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1 INTRODUCTION

Starting in 2004 the Galactic Plane Survey (Aharonian et al. 2006b) performed by the H.E.S.S. Collaboration, using an array of imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACTs), led to the discovery of nearly 70 new sources in the very-high-energy (VHE, E>100 GeV) γ-ray regime (Carrigan et al. 2013). The challenge since then has been to associate these sources with astrophysical objects seen in other wavelengths and to identify the underlying radiation mechanisms. A large fraction of the Galactic VHE γ-ray population could be associated with regions with recent star-forming activity and to objects at late stages of stellar evolution such as supernova remnants (SNRs) and the nebulae produced by powerful young pulsars (for a review, see e.g. Hinton & Hofmann 2009). 

In many cases where an astrophysical counterpart to the VHE γ-ray emission could be identified, however, the nature of the underlying particle population remains unclear. Highly energetic γ-ray emission could be either produced by relativistic electrons or protons (and heavier nuclei). Relativistic hadrons undergo inelastic scattering with nuclei in the interstellar medium (ISM), producing π⁰-decay γ-ray emission. Ultra-relativistic electrons, on the other hand, can up-scatter low-energy photons present at the acceleration site via the Inverse Compton (IC) process. In very dense media Bremstrahlung losses of electrons can significantly contribute to the generated γ-ray emission. IACTs can play a key role in identifying the underlying particle population and studying non-thermal processes in γ-ray sources by localising the emission region and constraining the energy spectrum at very high energies.

The VHE γ-ray source HESS J1640−465 was discovered by H.E.S.S. in the Galactic Plane Survey (Aharonian et al. 2006b) and is positionally coincident with the SNR G338.3−0.0 (Whiteoak & Green 1996). Using XMM-Newton observations Funk et al. (2007) detected a highly absorbed extended X-ray source (XMMU J164045.4−463131) close to the geometric centre of the SNR and within the H.E.S.S. source region. The X-ray and VHE γ-ray emission components were interpreted as synchrotron and IC emission from relativistic electrons in a pulsar wind nebula (PWN). Observations with Chandra confirmed the presence of the extended nebula and identified a point-like source which was suggested to be the associated pulsar (Lemière et al. 2009). Recently, Castelletti et al. (2011) analysed new high-resolution multi-frequency radio data of G338.3−0.0 but could only set upper limits on the radio flux from a potential extended radio nebula. Fermi-LAT observations revealed a high-energy (HE, 100 MeV<E<100 GeV) γ-ray source coincident with HESS J1640−465 (Slane et al. 2010), also designated 2FGL 1640.5−4633 in the two-year Fermi-LAT catalogue (Nolan et al. 2012). Note that no pulsation has been found in any wavelength band so far. Due to the large γ-ray to X-ray ratio luminosity (Lγ/Lx ≃ 30) Funk et al. (2007) inferred an evolved PWN with a low magnetic field and an injection spectrum that consists of a Maxwellian electron population with a power-law tail (as e.g. proposed by Spitkovsky 2008) to reproduce the broadband spectral energy distribution (SED) in a leptonic scenario. A hadronic origin of the γ-ray emission was considered to be unlikely as it would require rather high ambient densities (n ≃ 100 cm⁻³), implying intense thermal radiation in X-rays from the SNR shell that has so far not been detected.

Lemière et al. (2009) performed a detailed study of the gaseous environment of G338.3−0.0, and based on the HI absorption features, derived a distance of (8−13) kpc. A recent study of the nearby stellar cluster Mercer 81 and the giant HII region G338.4+0.1 by Davies et al. (2012) supports this estimate, which implies that HESS J1640−465 is the most luminous VHE γ-ray source known in the Galaxy. Throughout this work, a distance of 10 kpc is assumed. Since the original discovery of HESS J1640−465, the available H.E.S.S. exposure towards this source has quadrupled w.r.t the data used in (Aharonian et al. 2006b), and advanced analysis methods are now available that allow for a much more detailed spectral and morphological study of the VHE γ-ray emission. In this work, H.E.S.S. follow-up studies and a re-analysis of XMM-Newton data are presented. Both the broadband SED and the TeV morphology reveal evidence for proton acceleration in the SNR shell of G338.3−0.0.

