Computational determination of the dominant triplet population mechanism in photoexcited benzophenone

Dumitru-Claudiu Sergentu, Rémi Maurice, Remco W. A. Havenith, Ria Broer, Daniel Roca-Sanjuán

► To cite this version:

Dumitru-Claudiu Sergentu, Rémi Maurice, Remco W. A. Havenith, Ria Broer, Daniel Roca-Sanjuán. Computational determination of the dominant triplet population mechanism in photoexcited benzophenone. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2014, 16, pp.25393-25403. 10.1039/C4CP03277B . in2p3-01080854

HAL Id: in2p3-01080854

<https://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-01080854>

Submitted on 6 Nov 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Computational determination of the dominant triplet population mechanism in photoexcited benzophenone

Dumitru-Claudiu Sergentu,^{a,b} Rémi Maurice,^{a,c} Remco W. A. Havenith,^{a,d,e} Ria Broer^a and Daniel Roca-Sanjuán^b

Received Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX

First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

In benzophenone, intersystem crossing occurs efficiently between $S_1(n\pi^*)$ and the T_1 state of dominant $n\pi^*$ character, leading to excited triplet states after photoexcitation. The transition mechanism between $S_1(n\pi^*)$ and T_1 is still a matter of debate, despite several experimental studies. Quantum mechanical calculations have been performed in order to assess the relative efficiencies of previously proposed mechanisms, in particular the direct $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ and indirect $S_1 \rightarrow T_2(\pi\pi^*) \rightarrow T_1$ ones. Multiconfigurational wave function based methods are used to discuss the nature of the relevant states and also to determine minimum energy paths and conical intersections. It is found that the T_1 state has a mixed $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ character and that the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state acts as an intermediate state between the S_1 and T_1 states. This result is in line with recent experiments, which suggested a two-step kinetic model to populate the phosphorescent state after photoexcitation [Aloïse *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. A **2008**, 112, 224-231].

1 Introduction

After photoexcitation to the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state, benzophenone, $C_{13}H_{12}O$, efficiently relaxes radiationless to the T_1 excited state, which is thought to have $n\pi^*$ character, via a spin-orbit coupling (SOC) driven mechanism. This phenomenon occurs in single-crystals,¹ in solution², and even in isolated matrices.³ Its existence is then independent on the environment, while its efficiency can be affected by it via a change in the tilting angle between the phenyl planes,^{1,4} or via the existence of other (competing) photophysical mechanisms.¹ The present work focusses on the intersystem crossing (ISC) in the gas phase, and will not deal with other phenomena specific to con-

densed states.

According to El-Sayed's rules,⁵ an ISC process governed by direct SOC interaction is fast if the transition involves a change in the molecular orbital type. Hence, assuming almost pure $n\pi^*$ characters for both S_1 and T_1 states, the direct ISC rate constant should be low.⁴ As evidenced by Yabumoto *et al.*,⁶ a $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ excited state lies almost isoenergetically with S_1 , which could allow a fast $S_1(n\pi^*) \rightarrow T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ transition based on the same reasoning. However, if T_1 has a mixed $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ character, the direct $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ transition may become as efficient as the $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$ one, and thus knowledge of the actual nature of the electronic states can be particularly helpful to discuss the efficiency of the main potential mechanisms. The nature of the involved electronic states is actually not the only factor affecting the transition rates. In order to discriminate between the two envisaged mechanisms (direct, *i.e.*, $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$, or indirect, *i.e.*, $S_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_1$), a computational study based on an accurate determination of the decay channels using the photochemical reaction path approach^{7–11} is particularly promising. The aim of the present study is therefore to determine, by means of minimum energy path (MEP) computations, the most favorable decay paths of benzophenone to the emissive T_1 state.

The paper is structured as follows: a review of the main findings and conclusions obtained in previous experimental studies is first done, then the computational details are discussed followed by a presentation and discussion

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Geometrical parameters of S_0 , S_1 and T_1 , computed at various levels of theory]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

^a Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, Groningen 9747 AG, The Netherlands

E-mail: r.broer@rug.nl

^b Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de València, P. O. Box 22085, ES-46071 València, Spain

E-mail: daniel.roca@uv.es

^c SUBATECH, UMR CNRS 6457, IN2P3/EMN Nantes/Université de Nantes, 4 Rue A. Kastler, BP 20722, 44307 Nantes Cedex 3, France

E-mail: remi.maurice@subatech.in2p3.fr

^d Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

^e Ghent Quantum Chemistry Group, Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 (S3), B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

of the results, and finally the conclusions are given.

