteria. This selection maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) over the broad energy range covered by the LAT. In all cases, the background is estimated from off-pulse bins from a \( \pm 2^\circ \) ring around the pulsar using the same energy-dependent cut.

The pulsars discussed in this paper are regularly observed in the radio band near 1.4 GHz by the \textit{Fermi} Timing Consortium (see Table 3). The observatories involved are the Parkes 64-m radio telescope in Australia, the Lovell 76-m telescope at the Jodrell Bank observatory near Manchester in England, and the 94-m (equivalent) Nançay radio telescope near Orleans, France. The timing program at Parkes is described in Weltevrede et al. (2009) and typically involves monthly observations. Nançay (Cognard et al. 2009) and Jodrell Bank ( Hobbs et al. 2004) observations are made, on average, every 5–9 days.

\(\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{PSR} & \text{Obs}^a & \text{rms} & \text{DM} \\
\text{(J2000)} & \text{a} & \text{m} & \text{cm}^{-3} \text{pc} \\
\hline
\text{J0631+1036} & J/N & 51 & 125.36 \pm 0.01 \\
\text{J0659+1414} & P/N & 427 & 13.7 \pm 0.2 \\
\text{J0742–2822} & P/J/N & 210 & 73.790 \pm 0.003 \\
\text{J1420–6048} & P & 516 & 358.8 \pm 0.2 \\
\text{J1509–5850} & P & 1068 & 140.6 \pm 0.8 \\
\text{J1718–3825} & P & 434 & 247.88 \pm 0.09 \\
\hline
\end{array}\)
The times of arrival (TOAs) for PSRs J1420–6048, J1509–5850, and J1718–3825 were obtained solely from Parkes data. For the other pulsars, the TOAs obtained from the different telescopes were combined before making an ephemeris for the spin behavior of the neutron star. The TOAs were compared with an initial timing solution using TEMPO2 (Hobbs et al. 2006) producing timing residuals which then were fit for the spin frequency and its time derivative, as well as for instrumental offsets between data from different observatories. Most of the pulsars showed strong additional deviations in their spin behavior, known as timing noise (e.g., Hobbs et al. 2004). This timing noise is modeled by either adding higher order spin-frequency derivatives or by using the fitswave algorithm within TEMPO2.

The resulting timing model allows an accurate assignment of a rotational phase to the γ-ray photons (using TEMPO2), thereby constructing the light curves. The timing parameters used in this work will be made available on the servers of the Fermi Science Support Center.66

An important parameter necessary to align the radio profile with the γ-ray light curve is the dispersion measure (DM), which quantifies the frequency-dependent delay of the radio emission caused by the interstellar medium. The DM of PSR J0631+1036 was measured by comparing the TOAs at two widely separated frequencies using Jodrell Bank data (0.6 GHz and 1.4 GHz) after the templates used were carefully aligned so that the components at the two frequencies coincide in pulse phase. The DM of the other five pulsars were obtained by measuring the delay across the 256 MHz band using Parkes data (see Weltevrede et al. 2009 and Table 3). The systematic error in the alignment of the radio and the γ-ray light curves is the combination of the DM uncertainty and the rms scatter of the radio timing residuals.

The shapes of the light curves (consisting of all photons above 100 MeV) were fitted using Gaussian functions resulting in peak positions and the error bars on the light curves were taken to be the square root of the number of photons in each phase bin. The results of the fitting of the light curves together with the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) estimated by eye are summarized in Table 2. This table includes the bin-independent H-test probability (de Jager et al. 1989), which measures the probability that the observed γ-ray light curves are caused by noise fluctuations.

### 2.2. Spectral Analysis

The spectral analysis uses the same first six months of Fermi data as the LAT pulsar catalog (Abdo et al. 2009e). The LAT “gtlike” science tool67 performs a maximum likelihood analysis (Mattox et al. 1996) to fit phase-averaged spectra for the six pulsars. Instrument Response Functions (IRFs) allow proper treatment of the direction and energy of each event. We used “Pass 6 v3,” a post-launch IRF update that addresses inefficiencies correlated with the trigger rate.67 Angular resolution is poor at low energies: at 100 MeV and normal detector incidence, 68% of the photons from a point source have reconstructed directions within ∼5° of the true direction, decreasing to ∼0° at 10 GeV. Therefore, the likelihood analysis must model not just the pulsar under study, but all neighboring γ-ray sources as well. We applied the analysis used for the three-month Fermi Bright Source List (BSL; Abdo et al. 2009d) with an updated model for the Galactic diffuse emission to this six-month data set. Then, as for the BSL, we extract events in a circle of radius 10° around each pulsar. The likelihood model includes all sources up to 17° from each pulsar, with spectral parameters fixed to the values obtained from the BSL analysis for those more than 3° away. Spectral parameters for the pulsar, as well as for sources within 3°, are left free in the fit. Galactic diffuse emission was modeled using a GALPROP (Strong et al. 2004) calculation designated 54.77xvarh7s, very similar to that available from the Fermi Science Support Center.67

Bright γ-ray pulsars like Vela (Abdo et al. 2009c) or the Crab (Abdo et al. 2009a) are observed to have spectra which are well described by exponentially cutoff power-law models of the form

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = K E^{-\Gamma} \exp \left( -\frac{E}{E_{\text{cutoff}}} \right) ,$$

in which the three parameters are the normalization of the differential flux (in units of ph cm⁻² s⁻¹ MeV⁻¹), the spectral index, Γ, and the cutoff energy, E_{cutoff}. The energy at which the differential flux is defined is arbitrary. We choose 1 GeV because it is, for most pulsars, close to the energy at which the relative uncertainty on the differential flux is minimal. The spectra were fitted separately using a power law and a power law plus exponential cutoff. The difference Δ of the log(likelihood) for the two fits determines the significance σ_{cutoff} for the existence of an energy cutoff, which is defined to be the test statistic difference $\sqrt{2\Delta}$. For pulsars with a σ_{cutoff} < 3, the power law with a cutoff did not result in a significantly better fit compared to a simple power law, hence the cutoff energy is unconstrained.

