



Isotopic fission-fragment yields measured at Lohengrin and deduced element yields

F. Rejmund, M. Caamano, K.-H. Schmidt

► To cite this version:

F. Rejmund, M. Caamano, K.-H. Schmidt. Isotopic fission-fragment yields measured at Lohengrin and deduced element yields. 2010, pp.1-14. in2p3-00487186

HAL Id: in2p3-00487186

<https://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-00487186>

Submitted on 28 May 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

GRAND ACCELERATEUR NATIONAL D'IONS LOURDS

GANIL

Isotopic fission-fragment yields measured at Lohengrin and deduced element yields

F. Rejmund ¹

GANIL, bvd Henri Becquerel, F-14076 Caen

M. Caamaño,

Universidad de Compostela, SP-15782 santiago de compostela

K.-H. Schmidt

GSI, Planckstrasse 1, D-64291 Darmstadt

GANIL R 2010-01

<http://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-00487186/fr/>

Laboratoire commun CEA / DSM - CNRS / IN²P³

Isotopic fission-fragment yields measured at Lohengrin and deduced element yields

F. Rejmund *, M. Caamaño, K.-H. Schmidt

<http://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-00487186/fr/>

1 Introduction

We comment experimental isotopic yields obtained at the Lohengrin spectrometer, which are the basis to investigate even-odd effect in the element yields $Y(Z)$. The isotopic yields are given in general in the format of tables, in which the isotopic yields $Y(Z,A)$ are normalised to 1 for each mass A . These isotopic yields are referred to "relative isotopic yields" in the present work. To obtain the absolute isotopic yields, it is necessary to compute the following relation:

$$Y_{abs}(Z, A) = Y(Z, A) * Y(A) \quad (1)$$

Finally, the (absolute) element yields $Y(Z)$ are obtained by summing over the absolute isotopic distribution for each element:

$$Y(Z) = \sum_A Y_{abs}(Z, A) \quad (2)$$

It is obvious that, to obtain reliable element yield, the complete isotopic distribution has to be measured. In the following, the results from the different fissioning systems measured at Lohengrin are discussed in detail. Each section corresponds to a particular fissioning system.

2 ^{230}Th

The isotopic yields are given in table 1 of [1]. The element yields are also given in the same table. In principle they should correspond to the sum of the isotopic yields in each element, as given by the relation (2). In figure 1 the relative isotopic yields are displayed, together with the mass yields. It is clearly seen that the isotopic distribution for $Z=31$, 39 and 40 are

*mailto:frejmund@ganil.fr

incomplete. When normalised to absolute yields, as displayed in figure 2, the isotopic distribution for $Z=39$ is slightly cut, and for $Z=39$ significantly cut. The element yields obtained from the summation over isotopic chains are displayed in figure 3. In table 2, the element yields obtained from the isotopic yields are compared with the element yields published in the same reference [1], as well as with data from an other technique [2]. The comparison is interesting, as first, the element yields deduced from the sum of the isotopic chain is not in full agreement with the element yields given by the same authors. Indeed, by summing all isotopic yields, the element yields of $Z=31$ and $Z=40$ are found to be 0.15 and 0.54, whereas in table 1 of [1], they are given as 0.2 and 1 respectively. This indicates that in [1], some additional information which is not reported in the publication is used to give the element yield. In figure 3, we corrected the element yields by completing the truncated isotopic distribution with a gaussian fit. It is interesting to note that these corrected yields are very close to those published by Djebbar et al., also displayed in the figure. These data are produced with less resolution, but their element yield is less suffering from mass cuts due to the spectrometer. This shows that the Lohengrin measurements are not necessarily better than other, because of their precision. Finally, this confirms the choice of Djebbar's data for further investigation on the element yields.




Figure 1: Relative isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{229}Th . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are indicated. The mass yields multiplied by a factor 50 are displayed for comparison.

3 ^{236}U

The data used in our work, come from table 8 and 1 of [3] for the most symmetric part, and from [4] for the asymmetric part. In [3], the table 8




Figure 2: Absolute isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{229}Th . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are indicated.

Z	Y(Z) [1] (deduced)	Y(Z) [1] (published)	Y(Z) [2]
31	0.15	0.2	0.3
32	4.91	4.9	4.74
33	4.82	4.8	4.01
34	26.87	26.9	28.1
35	12.59	12.6	11.9
36	25.92	26.0	25.3
37	9.24	9.2	10.4
38	11.75	11.8	10.7
39	2.46	2.6	3.07
40	0.54	1.0	0.9

Table 1: Element yields obtained in the fission of ^{230}Th . First column is deduced from the isotopic distribution, measured in [1]. Second column is the published element yield [1]. Third column is the element yield published in [2].

gives the relative isotopic yields; these data are displayed in the figure 4, where it can be seen that the isotopic distribution for $Z=43$ is not complete.