2 H.E.S.S. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

H.E.S.S. is an array of five imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes located in Namibia designed to detect VHE γ-rays. The fifth telescope started operation in September 2012. All H.E.S.S. data used to perform the studies described below have been taken between May 2004 and September 2011 with the four-telescope array (Aharonian et al. 2006a). The total dead time corrected live time amounts to 63.4 hr, compared to 14.3 hr in the original publication (Aharonian et al. 2006b). Observations have been performed at zenith angles between 20° and 65° with a mean value of ~33°. The data were recorded with pointing offsets between 0.2° and 1.8° with a mean value of 1.1° from the HESS J1640−465 position. Data were analysed using a standard Hillas-type H.E.S.S.
2.1 Morphology

The source position and morphology have been obtained with hard cuts and using the ring background estimation method (Berge et al. 2007). In this setup a minimum intensity in the camera image of 160 p.e. is required, resulting in an energy threshold of $E_{th} = 600$ GeV and a point spread function (PSF) with 68% containment radius of $r_{68} = 0.09^\circ$ for the morphology studies. The fit of a symmetric two-dimensional Gaussian profile, convolved with the H.E.S.S. PSF with Sherpa (Freeman et al. 2001) gives a best-fit position of RA $16^h40^m41.0^s \pm 1.0_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.3_{\text{sys}}$ and Dec $-46^\circ32'31'' \pm 14'_{\text{stat}} \pm 20''_{\text{sys}}$ (J2000), consistent with the previously published value (Aharonian et al. 2006b). The systematic error on the best-fit position originates from the pointing precision of the H.E.S.S. array of about $20''$. The source is intrinsically extended with a Gaussian width of $\sigma_S = (4.3 \pm 0.2)'$. This extension is $1.6' \pm 2\sigma$ larger than in the original publication, which can be understood as fainter emission belonging to HESS J1640$-$465 that can now be revealed with the increased data set. Figure 1 shows the H.E.S.S. best-fit position and extension overlaid on the VHE $\gamma$-ray excess map. The VHE $\gamma$-ray source encloses the northern part of the SNR shell of G338.3$-$0.0, the candidate PWN XMMU J164045.4$-$463131 (Funk et al. 2007) and the Fermi-LAT source 2FGL 1640.5$-$4633 (Slane et al. 2010; Nolan et al. 2012). Figure 1 also shows some indication for an asymmetric extension of the emission along the northern part of the shell and towards the newly discovered source HESS J1641$-$463 (Oya et al. 2013). This extension is also seen as residual VHE $\gamma$-ray emission when subtracting the source model from the sky map, indicating that the symmetric Gaussian model for HESS J1640$-$465 is an oversimplification. The residual emission could indicate some emission in between HESS J1640$-$465 and HESS J1641$-$463. This component is however not detected with high significance, making a discussion of its origin difficult in this context. Morphological fits in energy bands do not reveal any significant change in best-fit position and/or extension, which would have indicated a change in source morphology with energy (as e.g. seen in the PWN HESS J1825$-$137 or HESS J1303$-$631; Aharonian et al. 2006c; Abramowski et al. 2012a).

2.2 Spectrum

The VHE $\gamma$-ray spectrum is shown in Figure 2 and has been extracted using std cuts (60 p.e. minimum image intensity, $E_{th} = 260$ GeV), using the reflected region background method (Berge et al. 2007) and forward folding with a maximum likelihood optimisation (Piron et al. 2001) from the 90% containment radius of the VHE $\gamma$-ray emission of HESS J1640$-$465 of 0.18° around the best-fit position. The fit of a power law with exponential cut-off: $dN/dE = \Phi_0 \times (E/1 \text{ TeV})^{-\Gamma} \times e^{-E/E_c}$ results in a photon index $\Gamma = 2.11 \pm 0.09_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.13_{\text{sys}}$, a differential flux normalisation at 1 TeV of $\Phi_0 = (3.3 \pm 0.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.6_{\text{sys}}) \times 10^{-12} \text{ TeV}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a cut-off energy of $E_c = 6.0^{+2.0}_{-1.2} \text{ TeV}$. The systematic errors on flux norm and index for this data set are based on the difference seen between the main and cross-check analysis and are a result of uncertainties in e.g. atmospheric conditions, simulations, broken pixels, analysis cuts, or the run-selection. The fit probability $p$ for an exponential cut-off power law model is $p \sim 36\%$, whereas the fit probability for a pure power law model is $p \sim 1\%$. The luminosity of HESS J1640$-$465 above 1 TeV at 10 kpc distance is $L_{\gamma,1\text{TeV}} \simeq 4.6 \times 10^{35} (d/10 \text{ kpc})^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, a factor of $\sim 2.8$ higher than that of the Crab nebula.