2 Survey of previous experimental studies

In the last decades, the fast ISC that occurs in benzophenone as well as in other related aromatic ketones has been intensively studied using different time-resolved techniques. Since some results may be contradictory, we here review the important aspects of these works in order to prepare the discussion of our computational results.

El-Sayed and Leyerle¹² used the low field Zeeman effect in order to elucidate a possible indirect $S_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ mechanism and totally ruled it out. Their results suggest a direct $S_1(n\pi^*) \rightarrow T_1(n\pi^*)$ ISC for benzophenone in bis(p-bromophenyl)ether at 1.6 K. Another work that strongly supports direct ISC between the S_1 and T_1 excited states was carried out by the group of Ohmori *et al.*³ In this study, the sensitized phosphorescence excitation spectra of jet-cooled benzophenone was measured in the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ and $S_0 \rightarrow T$ regions. Following this, an $S_1(n\pi^*)$ minimum was encountered at 26.180 cm^{-1} (3.25 eV) and another one related to the $T_1(n\pi^*)$ state at 24.224 cm^{-1} (3.00 eV). The absence of $T(\pi\pi^*)$ signals in the proximity of $T_1(n\pi^*)$ led to the idea that this state would lie well above S_1 , in agreement with El-Sayed *et al.*¹² and also other experiments with benzophenone and 4,4'-diiodobenzophenone crystals.¹³

Matushita *et al.*¹⁴ studied the photochemical reaction of excited benzophenone in the gas phase. After photoexcitation at 337 nm of 1.0 Torr benzophenone – 10.0 Torr 1,4-cyclohexadiene system, the absorption spectrum is characterized by a weak $S_1(n\pi^*)$ band at $\sim 350 \text{ nm}$ and more intense bands starting at $\sim 310 \text{ nm}$ due to population of levels with $\pi\pi^*$ character. The kinetic studies on the decay process of triplet benzophenone revealed long-living emission that follows a biexponential decay. This was attributed to a dual phosphorescence, (i) from an unrelaxed benzophenone triplet state and (ii) from the vibrationally relaxed levels of the triplet state.

Katoh *et al.*¹ analyzed the ISC process in a benzophenone single crystal using the picosecond time-resolved absorption spectroscopy technique. The study revealed an ISC rate of $0.04 \pm 0.003 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ which is lower than those measured in solution, $0.11 \pm 0.03 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ in acetonitrile and $0.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ ps}^{-1}$ in isoctane.¹⁵ Another important feature observed in the benzophenone single crystal was a faster growth of the triplet exciton band compared to the decay of the singlet exciton band. This led to the idea that, besides the population of the triplet exciton through ISC with the lowest singlet exciton, another pro-

cess which is more rapid has to be involved. This process was identified as a fission of a polymolecular highly excited state, populated by a biphotonic process, into two triplet excitons.

Yabumoto *et al.*⁶ measured the transient infrared spectra of a carbon tetrachloride solution of benzophenone in the lowest triplet excited state, using the nanosecond time-resolved infrared spectroscopy technique. The $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state was populated at the 349 nm photoexcitation. The infrared spectrum of T_1 in the case of benzene and carbon tetrachloride solution of benzophenone lacked the peak related to the CO stretch vibration allowing to conclude the $n\pi^*$ nature for this state of interest. A band with a broad feature in the wavenumber region of $\sim 2000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ was identified and assigned to the $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ transition. The results indicate that the 0-0 energy gap between T_1 and T_2 is lower than 2000 cm^{-1} , while the gap between S_1 and T_1 is known to be $\sim 1950 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.³ Hence, S_1 and T_2 must be very close in terms of energy. In this scenario, the El-Sayed rules are respected for the $S_1 \rightarrow T_2$ ISC and together with an ultrafast $T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ internal conversion (IC), it could confer an efficient indirect $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ conversion.