In [4] the isotopic yields are not explicitly given, but the absolute isotopic yields are available in EXFOR data basis. In both measures, the isotopic chain of As ($Z=33$) has been measured. For this element, the absolute isotopic yields, derived from equation (1) are displayed in figure 5, from experiments [4] and [3]. The two measures are perfectly compatible.

The absolute isotopic distributions measured in both experiments are




Figure 3: Absolute element yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{229}Th .




Figure 4: Isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{235}U . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are displayed. The mass yields multiplied by a factor of 100 are displayed.

given in figure 6. It can be observed, that the isotopic distributions are complete (i.e, showing a bell-shape), even for the most symmetric split. Therefore, in principle, the full element yield can be used to study even-odd staggering. The corresponding element yields are given in figure 7. However, for $Z=43$, some doubts can be given on the validity of the isotopic yields, as the maximum of the isotopic distribution happens for a lower mass than the maximum of the isotopic distribution of $Z=42$. This is also illustrated in figure 8, where the isotopic yield is represented over a bidimensional nuclide chart. It is clearly seen that the isotopic distribution for $Z=43$ lays on the neutron deficient side of the lighter isotopic chains, which is in complete contradiction with the general trend of the isotopic distribution. Therefore, we recommend not to include this last point in any further investigation of the elemental yields.




Figure 5: Absolute isotopic yields of $Z=33$ in thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{235}U , as given in references [3] and [4] with full triangles and open circles, respectively.




Figure 6: Absolute isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{235}U . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are displayed.

4 ^{234}U

The data are taken from [5], table 5 for the isotopic yields, where the absolute isotopic yields are given. They are displayed in the figure 9. The deduced element yields are displayed in figure 10. However, from figure 9, the isotopic distributions for $Z = 31, 43, 44, 45$ are clearly incomplete and the corresponding element yields are thus underestimated. In addition, it is dangerous to make a correction for the missing isotopes, as the isotopic




Figure 7: Element yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{235}U , in linear and logarithmic scale.




Figure 8: Isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{235}U , in a 2-dimensional nuclide chart.

distributions are too limited (for $Z=31$, 44 and 45 the maximum is not appearing), or widths of the distributions are varying by large factors from one element to the other (see for example the distribution for $Z=43$). In conclusion, we recommend to disregard these data in any further investigation of the element yields.

5 ^{240}Pu

Isotopic yields in fission of ^{240}Pu are taken from [6], table 9, as displayed in figure 11. To obtain absolute yields, they are normalised to the mass yield of [6], table 2, also displayed in figure 11. The absolute isotopic yields are displayed in figure 12.

As can be seen in figure 12, the isotopic distributions are incomplete for




Figure 9: Absolute isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{233}U . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are displayed.




Figure 10: Element yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{233}U , in linear and logarithmic scale.

$Z=33$, 34 , 44 and 45 . The deduced element yields are shown in figure 13. They can be used for further investigation only on a limited range between $Z=35$ and $Z=43$.

6 ^{246}Cm

The data are taken from the references [7], and [8] for the very asymmetric split. Few isotopes that are not present in both publications are taken from Rochman PhD, Fig. 56 (for $Z = 32, 33$). Absolute isotopic yields are given in Table 1 of [7], table 4 and figure 4 of [8]. They are reproduced in the figure 14.

The element yields are then deduced as the sum of the isotopic distribution for each atomic number, following equation (2), and they are displayed




Figure 11: Isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{239}Pu . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are indicated. The mass yield is represented on the same Figure, multiplied by a factor 100.

in figure 15.

The element yields are measured up to $Z = 47$. The local even-odd effect is displayed only in the reference [8], which focuses on the very asymmetric distribution of the fission fragments, and therefore the even-odd effect in this nucleus has not been investigated close to the symmetry (up to $Z=47$). From figure 14, one sees that the isotopic distribution for $Z=47$ is not complete, and therefore the corresponding element yield is slightly underestimated. To avoid misinterpretation in any further investigation, it is safer to remove it from the element yield.

7 ^{250}Cf

Relative isotopic yields are from [9], table 3, for $Z=36$ to $Z=48$, and from [10], table 1, for $Z=29$ to $Z=35$. Isotopic distributions for $Z=35$ are measured in both publications and coincide within 14%. The corresponding isotopic distributions are displayed in figure 16, compared to the mass yield $Y(A)$.

The absolute isotopic yields are then derived with the relation (1), and are displayed in figure 17.

We can see that even though the relative isotopic yields seem cut for the most symmetric fragments (figure 16), when normalised with the mass yields, all the isotopic distributions are complete (figure 17). The full element yields can be used for the investigation of the even-odd effect (with some minor correction for $Z=48$). They are displayed in figure 18 in linear and logarithmic scale.




Figure 12: Isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{239}Pu . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are indicated.




Figure 13: Element yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{239}Pu , in linear and logarithmic scale.