$^1$ The software package HAP version 12-03-p02 with version32 of the lookup tables was used.
A detection algorithm was used in four energy bands (the standard interval filtering. To detect and remove point-like X-ray sources (MOS), following the suggested standard criteria for good-time-account statistical errors only.

The fit has been performed on the binned H.E.S.S spectrum shown in Figure 3) has been performed. The result of this fit is summarised in Table 1 and shows that the flux at 1 TeV, the photon index as well as the cut-off energy are consistent with the fit to the H.E.S.S.-only X-ray spectrum (Table 1).

The photon index as reconstructed with the new H.E.S.S. data at TeV energies is compatible with the photon index as reconstructed in the GeV domain (Slane et al. 2010; Nolan et al. 2012; Ackermann et al. 2013). A simultaneous exponential cut-off power law fit to the GeV data points as derived by Slane et al. (2010), and new TeV data between 200 MeV and 90 TeV (shown in Figure 3) has been performed. The result of this fit is summarised in Table 1 and shows that the flux at 1 TeV, the photon index as well as the cut-off energy are consistent with the fit to the H.E.S.S.-only data. The fit has a $\chi^2$ of 21 for 24 degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) with a probability of 63% and implies that no break in the $\gamma$-ray spectrum between the Fermi and H.E.S.S. energy range is required in order to describe the data.

**3 XMM-NEWTON DATA ANALYSIS**

Funk et al. (2007) reported the detection of the candidate PWN XMMU J164045.4–463131 with XMM-Newton and introduced it as a potential counterpart of HESS J1640–465. As becomes clear from Figure 2, the VHE $\gamma$-ray emission region also overlaps with the northern part of the shell of SNR G338.3–0.0. To investigate $\gamma$-ray emission scenarios related to the SNR, the XMM-NEWTON data (ObsId: 0302560201) were re-analysed to derive an upper limit for diffuse X-ray emission originating from the northern part of the shell. For the analysis the Science Analysis System (SAS) version 12.0.1 was used, supported by tools from the FTOOLS package and XSPEC version 12.5.0 (Arnaud 1996) for spectral modelling. The data are affected by long periods of strong background flaring activity resulting in net exposures of only 5.9 ks (PN) and 13.5 ks (MOS), following the suggested standard criteria for good-time-interval filtering. To detect and remove point-like X-ray sources the standard XMM-NEWTON SAS maximum likelihood source detection algorithm was used in four energy bands (0.5–1.0 keV, 1.0–2.0 keV, 2.0–4.5 keV, and 4.5–10.0 keV). Events around all sources detected in any of these bands were removed from a region corresponding to the 95% containment radius of the XMM-NEWTON PSF at the respective source position in the detector. The total flux upper limit was derived assuming that the remaining count-rate from a polygon region enclosing the northern part of the shell is due to background. A power-law model with photon index $\Gamma_X = 2$ was applied to constrain non-thermal leptonic emission. Two different absorption column densities as found in the literature, $N_{\text{H},1} = 6.1 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Funk et al. 2007) and $N_{\text{H},2} = 1.4 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Lemire et al. 2009), have been considered. No diffuse X-ray emission coincident with the SNR shell was detected with this data set. The resulting 99% confidence upper limits for the unabsorbed flux ((2–10) keV) are $F_{99}(N_{\text{H},1}) = 4.4 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and $F_{99}(N_{\text{H},2}) = 8.3 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. These values have been scaled up by 11% to account for the missing area due to excluded point-like sources.

**4 DISCUSSION**

The H.E.S.S. source encloses the PWN candidate XMMU J164045.4–463131 as well as the north-western half of the incomplete shell of G338.3–0.0. The comprehensive multi-wavelength data available together with the new H.E.S.S. and XMM-NEWTON results allow for a much more detailed investigation of the SED and hence the underlying non-thermal processes to be carried out. As the evolutionary state of G338.3–0.0 is essential for the discussion, the age of the SNR is estimated, and the environment in which it likely expanded is investigated. These estimates will form the basis for the discussion of the origin of the non-thermal emission in a PWN and SNR scenario.