The observed γ-ray energy flux F_{obs} is the integral above 100 MeV of the fitted spectral shape times the energy. The luminosity is then

$$L_\gamma = \frac{4\pi f_{\text{lat}} F_{\text{obs}} D^2}{\eta} .$$

The results of the spectral analysis are summarized in Table 4 and the derived luminosities and efficiencies can be found in Table 5. Note that the distances to all the pulsars except PSR J0659+1414 are highly uncertain, as described in more detail below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSR</th>
<th>Energy Flux (E &gt; 100 MeV)</th>
<th>Γ</th>
<th>E_{cutoff} (GeV)</th>
<th>σ_{cutoff}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J0631+1036</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.51</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0659+1414</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.31</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0742–2822</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.40</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1420–6048</td>
<td>15.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.20</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1509–5850</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.23</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1718–3825</td>
<td>6.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.62</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. * The spectral analysis is based on the first six months of Fermi data as presented in the LAT pulsar catalog paper (Abdo et al. 2009e).

66 http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/ephems/
67 http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/documentation/
Galactic diffuse emission model dominate the systematic uncertainties on the spectral results as described in the LAT pulsar catalog (Abdo et al. 2009e). The spectral parameter uncertainties are \( \delta \Gamma = (+0.3, -0.1) \), \( \delta E_{\text{cutoff}} = (+20\%, -10\%) \), and \( \delta F_{\text{obs}} = (+20\%, -10\%) \). The bias on the integral energy flux is somewhat less than that of the integral photon flux, due to the weighting by photons in the energy range where the effective area uncertainties are smallest. We do not sum these uncertainties in quadrature with the others, since a change in instrument response will tend to shift all spectral parameters similarly.

3. DERIVING EMISSION GEOMETRIES FROM RADIO DATA

Two important angles used to describe the emission geometry of pulsars are the angle \( \alpha \) between the magnetic axis and the rotation axis and the angle \( \zeta \) between the line of sight and the rotation axis. A related angle is the impact parameter \( \beta = \zeta - \alpha \), which is the angle between the line of sight and the magnetic axis at its closest approach. These angles can be inferred by applying the rotating vector model (RVM; Radhakrishnan \\& Cooke 1969) to the position angle (P.A.) of the linear polarization observed in the radio band. This model predicts the P.A. of the linear polarization \( \psi \) to depend on the pulse phase \( \phi \) as

\[
\tan(\psi - \psi_0) = \frac{\sin \alpha \sin(\phi - \phi_0)}{\sin \zeta \cos \alpha - \cos \zeta \sin \alpha \cos(\phi - \phi_0)},
\]

where \( \psi_0 \) and \( \phi_0 \) are the P.A. and pulse phase corresponding to the intersection of the line of sight with the fiducial plane (the plane containing the rotation and magnetic axis) if the emission height \( h_{\text{em}} \) is small compared to the light cylinder distance. In this model, the P.A.-swing is an S-shaped curve and its inflection point occurs at \( \phi_0 \).

It is found that the degree of linear polarization is correlated with \( E \) such that virtually all pulsars with \( E > 2 \times 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) have a linear polarization fraction over 50% (Weltevrede \\& Johnston 2008; see also Qiao et al. 1995; von Hoenbroech et al. 1998; Crawford et al. 2001; Johnston \\& Weisberg 2006). Moreover, most of these pulsars have smooth P.A.-swings, making it relatively easy to apply the RVM model to the pulsars presented in this paper.

If the emission profile is symmetric around the magnetic axis, then the inflection point coincides with the middle of the pulse profile. However, co-rotation of the emitting region causes the inflection point to be delayed with respect to the pulse profile. This pulse phase difference \( \Delta \phi \) between the middle of the profile and the inflection point of the P.A.-swing can be used to estimate the emission height (Blaskiewicz et al. 1991)

\[
h_{P,A} = \frac{P}{8\pi} \frac{c}{\Delta \phi} = \frac{1}{4} R_{\text{LC}} \Delta \phi,
\]

where \( P \) is the spin period of the pulsar, \( c \) is the speed of light, and \( R_{\text{LC}} \) is the light cylinder radius. Because the relative shift of the P.A.-swing with respect to the profile is independent of \( \alpha \) and \( \zeta \) (Dyks et al. 2004), Equation (4) can be used to fit the P.A.-swing even for moderate emission heights (Dyks 2008).

Use of these equations ignores effects of rotational sweepback of the magnetic field lines (Dyks \\& Harding 2004), propagation effects in the pulsar magnetosphere (e.g., Petrova 2006), current-induced distortions of the magnetic field and the effects of a finite emission height spread and emission height differences (Dyks 2008).