8 Conclusions

The isotopic yields and element yields obtained at the Lohengrin spectrometer must be used with precaution when investigating even-odd structure on the element yield. Indeed, we have shown in the present work, that in general, the isotopic distribution for the most symmetric and most asymmetric fission are not complete. Conclusively, the element yields deduced from the sum of the corresponding isotopic distributions may present fake trends produced by the truncated isotopic distributions of some elements. The impact on further investigations such as the local even-odd staggering may lead to wrong conclusions. As a consequence, the importance of other types of measurements dedicated to the direct measurement of the element yield, such as [2] or [11] has to be acknowledged.

In the following table, we summarise the different element yields that




Figure 14: Absolute isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{245}Cm . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are displayed.




Figure 15: Element yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{245}Cm , in linear and logarithmic scale.

can be deduced from the isotopic yields measured at Lohengrin. When the isotopic distribution is cut, the yield is marked with an asteriks. If possible to correct, with a gaussian fit of the existing distribution, the corrected yield is indicated. The figure 19 show the local even-odd effect, determined from the genuine isotopic yields, compared to even-odd effect from element yields that have been corrected for truncated isotopic distribution, if possible.

References

- [1] J.P. Bocquet, R. Brissot, H. R. Faust, M. Fowler, J. Wilhelmy, M. Asghar and M. Djebara, Zeit. für Phys. A 335 (1990) 41
- [2] M. Djebara et al, Nucl.Phys. A 425 (1984) 120

	Cf250	Cm246	Pu240	U234	U236	Th230
Z	Y(Z)	Y(Z)	Y(Z)	Y(Z)	Y(Z)	Y(Z)
28		0.00019			0.00004* (0.00005)	
29	0.000293	0.00042			0.00037* (0.00039)	
30	0.0032	0.00768			0.0133	
31	0.012	0.0286		0.029 *	0.0526	0.15* (0.18)
32	0.073	0.199		0.567 *(0.586)	0.453	4.91
33	0.189	0.475	0.007*	1.26	0.875	4.82
34	0.534	1.178	0.496*	4.631	4.065	26.87
35	0.868	1.57	1.839	6.336	4.959	12.59
36	1.603	3.37	4.815	17.928	15.684	25.92
37	2.435	3.97	6.487	13.419	12.202	9.24
38	4.189	6.6	12.840	19.229	18.972	11.75
39	5.081	8.7	12.259	11.725	12.017	2.46* (2.74)
40	7.903	12.1	17.298	14.7	17.815	0.54* (0.88)
41	10.14	12.5	14.049	5.948	7.73	
42	13.34	15.8	16.562	3.721*	4.697* (4.747)	
43	13.20	12.3	6.869* (6.923)	0.364*	0.2446*	
44	14.53	12.7	2.268* (3.54)	0.06*		
45	11.48	6.7	0.105*	0.003*		
46	9.49	2.75				
47	5.04	0.59*				
48	1.04* (1.41)					

Table 2: Element yields of the fissioning systems studied at Lohengrin. When the mass distribution is incomplete, the yield is marked with an asterix. When possible to correct by fitting the truncated distribution with a gaussian, the extrapolated yield is indicated in parenthesis.




Figure 16: Isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{249}Cf . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are indicated. The mass yield is represented on the same Figure, multiplied by a factor 100 for clarity.




Figure 17: Absolute isotopic yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{249}Cf . The corresponding fission-fragment atomic numbers are indicated.

- [3] W. Lang, H.-G. Clerc, H. Wolfarth, H. Schrader, K.-H. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. A 345 (1980) 34
- [4] J. L. Sida , P. Armbruster, M. Bernas, J.P. Bocquet, R. Brissot, H.R. Faust, Nucl. Phys. A 502 (1989) 233c
- [5] U. Quade et al., Nucl. Phys. A 487 (1988) 1
- [6] C. Schmitt et al., Nucl. Phys. A 430 (1984) 21




Figure 18: Element yields of thermal-neutron induced fission of ^{249}Cf , in linear and logarithmic scale.

- [7] D. Rochman, H. Faust, I. Tsekhanovich, F. Gönnerwein, F. Storrer, S. Oberstedt, V. Sokolov, Nucl. Phys. A 710 (2002) 3
- [8] D. Rochman, I. Tsekhanovich, F. Gönnerwein, V. Sokolov, F. Storrer, G. Simpson, O. Serot, Nucl. Phys. A 735 (2004) 3
- [9] M. Djebara et al, Nucl.Phys. A 496 (1989) 346
- [10] R. Hentzschel, H. R. Faust, H. O. Denschlag, B. D. Wilkins, J. Gindier, Nucl. Phys. A 571 (1994) 427
- [11] K.-H. Schmidt et al., Nucl. Phys. A 665 (2000) 221




Figure 19: Local even-odd effect for the different systems studied at Lohengrin (full circles). When truncated and if possible, the isotopic distributions are completed by gaussian extrapolation. The resulting even-odd effect is displayed with open circles.