Aloïse *et al.*² closely analyzed in 2008 the $S_1(n\pi^*) \rightarrow T_1(n\pi^*)$ ISC by means of ultrafast absorption spectroscopy and multivariate curve resolution, and proposed a two-step kinetic model $S_1 \rightarrow \text{IS} \rightarrow T_1$ (where IS denotes an intermediate state). With this model, they obtained ~ 6.5 and $\sim 10 \text{ ps}$ characteristic times, respectively. The transient absorption spectra of benzophenone in acetonitrile were recorded for two excitation wavelengths, 383 and 267 nm. The peak of the IS of benzophenone in between S_1 and T_1 was found at $\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}}(\text{IS}-T_1) = 13 \text{ nm}$ and $\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}}(S_1-\text{IS}) = 41 \text{ nm}$ in the case of the 267 nm excitation, and at $\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}}(\text{IS}-T_1) = 5 \text{ nm}$ and $\Delta\lambda_{\text{max}}(S_1-\text{IS}) = 40 \text{ nm}$ in the case of the 383 nm excitation. The differences between the former values illustrates an excitation wavelength effect: with the 383 nm excitation, S_1 is populated near the $\nu = 0$ vibrational level while more vibrational levels are populated with the 267 nm excitation, through a suggested $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ - $S_1(n\pi^*)$ IC process. The similarities found between the IS and T_1 spectra raised the suggestion that the IS species could be related to one or several vibrational levels of the $T_1(n\pi^*)$ manifold, involved in two electronic transitions. The experiment was repeated in different solvents like acetonitrile, methanol, and dichloromethane, and the results confirm that the ISC process is not influenced significantly by the solvent polarity. In contrast, in the substituted molecule 4-methoxybenzophenone the relative position of the lowest two triplet states is switched while the solvent polarity increases, *i.e.*, the lowest triplet state has

$\pi\pi^*$ character instead of $n\pi^*$ for polar enough solvents.

In conclusion, whether $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ is a true spectroscopic state relevant in the photochemistry of benzophenone is still a matter of debate. To the best of our knowledge, no theoretical work has been carried out in order to clearly support one of the mechanisms suggested in the experimental works. In order to elucidate and discuss the efficiency of the main photochemical decay paths for an efficient triplet population in photoexcited benzophenone, we performed quantum-mechanical calculations, as described in the following section.

3 Computational details

The ground state (S_0) geometry of benzophenone was first optimized with density functional theory (DFT) and the def2-TZVP basis set¹⁶ using the TURBOMOLE program package, version 6.4.¹⁷ The PBE0^{18,19} hybrid functional was used. The geometry was also further optimized using the complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF)^{20,21} method and the L-type atomic natural orbitals double- ζ plus polarization basis set (ANO-L-VDZP)^{22,23} with MOLCAS.²⁴ MEPs were computed at the same CASSCF/ANO-L-VDZP level of theory by following steepest descents, as described elsewhere.²⁵ Mass-weighted coordinates were used. SOCs were computed with the restricted active space state-interaction (RASSI) method^{26,27} using the atomic mean-field approximation.^{28,29} The CASSCF method introduces static electron correlation and is known to be able to produce a qualitatively correct description of multiconfigurational states, provided that the active space is well chosen. Dynamic correlation is then introduced with the complete active space second-order perturbation theory (CASPT2) method^{30,31} at the CASSCF optimized geometries (CASPT2//CASSCF). In order to prevent the occurrence of intruder states, an imaginary level-shift³² of 0.2 *a.u.*, was used. The ionization potential electron affinity (IPEA) shift³³ was set to 0.00 in all the reported calculations.

The relevant orbitals for characterizing the lowest-lying excited states of the $(C_6H_5)_2CO$ benzophenone molecule are the valence π and π^* orbitals plus the n orbital (*i.e.*, the lone pair) of the oxygen atom, which corresponds to 16 electrons and 15 orbitals. In the present study, two pairs of correlated π and π^* orbitals, which would have the largest and smallest occupation numbers in a CASSCF(16/15) calculation, were not included in the active space. Hence, geometry optimizations of the states of interest and MEPs were carried out at the CASSCF(12/11) level of theory.

Test calculations were performed at the Franck-

Condon (FC) region to evaluate the accuracy and convergence of the CASPT2/ANO-L-VDZP//CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP results with respect to the size of the active space and the size of the basis set. Indeed, the vertical transition energies (ΔE_V) at the CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP optimized ground-state geometry were also computed with the valence triple- ζ quality ANO-L-VTZP basis set, while a larger active space, including all the valence π system, was also considered with the valence double- ζ basis. Due to its high associated computational cost, the test calculation with the largest active space was carried out imposing a C_2 symmetry. In addition, transition energies were computed with CASPT2 at the PBE0 S_0 optimized geometry and compared with the corresponding energies obtained with CASPT2//CASSCF.