Both the P.A.-swing and the observed pulse width contain information about the geometry of the pulsar. Under the assumption that the radio beam is symmetric about the magnetic axis, the pulse width \( W \) (which we take to be the full phase range over which we see emission) is related to the half opening angle \( \rho \) of the beam via

\[
\cos \rho = \cos \alpha \cos \zeta + \sin \alpha \sin \zeta \cos(W/2),
\]

(Gil et al. 1984; Lorimer \\& Kramer 2005). Therefore, if we know the value of \( \rho \) for a given pulsar, Equation (6) can be used as an additional constraint on Equation (4) to narrow down the allowed region in \( \alpha - \beta \) space. The value of \( \rho \) can be estimated from the emission height via

\[
\rho = \sqrt{\frac{9\pi h_{\text{em}}}{2Pc}},
\]

assuming a radio beam centred about the magnetic axis which is enclosed by the last open dipole field lines (e.g., Lorimer \\& Kramer 2005). In general, although there is some evidence for conal rings centered on the magnetic axis (Rankin 1983), many pulsars are patchy (e.g., Lyne \\& Manchester 1988; Han \\& Manchester 2001; Keith et al. 2009; Weltevrede \\& Wright 2009), making both \( \rho \) and \( h_{P,A} \) uncertain. This uncertainty is likely to dominate the total uncertainty of the radio analysis, and its impact is discussed for the individual pulsars in the next section. Because of poorly understood systematics, it is impossible to come up with sensible error bars on the derived values describing the geometry.

In summary, first we can use the RVM to fit the P.A.-swing (Equation (4)), resulting in contours defining the allowed \( \alpha - \beta \) parameter space. Secondly, from the offset of the P.A.-swing with respect to the total intensity profile, we can estimate an emission height (Equation (5)), which can be translated to an opening angle of the radio beam (Equation (7), assuming \( h_{\text{em}} = h_{P,A} \)). This opening angle corresponds to another contour in \( \alpha - \beta \) parameter space (Equation (6)), which in the ideal case would match with the RVM contours. If not, then at least one of the assumptions must be incorrect, most likely indicating that the radio beam is asymmetric with respect to the magnetic axis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSR (J2000)</th>
<th>( L_{\gamma} ) (10(^{35}) erg s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( \eta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J0631+1036</td>
<td>(0.036 \pm 0.006) ( f_{\gamma} (D/1 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
<td>(0.021 \pm 0.004) ( f_{\gamma} (D/1 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0659+1414</td>
<td>(0.0032 \pm 0.0003) ( f_{\gamma} (D/0.288 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
<td>(0.0084 \pm 0.0006) ( f_{\gamma} (D/0.288 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0742–2822</td>
<td>(0.09 \pm 0.02) ( f_{\gamma} (D/2 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
<td>(0.06 \pm 0.01) ( f_{\gamma} (D/2 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1420–6048</td>
<td>(6 \pm 1) ( f_{\gamma} (D/5.6 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
<td>(0.06 \pm 0.01) ( f_{\gamma} (D/5.6 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1509–5850</td>
<td>(0.75 \pm 0.08) ( f_{\gamma} (D/2.5 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
<td>(0.15 \pm 0.02) ( f_{\gamma} (D/2.5 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1718–3825</td>
<td>(1.1 \pm 0.3) ( f_{\gamma} (D/3.6 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
<td>(0.09 \pm 0.03) ( f_{\gamma} (D/3.6 \text{ kpc})^2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: The \( \gamma \)-ray Luminosity and the Efficiency, which are Functions of the Flux Correction Factor, \( f_{\gamma} \), and the Pulsar Distance, \( D \).
Additional constraints on the emission geometry can be obtained from measurements of the termination shock of the surrounding pulsar wind nebula (PWN), which can provide a relatively model-independent estimate of the viewing angle $\zeta$ (Ng & Romani 2008); unfortunately, such measurements are not yet available for the pulsars discussed here.

4. RESULTS ON THE INDIVIDUAL PULSARS

4.1. PSR J0631+1036

4.1.1. The Pulsar and its Surroundings

PSR J0631+1036 was discovered as a young pulsar by Zepka et al. (1996) in a radio search targeting Einstein IPC X-ray sources. Its DM is very high for a pulsar in the Galactic anticenter and this is argued to be caused by the foreground star-forming region 3 Mon (Zepka et al. 1996). In addition, this pulsar could be interacting with (or be embedded in) dark cloud LDN 1605 and therefore the distance derived from the DM (3.6 ± 1.3 kpc, according to the Cordes & Lazio 2002 model) is possibly overestimated. Following Zepka et al. (1996), we adopt a distance of 1 kpc consistent with the observed X-ray luminosity and $\dot{E}$ (Gaensler et al. 2000).

4.1.2. $\gamma$-rays

PSR J0631+1036 clearly shows $\gamma$-ray pulsations (see Figure 1) and the light curve features a single broad peak (FWHM 0.25 in rotational phase), which lags the radio profile by 0.44 (see Table 2). A power law in combination with an exponential cutoff fits the $\gamma$-ray spectrum significantly better than a single power law, although the cutoff energy cannot be accurately determined.

