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Electron capture processes for low energy Ar$^{3+}$ ions colliding on Ar$_2$ dimer targets are investigated, focusing attention on charge sharing as a function of molecule orientation and impact parameter. A preference in charge-asymmetric dissociation channels is observed, with a strong correlation between the projectile scattering angle and the molecular ion orientation. The measurements provide here clear evidences that projectiles distinguish each atom in the target and, that electron capture from near-site atom is favored. Monte Carlo calculations based on the classical over-the-barrier model, with dimer targets represented as two independent atoms, are compared to the data. They give a new insight into the dynamics of the collision by providing, for the different electron capture channels, the two-dimensional probability maps $p(\vec{b})$, where $\vec{b}$ is the impact parameter vector in the molecular frame.

PACS numbers: 34.70.+e, 34.10.+x, 36.40.Mr

Experiment on an elementary reaction with well-defined geometry, where the orientation of the reactant is fixed in space and the impact parameter is well controlled is a challenging subject which must considerably deepen the understanding of chemical reactions. By virtue of molecule orientation techniques [1, 2], or by event-by-event measurements allowing to determine a posteriori the molecule orientation at the instant of the collision [3, 4], orientation dependence in collisions involving highly charged ions has now been studied for a large energy range [3–9]. Determination of the impact parameter is a more delicate issue: we cannot control the projectile beam and the target so as to collide with a desired impact parameter. Since Rutherford’s gold foil experiment, access to the impact parameter is provided by the exchange of transverse momentum between the projectile and the target. But this transverse momentum exchange is usually very small, and the emitted electrons contribute significantly to the momentum balance. Dependence on the molecule orientation may in that case inform on the range of impact parameters involved in the collision process. For fast collisions leading to multiple ionization of the target, orientation dependence was first qualitatively understood by a geometrical model [5, 10] and later interpreted using the Statistical Energy Deposition (SED) model [4, 6]. In the specific case of dimer targets, molecular orientation dependence helped to the identification of one-step (one-site) versus two-step (two-site) processes [8], or allowed the determination of impact-parameter-dependent ionization probability $p(\vec{b})$ for atomic scattering processes [9].

Now, when a diatomic molecule interacts with a projectile, one of the two atoms of the molecule may lie closer to the projectile trajectory than the other. A charged projectile generates highly localized electric field, and it is then natural to expect preferential ionization or capture from near-site atom to occur. To get access to such an atomic site sensitivity of the processes, one rely on the observation of both, the induced asymmetry in final charge sharing between the molecular fragments and, the orientation and position of the molecule with respect to the projectile ion trajectory. It requires a determination of the impact parameter vector $\vec{b}$ in the molecular frame, only accessible through measurements of transverse momentum exchange in coincidence with the molecule orientation. For fast collisions, such a measurement seems out of reach. But for multiple electron capture processes resulting from low energy highly-charged ion (HCI)-molecules collisions, the transverse momentum exchanged between the projectile and the molecule center-of-mass, without any emitted electron involved, may become measurable.

One difficulty remains in the fact that the observed asymmetry is the final charge sharing on the molecular fragments and the latter can be seriously disturbed by intramolecular charge redistribution. For low-energy collisions with HCIs, there are two conflicting scenarios for preferential charge sharing. To reduce the Coulomb repulsion between the ionized target and projectile ion, the electron sharing would lead to a lower charge on the near atomic site. Such behavior has been observed for N$_2$ covalent molecules and very low energy collisions (less than 100 eV/u), in an experiment sensitive to transverse momentum [7]. Contrarily, if electron mobility in the molecule is low, the near side would be preferentially ionized. In a previous paper, we have shown that the asymmetric charge sharing is favored in the fragmentation of argon dimers multiply ionized by electron capture.
in low energy collisions with \( \text{Ar}^{9+} \) projectiles[11]. The preference of asymmetric sharing to symmetric one in dimer case was interpreted in terms of low electron mobility. Provided that the charge sharing is practically frozen in a dimer target, the measurement of the transverse momentum exchange combined with the measurement of the dimer initial orientation should also show atomic site sensitivity in the outcome of the collision. We present here an experimental and theoretical study of atomic site sensitivity for this collision system, providing new insight into the multiple-electron capture processes for ion-molecule collisions.

The full details of the apparatus and data analyses are described in ref. [11, 12]. \( \text{Ar}^{9+} \) ions generated with an electron cyclotron resonance (ECR) ion source at the ARIBE-GANIL facility (Caen, France) were accelerated to 15 eV and introduced into the collision chamber. The \( \text{Ar}_2 \) dimer target was provided by a supersonic gas jet crossing the ion beam at 90°. Recoil ions resulting from charge transfer were collected using a uniform electric field and detected by a microchannel plate with a delay-line detector (DLD) giving both the time and position of ion detection. Fragment ions from the dimers were identified by double-hit time of flight (TOF) coincidence measurements followed by a cleaning procedure to eliminate false coincidence events. Detection of scattered projectile ions, which generated a trigger for the TOF measurements of recoil ions, was also position-sensitive, allowing to determine the final charge state of the scattered projectile. To determine the Kinetic Energy Release (KER) and orientation of the dissociating dimer, the momentum of each fragment ion in the center-of-mass coordinate was calculated from the position and TOF data, imposing momentum conservation restriction for optimal resolution [13]. The novelty, here, is that the transverse momentum transferred to the dimer center-of-mass during the collision was also inferred from these data sets, giving direct access to the transverse components of the scattered projectile momentum [14]. In spite of a resolution limited by the finite size of the collision region, the scattering angle of the projectile and its angle of emission, \( \phi_{\text{proj}} \), was thus determined with reasonable accuracy.