Calculations were also performed with the restricted-active-space second-order perturbation theory (RASPT2) method.^{34,35} In this approach, the active space is divided into three different subspaces: RAS1, RAS2, and RAS3. From the orbitals included in the RAS2 subspace, all possible configuration state functions (CSFs) of a particular spin and spatial symmetry are included in the expression of the multiconfigurational wave functions. The orbitals included in the RAS1 and RAS3 subspaces allow the generation of some additional CSFs that are subject to restrictions: the maximum number of holes allowed in RAS1 and the maximum number of particles allowed in RAS3 are defined by the user. The π and π^* orbitals and the oxygen lone pair can be distributed in the different subspaces in various ways. The RASSCF(2,11,2), RASSCF(4,7,4), RASSCF(6,3,6) reference wave functions were used, where the numbers in parenthesis refer to the number of orbitals in the RAS1, RAS2, and RAS3 subspaces, respectively. A maximum of 2 holes is allowed in RAS1 and a maximum of 2 particles in RAS3. Furthermore, the transition energies were computed with time-dependent DFT with the PBE0 exchange-correlation functional (TD-PBE0) and equation of motion coupled cluster singles and doubles (EOM-CCSD) methods with the def2-TZVP and cc-pVDZ basis sets, respectively. The TD-DFT calculations have been performed with NWChem³⁶ (release 6.1.1), while the CCSD ones have been performed with Dalton (release 2011).³⁷

Four singlet and four triplet states were averaged in separate singlet and triplet CASSCF(12/11) calculations, respectively. When the ground state DFT geometry is used, the averaging scheme over four triplet states did not lead to convergence on a similar active space as the one obtained at the CASSCF optimized geometry. Therefore, the triplet CASSCF refer-

ence wave functions in the CASPT2//DFT calculations were built with a different state-averaging scheme than the CASPT2//CASSCF ones, *i.e.* five triplet states were considered. Regarding the CASPT2(16/15)/ANO-L-VDZP//CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP computations with the C_2 symmetry constraint, four singlet and four triplet states were separately averaged in each irreducible representation, leading to four sets of orbitals and states.

4 Results and discussions

The presentation and discussion of the results is split in two parts. First, a comparative analysis of the relevant geometries for decay mechanisms of interest is provided. Then energies and natures of the lowest-lying singlet and triplet states at the ground state geometry are discussed. The main decay channels of benzophenone are finally described in a second part.

4.1 Ground state geometry, Franck-Condon Region and Lowest-Lying Excited State Geometries

The relevant PBE0/def2-TZVP and the CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP optimized geometry parameters of S_0 , S_1 and T_1 are compiled in Table S1, and the geometries are displayed in Figure 1. These results can be compared with the computational data of Sett *et al.*³⁸

A planar geometry for benzophenone could be envisaged assuming no steric repulsion between the phenyl rings. However, a steric repulsion exists between the adjacent hydrogen atoms that belong to two different phenyl rings, preventing the coplanarity of the phenyl groups. The PBE0 hybrid functional predicts a rotation of each phenyl ring with 28° out of the plane that includes the CO bond and bisects the two phenyl planes. A similar rotation angle (31°) is predicted at the CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP level. The values are in a good agreement with previously reported theoretical ones.^{38,39} Note that our results are also in line with the crystal structure reported by Fleischer *et al.* in 1968,⁴⁰ indicating only a moderate effect of the environment on the ground state geometry of benzophenone.

Significant geometrical changes are expected for the excited states, which is confirmed by the present calculations, as can be seen in Table S1 and Figure 1. The CO bond distance in the S_0 geometry is computed to be 1.21 Å with CASSCF(12/11), which is in agreement with the DFT results. It is elongated in the excited S_1 and T_1 states for which the CASSCF values are 1.37 and 1.35 Å, respectively. These results are consistent with

the fact that the electronic structure of the S_1 and T_1 states is characterized by an electron promotion from a non-bonding n orbital to an orbital with CO π^* character. Also, the angle between the phenyl planes is reduced from 58° in the S_0 optimized geometry to 40° and 44° in the S_1 and T_1 geometries, respectively, at the CASSCF(12/11) level. This suggests electron delocalization over the two phenyl groups in the S_1 and T_1 excited states.