Zepka et al. (1996) claimed a $\gamma$-ray detection by EGRET of PSR J0631+1036. The detection was very marginal and their light curve does not really resemble that seen by Fermi. Their estimated $\gamma$-ray flux is an order of magnitude larger than that obtained from the Fermi data (see Table 4).

4.1.3. X-rays

At the pulsar position a faint ROSAT PSPC X-ray source has been found, which was too weak to search for pulsations (Zepka et al. 1996). Sinusoidal X-ray pulsations were claimed by Torii et al. (2001) using ASCA data. However, an XMM-Newton observation appears to show that the X-ray point source is not associated with the pulsar and the pulsations were not confirmed (Kennea et al. 2002). The derived upper limit for a X-ray point source is $1.1 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($0.5$–$2.0$ keV) for the assumed distance of 1 kpc. This luminosity is low compared to the Becker & Trümper (1997) relationship between the X-ray luminosity and $E$, which can be seen as evidence for a larger distance to the pulsar.

4.1.4. Radio

The pulsar’s radio spectrum is relatively flat from 1.4 up to at least 6.2 GHz (unpublished Parkes data$^{68}$) and its radio profile is highly polarized (Zepka et al. 1996; Weltevrede & Johnston 2008). The radio profile consists of four components (see Figure 2) and the outer components are strongest at low frequencies. The radio profile shows a remarkable deep minimum at the pulse phase of the symmetry point. Despite the complex structure of the profile, it is highly mirror symmetric, not only in its shape, but also in the spectral indices of the different components.

We fitted the RVM model (Equation (4)) to the radio polarization data. The resulting $\chi^2$ map is shown in gray scale and in the black contours in Figure 3. One can see a "banana-shaped" region in $\alpha$–$\beta$ space, which contains valid solutions for the P.A.-swing, showing that $\alpha$ is not constrained.

The remarkable degree of mirror symmetry of the radio profile can be seen as evidence that the radio beam itself has a high degree of symmetry and is centered at the magnetic axis. Under this assumption, the radio emission height follows from the offset of the steepest gradient of the P.A. swing and the mirror point of the profile (Equation (5)) and is found to be $h_{P.A.} = 600$ km, which is slightly lower than found by Weltevrede & Johnston (2008) using data with a lower S/N. Using this emission height in Equation (7), we expect a half opening angle of the beam $\rho$ of $18^\circ$ if the emission comes from the last open field line. In Figure 3, the contours of $\rho$ derived from the observed pulse width are overplotted (dotted). One can
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Figure 2. Pulse profile at 1398 MHz observed at Nançay (black) of PSR J0631+1036, as well as the degree of linear polarization (dashed) and circular polarization (dotted). The bottom panel shows the P.A. of the linear polarization (if detected above 3σ) and an RVM fit.

Figure 3. χ² map of the RVM fit of J0631+1036 (in gray scale, a darker color indicates a lower χ²). The lowest reduced χ² is 1.45 and the solid contours correspond to reduced χ² values that are two, three, and four times larger. The half opening angles ρ of the radio beam derived from the observed pulse width are overplotted (dotted contours).

see that such a large value of ρ suggests that α is close to 90° and β ~ −4°. If only a fraction of the open field lines produces radio emission (i.e., if the beam is patchy), then α could be smaller.

4.2. PSR J0659+1414 (B0656+14)

4.2.1. The Pulsar and its Surroundings

PSR J0659+1414 was discovered in the second Molonglo pulsar survey as a radio pulsar (Manchester et al. 1978) and this pulsar is the slowest rotating and has the lowest $\dot{E}$ of the pulsars discussed in this paper. The distance of the pulsar is well known via parallax measurements using very long baseline interferometry (288$^{+33}_{-27}$ pc; Brisken et al. 2003). PSR J0659+1414 is associated with the Monogem ring (Thorsett et al. 2003), a bright diffuse 25° diameter supernova remnant easily visible in soft X-ray images of the sky. It has a possible PWN in optical (Shibanov et al. 2006) and X-rays (Marshall & Schulz 2002).

4.2.2. γ-rays

There was a marginal detection of pulsed γ-rays from this pulsar by EGRET (Ramanamurthy et al. 1996). The light curve observed by Fermi (see Figure 4) is similar to that seen by EGRET, but the γ-ray background is much lower in the Fermi data because of its superior angular resolution. The light curve is single peaked (FWHM 0.20 in rotational phase) and lags the radio peak by 0.21 in phase.

The pulsar is very weak above 1 GeV, showing that its spectrum is extremely soft. Indeed this pulsar appears to have the steepest spectrum and the lowest cutoff energy of the pulsars in this paper (see Table 4). Although the cutoff appears to be within the energy range of the LAT detector, a power law plus exponential cutoff does not describe the data better than a single power law. The γ-ray efficiency of PSR J0659+1414 is very low (see Table 5). Because the distance to the pulsar
4.2.3. X-rays

PSR J0659+1414 is one of the brightest isolated neutron stars in the X-ray sky (Córdova et al. 1989), and it is one of the “Three Musketeers” (the others being Geminga and PSR B1055–52; Becker & Trümper 1997). The X-ray emission is a combination of thermal (blackbody) and non-thermal (power law) emission (e.g., De Luca et al. 2005) and is consistent with a cooling middle-aged neutron star (e.g., Becker & Trümper 1997). At soft X-rays, the pulse fraction is low and the pulsations are sinusoidal (see Figure 5), typical for thermal emission from the surface of a neutron star with a non-uniform temper-
is almost completely linearly polarized at 1.4 GHz, but there is significant depolarization at the leading edge. There is also significant circular polarization, which is strongest at the trailing edge of the profile. Curiously, at 6.2 and 8.4 GHz the profile is completely depolarized (Johnston et al. 2006 and unpublished Parkes data).