**4.1 Age and Environment of G338.3–0.0**

The age and environment of the SNR have a large influence on the interpretation and modeling of the emission scenario and thus deserve discussion in this context. Previous estimates put the age of the SNR in the range of (5–8) kyr (Slane et al. 2010), however, as becomes evident from the discussion below, it may be significantly younger than that.

If the X-ray PWN is indeed related to the SNR, then G338.3–0.0 originated from a core-collapse supernova explosion of a massive star. Such stars usually modify the surrounding medium through strong stellar winds, creating a cavity of relatively low density surrounded by a high-density shell of swept-up material. Such a wind-blown bubble scenario has never been considered for this object, but needs to be explored for a detailed discussion of the $\gamma$-ray emission mechanisms possibly at work in HESS J1640–465. These cavities have significant impact on the evolution of the subsequent supernova shock front, and such scenarios have been evoked to explain the properties of other SNRs like the Cygnus Loop (e.g. Levenson et al. 1998), RCW 86 (Vink et al. 1997), and RX J1713.7–3946 (Fukui et al. 2003), all of which have physical diameters similar to G338.3–0.0. Chevalier (1999) estimated the size of wind-blown cavities by requiring a pressure equilibrium between the inside and the bubble, which has been pressurised by the total energy of the wind: $1/2 M v_w^2$, and the surrounding medium. Here, $M$ is the mean mass-loss rate, $v_w$ is the wind speed and $\tau$ is the lifetime of the star. With a distance of 10 kpc, the radius of the observed shell of G338.3–0.0 is 10 pc, which is assumed here to be...
comparable to the size of the wind-blown bubble. Such sizes can be achieved by a typical $\sim 20 M_\odot$ O-type star with $\tau \approx 7$ Myr, $M \approx 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, and $v_w \approx 2600$ km s$^{-1}$, evolving in an HII region with temperature 10 K (Osterbrock 1989) and average density of $n \sim 150$ cm$^{-3}$ (see below, Kudritzki & Puls 2000; Muijres et al. 2012). This corresponds to a total mass loss in the main sequence phase of 0.7 $M_\odot$. An extreme case that may provide a lower limit to the age of the SNR can be derived by the assumption that the remaining material inside the cavity solely originates from the stellar wind. The mean number density then is $n_0 \sim 0.0$ cm$^{-3}$ with a total mass swept up by the SN shock of 0.7 $M_\odot$. This means that the SNR shock would evolve freely expanding up to the radius of the wind-blown bubble. Assuming average shock velocities between $(5000 - 10000)$ km s$^{-1}$ the age of the SNR would be $(1 - 2)$ kyr, which is considerably younger than the estimate of $(5 - 8)$ kyr by Slane et al. (2010), owing to the lower density.

In addition to the SNR age, also the density of the ISM in the immediate vicinity of the shock region has major impact on the interpretation of the emission scenario. The density in the shell surrounding the wind-blown bubble can be estimated with various methods, i.e. via thermal radio emission, thermal X-ray measurements and HI absorption studies. Castelletti et al. (2011) found evidence for thermal radioemission in the SNR shell indicating the presence of dense material. The authors infer electron densities based on the free-free absorption feature in the radio spectrum of $n_e \sim (100 - 165)$ cm$^{-3}$. No diffuse X-ray emission from the SNR shell have been reported in Funk et al. (2007), and in the previous section upper limits have been derived. Slane et al. (2010) argue that therefore high gas densities are not supported. However, the lack of observed thermal X-ray emission might be consistent with the very large distance and high column densities inferred from the XMM-Newton and Chandra spectra (Lemiere et al. 2009) of the PWN XMMU J164045.4−463131; especially if the plasma temperature is below 1 keV. Only for higher temperatures, as e.g. observed from Kes 32 (Vink 2004), could observable thermal X-rays be expected from this source. Particularly, SNRs evolving rapidly inside low-density wind-blown cavities are not expected to produce significant thermal X-ray emission. Only when the SNR shock hits the surrounding shell, the medium in the shock region thermalises rapidly and cools extremely fast, which makes the SNR an efficient emitter of hard thermal X-rays, but only during a short time. Later, the temperatures are expected to drop significantly below 1 keV due to the decreased shock speeds of only a few 100 km s$^{-1}$ (see e.g. Tenorio-Tagle et al. 1991). As outlined above, due to the high absorption towards G338.3−0.0 such emission is not expected to be detectable.