The setup is only sensitive to charged fragments. The fragmentation channels that could be observed, 
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ar}_2^{2+} &\rightarrow \text{Ar}^+ + \text{Ar}^+ &\text{for double capture (DC)}, \\
\text{Ar}_2^{3+} &\rightarrow \text{Ar}^{2+} + \text{Ar}^+ &\text{for triple capture (TC)}, \\
\text{Ar}_2^{3+} &\rightarrow \text{Ar}^{3+} + \text{Ar}^+ &\text{and} \\
\text{Ar}_2^{4+} &\rightarrow \text{Ar}^{2+} + \text{Ar}^{2+} &\text{for quadruple capture (QC),}
\end{align*}
\]

are respectively denoted by (1,1)\(_F\), (2,1)\(_F\), (3,1)\(_F\), and (2,2)\(_F\). To discriminate between fragmentation and capture channels, we use here the indices \( F \) and \( C \). For the double capture, as previously shown in [11, 12], the KER of the dissociating dimer gives access to the electron capture multiplicity on each site of the dimer. We can thus distinguish between "two-site" double capture (denoted here (1,1)\(_C\)) leading directly to coulomb explosion, and "one-site" double capture (denoted here (2,0)\(_C\)) that can relax through radiative charge transfer (RCT). For the fragmentation channels (2,1)\(_F\) and (3,1)\(_F\), the KER spectrum shows no evidence of RCT [12]. The transient non-dissociative molecular states populated by "one-site" TC and QC, denoted respectively (3,0)\(_C\) and (4,0)\(_C\), lead respectively to the (2,1)\(_F\) and (3,1)\(_F\) fragmentation channels through direct crossing with excited states. They can thus not be experimentally isolated from the (2,1)\(_C\) and (3,1)\(_C\) capture channels. The relative detected yields (without selection on the molecular orientation) associated to the fragmentation channels are shown in fig.1. The populations of the (2,0)\(_C\) and (1,1)\(_C\) channels are extracted using a KER spectrum where both contributions overlap [11]. About 20 % of the DC events can thus not be clearly attributed to the (2,0)\(_C\) or (1,1)\(_C\) channels, as indicated in fig.1. Relative uncertainties on all the fragmentation channel yields are purely statistical and remain below 3 %. The fig.1 clearly shows the preference for the asymmetric fragmentation channels interpreted in terms of low electron mobility between the two atoms of the dimer.

In the present study, we focus attention onto the angular correlation between the scattered projectile and the recoiling fragments for the different electron capture scenarios. To get a clearer view of impact parameter dependence in the molecular frame, we limit here the analysis to molecular targets oriented perpendicular to the beam axis at the moment of the collision. As schematically illustrated in the figures 2(a) and 2(b), the projectile scattering angle \( \phi_{\text{proj}} \) is given by the direction of the transverse momentum exchange due to the coulomb repulsion between the collision partners. It is thus closely related to the impact parameter vector \( \vec{b} \) in the molecular frame and to the final charge on the two sites of the molecule. In the fig.2(b), the molecular frame can be defined unambiguously by the angle \( \phi_{\text{coul}+} \). In the following analysis, we
will focus on the projectile scattering angle in the molecular frame, \( \phi_{\text{diff}} = \phi_{\text{Ar}^{A^+}} - \phi_{\text{proj}} \), were \( \text{Ar}^{A^+} \) is the most charged fragment. For symmetric fragmentation channels, the two fragments of equal charge are not anymore distinguishable and can both serve as a reference. This angle will in that case be defined modulo \( \pi \). The angular distributions in \( \phi_{\text{diff}} \) of the capture channels of interest are shown in fig. 3. A selection of the data corresponding to dimer targets oriented close to 90° (60° – 120°) in respect to the beam axis was first applied. In spite of the low resolution obtained on the angle \( \phi_{\text{proj}} \), one can see fig. 3 that for the asymmetric channels \( (2,1)_F \) and \( (3,1)_F \) the projectile is preferentially scattered in the direction of the most charged fragment. In the simple picture of the collision given by the fig. 2, this is a clear evidence that electron capture from the near site is favored. The distributions of the \( (1,1)_C \) and \( (2,2)_F \) symmetric channels reach their maximum at 90° and 270°, indicating dominant impact parameters close to the median plane of the dimer internuclear axis. For the channel \( (2,0)_C \), we end up with a symmetric distribution: one cannot distinguish experimentally which fragment was initially ionized, prior the RCT decay process that finally leads to the \( (1,1)_F \) symmetric charge sharing. This loss of memory of the initial capture process leads to an angular distribution quasi-isotropic. An asymmetry in the initial \( (2,0)_C \) capture process can here only be clearly evidenced using calculations.