Figure 7 shows the $\chi^2$ map of the RVM fit for a high signal-to-noise radio profile taken from Weisberg et al. (1999). The results are consistent with previous results (e.g., Lyne & Manchester 1988; Rankin 1993; Everett & Weisberg 2001), and it is immediately clear that, as is often the case, $\alpha$ is unconstrained.

The steepest gradient of the P.A.-swing lags the peak of the radio peak by 14.9$^{\circ}$ ± 0.7 (Everett & Weisberg 2001), suggesting an emission height of 1200 km (Equation (5)) and $\rho = 22^\circ$ (using Equation (7)), implying that $\alpha$ should be $\sim 50^\circ$. A relatively aligned geometry would be in line with the above discussion about the thermal X-rays.

The optical light curve is linearly polarized and optical P.A. points can be measured (Kern et al. 2003). Unfortunately, while the optical linear polarization is potentially useful, the limited data (three phase bins) and large errors make it impossible at present to use these data to constrain the RVM fit. Improved optical polarization measurements, however, have the potential to greatly refine our geometrical knowledge of this important pulsar.

4.3. PSR J0742–2822 (B0740–28)

4.3.1. The Pulsar and its Surroundings

PSR J0742–2822 was discovered as a radio pulsar by Facondi et al. (1973). Koribalski et al. (1995) determined a kinematic distance between 2.0 ± 0.6 and 6.9 ± 0.8 kpc, which was higher than the distance derived from the DM according to the Taylor & Cordes 1993 model (1.9 kpc). This was argued by Koribalski et al. (1995) to be caused by an overestimation of the electron density of the Gum Nebula in this position. There is a steep gradient in the electron density in this direction in the Cordes & Lazio (2002) model, which was constructed such that its predicted distance is consistent with the kinematic distance. We therefore adopt a distance of 2 kpc with the note that it could possibly be as distant as 7 kpc.

4.3.2. $\gamma$-rays

PSR J0742–2822 has a relatively narrow single peak in $\gamma$-rays, especially above 1 GeV (see Figure 8). The $\gamma$-ray peak lags the radio peak by 0.61 in phase. In contrast to PSR J0659+1414, this pulsar is much weaker at low energies and is not detected below 300 MeV. Note that if the pulsar is at a distance of 7 kpc (the upper limit of the kinematic distance), the $\gamma$-ray efficiency would be $\sim 70\%$. This large efficiency may indicate that the pulsar is nearer than the distance upper limit.

4.3.3. Radio

Like the other pulsars discussed so far, the radio emission of this pulsar is highly linearly polarized, but there is depolarization at the trailing edge (see Figure 9). The circular polarization changes sign roughly in the middle of the profile, which is often associated with emission coming from close to the magnetic axis (Radhakrishnan & Rankin 1990).

The $\chi^2$ map of the RVM fit (Figure 10) is much better constrained than for the other pulsars. The reduced $\chi^2$ of the best fit is not good (6.9), because the observed P.A.-swing deviates slightly from the model at the far trailing side of the profile (see Figure 9). This deviation is caused by a small jump in P.A. at the
pulse phase corresponding to the pulse phase where significant depolarization is observed.

Weltevrede & Johnston (2008) derived that \( h_{PA} = 350 \) km, based on the observed offset between the center of the radio pulse profile and the location of the steepest gradient of the P.A.-swing (slightly larger than that derived by, e.g., von Hoensbroech & Xilouris 1997), suggesting \( \rho = 18^\circ \) (Equation (7)). This opening angle is inconsistent with the RVM fit (see Figure 10), which suggests that the half opening angle of the radio beam \( \rho \lesssim 15^\circ \) (in line with the value of \( \rho \) derived by, e.g., Kramer et al. 1994). Possibly the beam is asymmetric with respect to the magnetic axis (e.g., Lyne & Manchester 1988). Nevertheless, if we believe that most of the open field line region is active, this pulsar is unlikely to be aligned and we expect \( \beta \sim -7^\circ \).

### 4.4. PSR J1420–6048

#### 4.4.1. The Pulsar and its Surroundings

PSR J1420–6048 is a 68 ms pulsar (D’Amico et al. 2001) in the northeastern wing of the complex of compact and extended radio sources known as Kookaburra (Roberts et al. 2001). It is located within the 0:32 wide 95% confidence level radius of the center of 3EG J1420–6038. The pulsar’s large spin–down power and distance makes it a plausible match for the EGRET source. The situation is complicated by the Fermi LAT discovery of pulsations from an X-ray source in the Rabbit PWN, PSR J1418–6058 with \( \dot{E} = 5 \times 10^{36} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), only 0:26 away from the radio pulsar, and 0:54 from the EGRET source (Abdo et al. 2009b). The period is 110 ms, different from the weak detection of pulsed X-rays with period 108 ms reported by Ng et al. (2005). There are also three TeV sources in this region discovered by HESS (Aharonian et al. 2006, 2008), but the association between the TeV sources and the \( \gamma \)-ray pulsars is unclear.