Finally, the HI absorption feature can be used to infer a maximum (neutral) gas density. Assuming that all of the HI gas as studied by Lemiere et al. (2009) between $-65$ km s$^{-1}$ and $-55$ km s$^{-1}$ is associated with G338.3−0.0 and located in a shell with 4 pc thickness (as supported by radio observations) at 10 kpc, a maximum density of $n_{H,max} \approx 600$ cm$^{-3}$ can be derived. However, since some of the absorbing gas may not be associated with G338.3−0.0, average neutral gas densities $\bar{n}_H$ lower than that are also plausible. From the HI absorption measurements and the thermal radio emission, the hydrogen gas (neutral plus ionised) in the region is consistent with densities of $\bar{n}_H \gtrsim (100 - 150)$ cm$^{-3}$. Purcell et al. (2012) performed a survey for high-density gas $(n \gtrsim 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$) in NH$_3$ transition lines in the Galactic plane. With the sensitivity of this survey and given that no emission in these transition lines is seen towards HESS J1640−465 a molecular cloud more massive than $\sim 8000 M_\odot$ is not supported by the data. However, this does not exclude the existence of smaller, similarly dense clumps of material in the shell region (see below). There is also no maser emission detected towards the TeV emission, which would have indicated the interaction of a shock wave with dense material (e.g. Walsh et al. 2011).

## 4.2 PWN scenario

The positional coincidence of HESS J1640−465 and 2FGL J1640.5−4633 with the candidate X-ray PWN XMMU J164045.4−463131 is seen as evidence for leptonic $\gamma$-ray emission from a PWN (Funk et al. 2007; Lemiere et al. 2009; Slane et al. 2010). In these scenarios, electrons are accelerated to energies of hundreds of TeV in the PWN, radiate via synchrotron and IC processes and produce the observed X-ray and HE and/or VHE $\gamma$-ray emission. In the following the PWN interpretation will be confronted with the new spectral and morphological H.E.S.S. results and the available multi-wavelength information.

The $\gamma$-ray spectrum of middle-aged and old PWNe is characterised by a break in the SED at $\Delta \Gamma = 0.5$ at the energy where the IC/synchrotron loss time of the parent electron population is similar to the age of the source (e.g. Hinton & Holtmann 2009). For young PWNe ($\sim 1$ kyr) the $\gamma$-ray spectrum from interactions of electrons with magnetic and radiation fields is effectively uncooled up to the cut-off energy as IC and synchrotron loss times are much longer in a typical PWN environment. This leads to a peak in the IC and synchrotron spectra at energies just below the cut-off energy in the electron spectrum. An IC peak (or spectral break) is seen for all of the GeV and TeV identified PWNe (e.g. Grondin et al. 2011; Aharonian et al. 2006c; Abdou et al. 2010a; Aharonian et al. 2005; Abramowski et al. 2012b), but not for HESS J1640−465. To reproduce the observed $\gamma$-ray spectral index $\Gamma_\gamma \gtrsim 2.2$ for a young object $(\lesssim 2.5$ kyr), the injection spectrum has to be $\Gamma_e = 3.4$, as $\Gamma_e = (2\Gamma_\gamma - 1)$ – an index significantly steeper than predicted by Fermi acceleration theory. Slane et al. (2010) suggested an additional Maxwellian low-energy electron component in order to explain the smooth connection of the HE and VHE $\gamma$-ray spectra. As shown in Section 2.3 the new high-quality H.E.S.S. spectrum connects with the GeV spectrum without any discernable features and thus does not require such a contribution. In fact, a $\chi^2$ test of the Slane et al. (2010) model on the binned GeV and TeV spectrum results in a $\chi^2 = 189$ for 25 $d.o.f.$ with very low probability, not supporting a significant contribution of such a Maxwellian compo-

---

### Table 1. Best-fit spectrum results of the new H.E.S.S. data as shown in Figure 2 and in combination with the GeV spectrum from Slane et al. (2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>$E_{\text{min}}$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$\Phi_0$</th>
<th>$E_\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GeV</td>
<td>TeV</td>
<td></td>
<td>10$^{-12}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.E.S.S.</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.E.S.S. + Fermi-LAT</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
nent. This can be compared to the exponential cut-off power law model as shown in Table\textsuperscript{1} which has a $\chi^2 = 21$ for 24 d.o.f.