For low energy ion-atom collisions leading to multiple capture, the classical over-the-barrier model (COBM) [16] is known to be quite reliable. An analytical theoretical treatment of the \( \text{Ar}^{9+} + \text{Ar}_2 \) collision based on the COBM and considering the dimer target as two Ar atoms fixed in space had already been performed [15]. However, the calculations did not give access to projectile scattering angle and the ”way out” of the collision was not yet included. We use here a different approach, also based on the COBM, but combined with Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. The full MC COBM method and the present calculations will be further detailed in a forthcoming publication. The orientation of the molecule with respect to the beam axis as well as the impact parameter vector \( \vec{b} \) in the molecular frame are sorted randomly. For each event, the possible crossing points of the projectile trajectory with the capture radii of the two argon atoms are computed sequentially according to ref.[16] in the straight-line trajectory approximation. If the two Ar atoms are here considered as fully independent, one site can still indirectly influence the interaction between its neighbor and the projectile. In the ”way out” of the collision, this interaction can be affected by the possible change of the projectile charge that follows electron capture from the first site. The sequential treatment allowed by a MC sim-
ulation is thus an essential feature of the model: along the projectile trajectory, one can follow all the crossing points with capture radii and the subsequent charge sharing between the three partners of the collision. The MC simulation approach also enables to compute the transverse momentum exchange due to coulomb repulsion, and thus to determine the projectile scattering angle. For this, we simply consider that the charge of the electrons shared by the projectile and the Ar atoms in the "way in" is distributed between the different partners, while in the "way out" the charge of captured electrons is transferred from the target to the projectile. Finally, the event-by-event mode of the MC COBM approach gives access to the correlation between initial conditions (impact parameter vector $\vec{b}$ and molecule orientation) and the outcome of the collision (capture multiplicity on each site and projectile scattering angle). The relative yields obtained with this method for the different capture configurations are compared to the experimental results in fig.1. As for the experimental data, calculations were first done accounting for all the molecular orientations. For "one-site" TC (3,0)$_C$ and QC (4,0)$_C$, 50% of the populations given by the calculations can be statistically attributed to transient non-dissociative molecular states. They are thus respectively added to the final (2,1)$_F$ and (3,1)$_F$ fragmentation channels fed through direct crossings by these non-dissociative states. Similarly, we also account for the 50% of the DC (2,0)$_C$ population that dissociates prior RCT and does not end up in the (1,1)$_F$ fragmentation channel. Without any adjustable parameter included in the model, calculations are found in very good agreement with the experimental data.

To be compared with the more detailed experimental results of fig.3, the angular distributions in $\phi_{diff}$ obtained with the MC COBM calculations have been convoluted with the instrumental resolution, limited by the 0.6 mm (FWHM) diameter of the collision region. As for the experimental data, we have selected dimer targets orientations between 60° and 120°. For the channel (2,0)$_C$, the convoluted $\phi_{diff}$ distribution is displayed modulo $\pi$ to account for the RCT process and subsequent loss of information on the initial capture process. After including these instrumental effects, calculations are once again found in excellent agreement with experimental data for most of the capture or fragmentation channels. A substantial discrepancy can only be noticed for the (2,1)$_F$ channel, where the angular asymmetry predicted by the model is stronger than in the experimental data. This could be simply explained here by an overestimation of the (3,0)$_C$ capture channel in the calculations. To get a clearer view of the multiple capture process in the molecular frame, one can now directly look at the distributions in impact parameter $p(\vec{b})$ leading to the different capture scenarios. The $p(\vec{b})$ distributions for dimer targets oriented at 90° are displayed in the fig.4. For asymmetric capture configurations, and in particular for the (2,0)$_C$ one, we clearly confirm that capture from the near site is strongly favored. Impact parameters that contribute most to these processes cover a large area on the side of the most charged fragment. For the symmetric capture channels, contributing impact parameters are restrained close to the median plane of the internuclear axis. This partly explains the predominance of asymmetric fragmentation channels on symmetric fragmentation channels. These calculations do not account for most of the complex interactions and mechanisms at play in the multiple capture process and they cannot be perfectly accurate. Nevertheless, the very good agreement between experimental data and calculations, in particular for the $\phi_{diff}$ distributions, attests that the model gives here a realistic picture of the multiple capture process, even for structured targets such as rare gas dimers.

Measurements of the angular correlation between the scattered projectile and the recoiling fragments combined to model calculations have given access to atomic site sensitivity in low energy collisions between HCI and Ar$_2$ dimer. They have shown that electron capture from "near-site" is strongly favored. It is the opposite of what was previously observed with N$_2$ covalent molecules [7] and may be a specific feature of rare gas dimer targets due...
to low electron mobility. The same methodology could be now employed to investigate atomic site dependence for different projectile charges and for more complex targets, such as larger homonuclear or mixed clusters.
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