PSR J1420–6048 is the youngest and most energetic pulsar of our sample. The pulsar distance, derived from the DM to be 5.6 \pm 0.9 kpc according to the Cordes & Lazio (2002) model, is consistent with the X-ray absorption of \( N_H \sim 2 \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2}\) (Roberts et al. 2001) but subject to uncertainties because of \( H_i \) regions and dense clouds in the Carina arm. In this paper, we apply a more conservative distance uncertainty of 30% to our adopted DM distance estimates (so 5.6 \pm 1.9 kpc for this pulsar). This is to try to take into account systematic errors in the model for the electron density in the Galaxy and this approach is identical to that in the LAT pulsar catalog paper (Abdo et al. 2009e).

#### 4.4.2. \( \gamma \)-rays

PSR J1420–6048 is the brightest \( \gamma \)-ray pulsar of our sample. The light curve of PSR J1420–6048 (see Figure 11) has a broad peak, which probably consists of two components lagging the second radio peak by 0:26 and 0:44 in phase. This would imply a peak separation \( \Delta \sim 0.18 \). The second component may follow the common Fermi pulsar pattern of increasing dominance at high \( \gamma \)-ray energies (Abdo et al. 2009e). The small angular separation between this pulsar and J1418–6058 (Abdo et al. 2009b) considerably increases the background flux at the position of the pulsar over a large energy interval. The estimation of the background level, which follows from a simple measurement of the flux in a 1°–2° ring around the pulsar, does not take into account the flux of J1418–6058, and as a consequence the background level is underestimated. The \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum is fitted significantly better by including an exponential cutoff.

#### 4.4.3. X-rays

There is a marginal detection of X-ray pulsations at the radio pulse period by ASCA (see Figure 12) from within the X-ray nebula AX J1420.1–6049 (Roberts et al. 2001). The X-ray and \( \gamma \)-ray light curves peak at different phases with respect to the radio peak, so it is not clear how the \( \gamma \)-rays and X-rays are related.

#### 4.4.4. Radio

PSR J1420–6048 is another example of a radio pulsar that is nearly completely linearly polarized (e.g., Johnston & Weisberg 2006) and there is also some circular polarization (see Figure 13). The radio pulse profile shows a double-peaked
structure with the trailing component being strongest, something that is generally seen for young pulsars with characteristic ages less than 75 kyr (Johnston & Weisberg 2006).

RVM modeling of the P.A.-swing has been carried out by Roberts et al. (2001), who claim $\alpha \lesssim 35^\circ$ and $\beta \sim 0.5$. It is clear from Figure 14 that the best solution can be found at low $\alpha$ values, but neither $\alpha$ nor $\beta$ are well constrained. This geometry would be consistent with the arc of emission around the pulsar seen in the Chandra image (Ng et al. 2005), which suggests (if interpreted as a torus) a $\zeta$ which is not particularly small or large.

Weltevrede & Johnston (2008) found that $h_{P.A.} = 100$ km, which is of the same order as what was found by (Johnston & Weisberg 2006; 175 km). Using the emission height of 100 km in Equation (7) leads to an expected $\rho$ of 15°. This implies (see Figure 14) that the magnetic inclination angle $\alpha$ should be relatively small (≈20°). As always, this derivation relies on the assumption that the pulsar beam is symmetric and nearly filled.
PSR J1420–6048 belongs to a group of young pulsars with very wide profiles and relatively high values of $\dot{E} \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Manchester 2005; Weltevrede & Johnston 2008). They speculate that an analog can be drawn between the radio emission of these so-called “energetic wide beam pulsars” and their high-energy emission. They argued that the sites in the pulsar magnetosphere that produce the radio emission could be very similar to those of the high-energy emission, leading to the prediction that there should be strong similarity between the radio and $\gamma$-ray light curves. Although the $\gamma$-ray light curve may indeed be double peaked, the $\gamma$-ray light curve is significantly offset in phase from the radio profile, suggesting a significant difference in the location of production of the radio and $\gamma$-ray emission.

4.5. PSR J1509–5850

4.5.1. The Pulsar and its Surroundings

PSR J1509–5850 was discovered as a 89 ms radio pulsar by Kramer et al. (2003). It has a PWN as well as a long tail seen in X-rays (e.g., Kargaltsev et al. 2008) and radio (Hui & Becker 2007). The distance to this pulsar estimated from the DM is $2.5 \pm 0.5$ kpc using the Cordes & Lazio (2002) model, but, as discussed before, we adopt a more conservative distance uncertainty of 30% ($2.5 \pm 0.8$ kpc).

4.5.2. $\gamma$-rays

This pulsar should not be confused with PSR B1509–58 (PSR J1513–5908), which was seen in soft $\gamma$-rays by BATSE, OSSE, and COMPTEL on CGRO (Ulmer et al. 1993). The light curve of PSR J1509–5850 (see Figure 15) is very broad. Similar to PSR J1420–6048, the light curve may be composed of two peaks lagging the radio profile by 0.18 and 0.39 in phase, respectively (corresponding to a peak separation $\Delta = 0.21$). The spectrum of this pulsar shows a cutoff at $\sim 3$ GeV with the highest confidence of the pulsars discussed in this paper. The derived $\gamma$-ray efficiency is very high ($\sim 15\%$) and is the largest of the pulsars discussed in this paper (see Table 5). However, the distance to this pulsar is highly uncertain, therefore the luminosity and hence the $\gamma$-ray efficiency are not well constrained.