From a theoretical point of view, the extent of the PWN is expected to be smaller than its associated SNR (e.g. Blondin et al. 2001). This prediction is supported by observations of several PWNe, including MSH 15–52 (Aharonian et al. 2005) and Vela X (Abramowski et al. 2012). The intrinsic size of HESS J1640–465 at TeV energies, however, is larger than G338.3–0.0 and features significant overlap with the shell of the SNR—a behaviour that is not seen for any other PWN.

At radio wavelengths, Castelletti et al. (2011) derived upper limits on the possible radio emission from the PWN at various wavelengths, with the most constraining limit of $3.7 \times 10^{-17}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 610 MHz within the X-ray PWN. Due to the different cooling times of the underlying electron population, the PWN is expected to have a larger extent in radio than in X-rays (e.g. Gaensler & Slane 2000). As no radio emission has been detected at the X-ray PWN position, it is hard to estimate the size and hence total flux from a potential radio PWN. The 610 MHz map shows a deficit of emission at the X-ray PWN position and some enhancement inside the rest of the SNR. This could be associated with projected SNR emission, or with a relic radio PWN. For young PWNe the peak of the radio emission is expected to be close to the pulsar position. Since the radio surface brightness around the putative pulsar is much lower compared to the rest of the SNR interior, this would imply that the radio excess is related to projected shell emission. For older systems, however, the radio PWN can very well fill the full interior of the SNR shell. As a compromise, the limit as given by Castelletti et al. (2011) is scaled up by a factor of 16 to cover the interior of the SNR shell. In this case the radio limit is a factor of ~five below the model curves in Lemiere et al. (2009) and Slane et al. (2010), and would imply a low-energy cut-off of the underlying electron spectrum significantly higher than the 50 GeV as used by Lemiere et al. (2009).

In summary, the interpretation of the GeV and TeV emission as solely originating from a PWN is very difficult as neither the $\gamma$-ray spectrum, nor the morphology or the radio data support such a picture. A possible solution would be that the GeV emission has a different origin than the TeV emission. This, however, requires fine-tuning to explain the smooth Fermi and H.E.S.S. spectrum and the positional coincidence of the GeV and TeV sources. Also the TeV spectrum alone does not show any significant deviation from a pure power law below the cut-off energy, which would be expected for a young PWN. In fact, the radio upper limit in Castelletti et al. (2011), the X-ray data and a non-dominant IC component in the $\gamma$-ray regime would be consistent with XMMU J164045.4–463131 being a young PWN (c.f. Fig. 5 in Funk et al. 2007). In general, the featureless $\gamma$-ray spectrum over almost six decades in energy is challenging for any leptonic model as spectral breaks and sharp cut-offs are expected in the resulting SED due to cooling and Klein-Nishina effects, respectively (e.g. Hinton & Hofmann 2009).

The $\gamma$-ray emission also significantly overlaps with the northwestern part of the shell of G338.3–0.0 and it is hence quite natural to explore an origin of the non-thermal emission in the SNR shell. Especially the spectral characteristics of HESS J1640–465 are similar to that of prominent Galactic SNRs interacting with molecular clouds such as W28, W51C or IC 443 (see Ohm 2012 and references therein). In the following the focus will be on an origin of the non-thermal emission in the SNR shell, bearing in mind that some fraction of the total TeV emission could plausibly originate from the PWN.