4.5.3. Radio

This pulsar is the weakest radio source of our sample. As noted by Weltevrede & Johnston (2008), the correlation between $\dot{E}$ and a high degree of linear polarization does not hold for this pulsar (see Figure 16). The low degree of linear polarization in combination with a low overall S/N prevents us from measuring the P.A.-swing, and therefore the emission geometry cannot be constrained for this pulsar using the RVM model.

4.6. PSR J1718–3825

4.6.1. The Pulsar and its Surroundings

PSR J1718–3825 is a 75 ms pulsar discovered by Manchester et al. (2001) at radio wavelengths. It has an associated X-ray nebula (Hinton et al. 2007) and an associated HESS source (Aharonian et al. 2007). Its distance derived from the DM is $3.6 \pm 0.4$ kpc according to the Cordes & Lazio (2002) model, or $3.6 \pm 1.1$ kpc by applying a more conservative distance uncertainty of 30%.

4.6.2. $\gamma$-rays

The light curve of PSR J1718–3825 is single peaked (FWHM is 0.20 in phase) and it lags the radio profile by 0.42 in phase (see Figure 17). Like the previous two pulsars discussed, its spectrum is significantly better described by including a cutoff energy. However, the cutoff energy itself cannot be determined well.

4.6.3. Radio

The radio pulse profile of J1718–3825 has a relatively complex shape, and it is highly linearly polarized (see Figure 18). There is some negative circular polarization as well. The 1.4 GHz profile is very similar to what is seen at higher frequencies (unpublished Parkes data$^{68}$).

The P.A.-swing is S-shaped, allowing an RVM fit, although it is not very constraining (see Figure 19). The steepest gradient of the RVM model lags the center of the radio pulse by 5$^\circ$, corresponding to $h_{P.A.} \sim 80$ km (Equation (5)) and an expected $\rho \sim 13^\circ$ (Equation (7)). This would imply that $\alpha \sim 20^\circ$ (see Figure 19), however, the complex shape of the radio profile makes it difficult to objectively determine which pulse phase corresponds to emission from the fiducial plane. If the peak of the profile is a better indicator for the magnetic axis than the center, then $\alpha$ is larger ($\sim 50^\circ$).
5. DISCUSSION

From examination of the light curves in the LAT pulsar catalog (Abdo et al. 2009e), certain groupings of γ-ray light curves are apparent. One group, of which the Vela pulsar is the archetypical example (Abdo et al. 2009c), is characterized by light curves which consist of two narrow peaks separated by \( \sim 0.4–0.5 \) in phase with the first peak offset from the radio profile by \( \sim 0.1–0.2 \) in phase. A second group of light curves also shows double peaks, but the peaks are much closer together in phase and can blend together to produce a more square-looking light curve. Examples of this sort include PSR J1709–4429, the radio-quiet PSR J0007+7303 (Abdo et al. 2009b) and the radio-loud PSR J1057–5226 (Abdo et al. 2009e). The final group of light curves appears to consist of a single component, possibly because the peaks are completely blended together, are unresolved given the present S/N or simply because they are truly single. There is some evidence that the closer doubles and single component light curves are found preferentially among the pulsar with lower \( \dot{E} \), whereas the Vela-like light curves are found for all spin-down luminosities (Abdo et al. 2009e).

The light curves of the six pulsars discussed in this paper are all consistent with single peaks. Nevertheless, those of PSRs J1420–6048 and J1509–5850 could have the appearance of closely spaced doubles, therefore resembling that of PSR J1709–4429. The light curves of the other four pulsars do not show, at least given the current count statistics, any hint of a second pulse component. PSRs J0631+1036 and J1718–3825 have relatively broad pulses with an offset to the radio pulse of 0.4 in phase. The γ-ray emission of PSR J0631+1036 has a tail to earlier phase, visible especially above 1 GeV. The light curve of PSR J0742–2822 is narrower, and is offset from the radio peak by 0.61 in phase. Finally, PSR J0659+1414 has a single broad peak at the same phase as a corresponding peak in the optical and UV and yet lacks the second peak seen at those energies.

We now consider if outer magnetospheric models can explain these light curves in conjunction with the constraints on the geometry derived from the radio profiles by comparing the observed γ-ray light curves to those predicted in the two-pole caustic and outer-gap models of Watters et al. (2009).

In the context of the Watters et al. (2009) models, the light curves of PSRs J0631+1036, J1420–6048, J1509–5850, and J1718–3825 can all be described in term of closely spaced double peaks. For PSRs J1420–6048 and J1509–5850 there is some evidence for a double-peaked nature, while for PSRs J0631+1036 and J1718–3825 it is well possible that the peaks are unresolved due to a lack of S/N. Double-peaked light curves with small separations occur naturally at the correct phases relative to the radio emission in the outer-gap and two-pole caustic pictures, if one skims the edge of the outer magnetosphere cone. For narrow gaps (low-efficiency pulsars), this occurs for a range \( \alpha \approx 40°–50° \) and \( \zeta \approx 50°–65° \) for the outer-gap model and \( \alpha \approx 45°–60° \) and \( \zeta \approx 35°–55° \) in the two-pole caustic model. For wider gaps (high-efficiency pulsars), the outer-gap model has many similar solutions extending to \( \alpha, \zeta \approx 80° \), but such pulses are more difficult to realize in the two-pole caustic geometry.

Single peaks that lag the radio pulse by 0.4–0.5 in phase occur in both outer-gap and two-pole caustic models for the smallest gap widths, over a band spanning \( \alpha \approx 55°–75°, \zeta \approx 20°–50° \). These are effectively the second peak of the more typical double
profile, with the first peak weak or absent in this angle range. The preferred geometry derived from the radio data of PSR J1420–6048 ($\alpha \sim 20^\circ$ and $\beta \sim 5^\circ$) would favor the two-pole caustic model (the outer-gap model does not predict significant $\gamma$-ray pulsations for such a geometry). However, in this case we would expect the $\gamma$-ray peak to appear at phase 0.1–0.2. In any case, at present the constraints derived from the radio data are too weak to draw firm conclusions.

If the light curve of PSR J0742–2822 consists only of a single narrow peak at phase 0.61, it cannot easily be explained by either the outer-gap or the two-pole caustic model. However, it is tempting to associate this peak with the second peak seen in the Vela-like light curves as it has the correct phase offset with respect to the radio profile. If we do this then the first peak must either be missing entirely or at least be much weaker than the second peak; we note that the weak excess at phase 0.12 in Figure 8 is at the expected phase—longer integrations should eventually settle this. This picture would tie in nicely with the general trend of a relatively strong second peak at higher energies as seen for double-peaked light curves.

Finally, we discuss the curious case of PSR J0659+1414. It has a high S/N light curve and is clearly single. The spin parameters of PSR J0659+1414 are not exceptional. Its $E$ is relatively low, but that of PSR J1057–5226, which has a harder spectrum, is lower. However, the magnetic field strength at the light cylinder is very low for J0659+1414. A special geometry may be responsible for the unusual properties of this pulsar, and we consider two possible interpretations. In the first, PSR J0659+1414 is more Vela-like. In this scenario, the second component (visible at lower energies) is entirely missing at $\gamma$-ray energies. However, although the phase of the visible component can then be well explained by both outer-gap and two-pole caustic models, it implies relatively large values for $\alpha$ and $\zeta$, which is somewhat at odds with the constraints from the modeling of the radio data and the thermal X-rays. In the second interpretation, PSR J0659+1414 is an aligned rotator ($\alpha \lesssim 40^\circ$). In this case, in the outer-gap picture one would not expect strong emission, but the two-pole caustic model does indeed show a single pulse at phase 0.1–0.2 later than the radio emission. We also note that the pulsar has an extremely low efficiency (less than $\sim 1\%$) and as its distance is well known and the flux correction factor $f_{\gamma}$ is likely to be of order unity there is little way to avoid this conclusion. Further, its spectrum is among the softest of all the pulsars detected by *Fermi* (Abdo et al. 2009e), and it is virtually undetected at energies $>1$ GeV. This might also point to a special, aligned geometry where $\gamma$-ray emission at somewhat lower altitude ($\gtrsim 0.1 R_{LC}$) is being observed.

In summary, therefore, we find that both the two-pole caustic model and the outer-gap model do a good job in predicting the phase offset with respect to the radio emission for PSRs J0631+1036, J1420–6048, J1509–5850, and J1718–3825 under the assumption that these are closely spaced double-peaked $\gamma$-ray emitters. We note that the light curve shape, especially of PSR J1718–3825, is not well predicted by the models. However, it should be stressed that the model calculations in Watters et al. (2009) are idealized and therefore one would indeed only expect approximate agreement between the models and the data. One could speculate that to obtain more realistic physical models one should include a larger range of field lines from which $\gamma$-ray photons are produced. This smooths out the sharp peaks in the light curves caused by caustics; these sharp peaks are not observed for the pulsars in this paper. This also has the effect of making the so-called “bridge” of emission between the peaks stronger, causing the peaks in the light curve to blend together. In Watters et al. (2009), the two-pole caustic and outer-gap widths increase with $E$, but the emission region is an infinitely thin strip on the gap inner edge. For PSR J0742–2822, we surmise that there is a “missing” $\gamma$-ray peak at phase offset 0.1 (and possibly the second peak is “missing” for PSR J0659+1414). Without speculating about a missing peak, it is hard to understand the light curve of PSR J0659+1414 in the context of outer-gap models. It may be a roughly aligned rotator with $\gamma$-ray emission from lower down in the magnetosphere.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

We report here on the detection of pulsed $\gamma$-rays by *Fermi* for PSRs J0631+1036, J0659+1414, J0742–2822, J1420–6048, J1509–5850, and J1718–3825. These six pulsars are young to middle-aged and, except for PSR J1420–6048, have relatively...
small values of $E$ compared to other known $\gamma$-ray pulsars. In all cases, the $\gamma$-ray light curves appear single peaked (at least with the present count statistics), but there is a hint that at least two of them have closely spaced double peaks. As Fermi continues its all-sky survey, the quality of the light curves will increase, helping to resolve this issue.

We present high-quality radio polarization profiles for these pulsars and discuss their geometries in the context of RVM helping to resolve this issue. Unfortunately, the narrow pulsars and discuss their geometries in the context of RVM
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