### 4.3 SNR scenario

Given the spectral and morphological similarity of HESS J1640–465 with other Galactic SNRs interacting with molecular clouds, an SNR origin of the non-thermal emission is studied in the following. In a hadronic $\gamma$-ray emission scenario, a high-density is required to provide sufficient target material for the relativistic protons to produce neutral pions which subsequently decay into energetic photons (see e.g. Aharonian et al. 1994). This high density material outside the SNR shock could either be the wind shell surrounding the stellar wind bubble, or the dense material known to exist in the vicinity of HESS J1640–465. The relatively low ISM density inside the wind-blown bubble would not be sufficient to account for the bulk of the observed $\gamma$-ray emission, and thus the target material must be of different origin. In the environment of G338.3–0.0 there could be at least two possibilities for the occurrence of sufficiently dense ISM: a) As discussed in Section 4.1 and following Chevalier (1999), wind-blown bubbles are surrounded by a thin dense shell containing the bulk of the material swept-up by the stellar wind. If the expanding shock of G338.3–0.0 is now close to this region, accelerated protons might interact with this dense material and subsequently produce the observed $\gamma$ rays. b) A second possibility is that the SNR shock expands into a highly inhomogeneous ISM towards the nearby HII region featuring dense clumps of molecular gas surrounding by regions of comparatively low density. Here, the particles could be efficiently accelerated within the inter-clump medium while energetic protons can penetrate into the dense clumps and produce the observed $\gamma$-ray emission. This scenario has already been proposed for the young (~2 kyr) VHE $\gamma$-ray emitting SNR RX J1713.7–3946 (see Zirakashvili & Aharonian 2010) where dense molecular cloud cores have been detected in the shock region (e.g. Sano et al. 2010). Such ISM conditions are probably also present in the vicinity of G338.3–0.0, due to its vicinity to a massive and dense HII region, making this emission scenario also viable for HESS J1640–465.

In contrast to middle-aged interacting SNRs like IC 443 (Abdo et al. 2011c) and W 44 (Abdo et al. 2010b) where the $\gamma$-ray spectra are strongly peaked at GeV energies, RX J1713.7–3946 and other young SNRs emit a large fraction of their high-energy emission in the TeV regime, either due to a different radiation process or their earlier stage in evolution. Figure 3 shows a comparison between the GeV–TeV spectra of HESS J1640–465 and RX J1713.7–3946 as seen by Fermi and H.E.S.S. Interestingly, their spectral shapes in the TeV regime are very similar, which could support an age younger than (10 – 20) kyr for G338.3–0.0. However, the TeV spectrum becomes much harder for RX J1713.7–3946 but keeps the same slope for HESS J1640–465. Leptonic models giving rise to the observed shape of the $\gamma$-ray spectrum of RX J1713.7–3946 have been discussed in the literature quite extensively (see e.g. Abdo et al. 2011, Yuan et al. 2011). However, following Zirakashvili & Aharonian (2010), the change in slope towards lower energies for RX J1713.7–3946 could also be explained in a hadronic scenario by the smaller penetration depths into the dense molecular cloud cores for protons with lower energies (see also Inoue et al. 2012). These particles therefore cannot interact with the same amount of material as protons with higher energies, giving rise to an under-luminous and harder GeV $\gamma$-ray spectrum. The fact that this feature is not seen for HESS J1640–465 might indicate an older remnant than e.g. RX J1713.7–3946 (i.e. $\geq 2.5$ kyr) or different diffusion properties of the local ISM that allow also low-energy protons to fully penetrate the dense molecular clumps. An
When comparing the TeV morphology of HESS J1640−465 to G338.3−0.0 (Fig. 1), it becomes clear that γ-ray emission only shows significant overlap with the north-western (NW) part of the radio shell. Thus, in a hadronic scenario the lack of emission from the south-eastern (SE) shell needs to be explained. In such a model the γ-ray emission is expected to follow the distribution and the density of available target material in the shock region. Indeed, a correlation between the molecular and atomic gas and the VHE density of available target material in the shock region. The γ-ray emission in interactions with magnetic and radiation fields, respectively. High-energy protons produce π0-decay γ-ray emission in interactions with material in the SNR shell. The broadband SED can be explained in this scenario with a reasonable choice of input parameters. The leptonic component can be constrained by the observed synchrotron spectrum from radio to X-rays. In this model calculation, a magnetic field of B = 35 μG, maximum electron energy of Ec,e = 10 TeV and electron spectral index of Γe = 2.0 is required to reproduce the radio spectrum and to not violate the X-ray limit. The target radiation fields have been chosen based on Lemiere et al. (2009), with a dust component that has been increased to account for the five times higher radiation field energy density in the northern part of the shell. It is clear from Figure 5 that the predicted IC emission is at least two orders of magnitude below the observed γ-ray emission for an assumed electron-to-proton (e/p) ratio of 10−2. Furthermore, the smooth connection of the HE and VHE γ-ray spectrum cannot be explained. A considerably higher e/p ratio of ∼ 0.1 (and lower magnetic field of B ≈ 10 μG) is required to reach the TeV flux. Even in this case, the IC spectral shape and maximum energy is not supported by the VHE γ-ray spectrum. In dense environments, Bremssstrahlung can significantly contribute to the non-thermal emission. Densities as high as 500 cm−3 and e/p ratios of 0.1 are, however, required to reach the flux observed by H.E.S.S.

In a hadronic scenario, a total energy transferred into protons of Wp = 2.5 × 1050 erg, maximum proton energy Ec,p = 50 TeV and spectral index of Γp = 2.2 as well as an average ambient density ̃nH = 150 cm−3 is required to reproduce the GeV−TeV spectrum. The measured TeV flux coupled with the large estimated distance of ∼ 10 kpc would imply that HESS J1640−465 is the most luminous Galactic VHE γ-ray SNR detected so far (Lγ > 1 TeV ≈ 4.6 × 1015 (d/10 kpc)2 erg s−1). The TeV luminosity is therefore about one order of magnitude higher than that of the W51C SNR (Aleksić et al. 2012). Due to the harder γ-ray spectral index, HESS J1640−465 has a total γ-ray luminosity comparable to W51C. The product of total energy in interacting protons and mean ambient density of Wp ̃nH ≈ 4 × 1053 (d/10 kpc)2 erg cm−3 requires a considerable amount of SN kinetic energy that is transferred to high-energy protons and/or a high average density of the target material as motivated before. With the gas densities estimated above, a very large energy in protons is needed to reach

Figure 4. Spitzer MIPS 24 μm image in units of MJy sr−1 with overlaid contours from the smoothed H.E.S.S. excess map (white) and contours of the north-western part of the SNR shell from the 610 MHz image, convolved with the H.E.S.S. PSF (magenta, c.f. Fig. 1).
the measured GeV and TeV flux. This implies that either the SN explosion was as energetic as \( E_{SN} \approx 4 \times 10^{44} (d/10 \text{kpc})^2 \text{erg} \) (assuming a canonical 10% of SN explosion energy is channeled into cosmic rays) and/or that the fraction of \( E_{SN} \) transferred into relativistic protons is significantly larger than the canonical 10%, i.e. up to \( \approx 40 (d/10 \text{kpc})^2 \% \) for a typical \( E_{SN} = 10^{45} \text{erg} \). Note that this estimate can be even higher, as only the northern half of the SNR shell seems to be illuminated by cosmic rays.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The detailed H.E.S.S. results presented in this work show that the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray emission from HESS J1640-465 significantly overlaps with the north-western part of the SNR shell of G338.3-0.0. Moreover, the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum smoothly connects with the Fermi spectrum and has a high-energy cut-off that implies that particles with tens of TeV energies are present in the acceleration region. The TeV morphology, new radio measurements and the overall \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum are hard to explain in a scenario where most of the non-thermal emission is coming from the PWN. The broadband SED and morphology of the non-thermal emission from HESS J1640-465 can be better explained in a scenario where protons are accelerated in the shell of G338.3-0.0 and interact with dense gas associated with the G338.4+0.1 HII complex. In this case, the product of total energy in interacting protons and mean ambient density \( W_\gamma dH \approx 4 \times 10^{42} (d/10 \text{kpc})^2 \text{erg cm}^{-3} \) required to explain the flux measured by Fermi and H.E.S.S. is comparable to the \( \gamma \)-ray-emitting SNR W51C, although the TeV luminosity of HESS J1640-465 is an order of magnitude higher. In this picture, the non-detection of thermal X-rays is consistent with the large distance to G338.3-0.0 and the high column density along the line of sight. High resolution and high sensitivity molecular line observations in this region are required to locate the dense gas that might act as target material and to put limits on the explosion energy of G338.3-0.0. The future Cherenkov Telescope Array with its much better angular resolution and sensitivity is needed to further resolve the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray emission region(s) of HESS J1640-465 and to distinguish the contribution from the SN shell and the PWN in G338.3-0.0.
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