As mentioned above, the optimal active space for this system would correspond to 15 active orbitals, including 6 π and π^* orbitals located on each phenyl ring, the π and π^* on the CO double bond, and the lone pair (n_O) orbital containing the two non-bonding electrons of the oxygen atom (see Figure 2). As the number of CSFs increases with the number of active orbitals comprising the active space in the CASSCF formalism, calculations using the total amount of 16 electrons and 15 orbitals become computationally too demanding for performing CASSCF geometry optimizations. In order to find an affordable approach with enough accuracy for the aim of the present work, high-level CASPT2(16/15) computations were performed at the FC geometry and the results were compared with the values obtained with less demanding approaches including the CASPT2(12/11) one and various RASPT2 ones. Taking into account the symmetry properties of the S_0 equilibrium structure and in order to both reduce the computational cost and get insight on more excited states, C_2 (orbital) symmetry was imposed in the CASPT2(16/15) calculations. Averaging the CASSCF orbitals between several states while imposing C_2 symmetry could lead to different results than a state-average performed in the C_1 symmetry point group. Also, note that MOLCAS only averages orbitals between states of the same spin multiplicity and spatial symmetry. In order to find a good combination of active space and averaging scheme, both C_1 and C_2 point groups are considered and the obtained results compared. The high-level CASPT2(16/15) calculations are taken as a reference to assess the validity of the CASPT2(12/11) ones.

Table 1 compiles the CASPT2(16/15)//CASSCF(12/11) vertical excitation energies ΔE_V and oscillator strengths f . The lowest-energy electronic state leading to the most intense absorption is located at 5.39 eV (~ 230 nm) and corresponds to the $S_0 \rightarrow S_4(\pi\pi^*)$ transition. The S_1 excited state lies at 3.66 eV (~ 338 nm). The $n\pi^*$ character of this state corresponds mainly to an excitation from the oxygen lone pair (n_O in Figure 2) to the anti-bonding π^* orbital of the carbonyl (Π_1^* in Figure 2). There are three triplet states identified in the proximity of S_1 , located vertically at 3.33 eV (~ 372 nm), 3.41 eV (~ 362 nm), and 3.69 eV (~ 335 nm) above the ground state.

Fig. 1 CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP optimized geometries of the S_0 (top left), S_1 (top right), and T_1 (bottom) states. Bond lengths are given in ångström. Atom labels are displayed.

Table 1 Vertical excitation energies computed at the CASPT2(16/15)/ANO-L-VDZP//CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP level of theory, and oscillator strengths and dipole moments of the corresponding reference states (C_2 point group symmetry).

A symmetry				B symmetry			
State	ΔE_V (eV)	f	μ (D)	State	ΔE_V (eV)	f	μ (D)
S_0	0		2.76				
$S_1(n\pi^*)$	3.66	0.001	0.96				
$S_2(\pi\pi^*)$	4.33	0.003	2.94				
				$S_3(\pi\pi^*)$	4.43	0.001	2.92
$T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$	3.33	0.000	2.47	$S_4(\pi\pi^*)$	5.39	0.150	3.52
$T_2(\pi\pi^*)$	3.41	0.000	1.09				
				$T_3(\pi\pi^*)$	3.69	0.000	2.95
$T_5(\pi\pi^*)$	4.18	0.000	2.70	$T_4(\pi\pi^*)$	4.18	0.000	2.95
$T_6(\pi\pi^*)$	4.22	0.000	2.90				
				$T_7(\pi\pi^*)$	4.26	0.000	2.92
				$T_8(\pi\pi^*)$	6.47	0.000	2.77

Fig. 2 SA-CASSCF active space natural orbitals of benzophenone. The natural orbitals that are similar to the highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of a ground-state, single-configuration SCF calculation are specifically labeled.

The knowledge of the nature of these states is crucial to understand the relaxation mechanisms of photoexcited benzophenone. On the basis of the present calculations, the lowest-lying triplet state T_1 has a mixed $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ character and it is followed closely (0.08 eV) by a state of pure $\pi\pi^*$ character, namely T_2 . Note that these characters have been obtained by looking at the dominant configurations in the corresponding multiconfigurational wave functions, and that the orbitals have been labeled according to their dominant characters, as can be seen in Figure 2. Note that such labeling is somehow arbitrary, since no symmetry element strictly separates the n , π and π^* type orbitals in benzophenone. The dipole moments μ computed with CASSCF(16/15) are 2.76, 0.96, and 2.47 D for S_0 , S_1 , and T_1 , respectively, which is qualitatively in line with the experimental values of 2.98, 1.23, and 1.72 D, respectively, measured in benzophenone crystals.^{41,42} Dipole moments allow to roughly analyze the influence of different solvents. It is estimated that a polar solvent may induce a blueshift of the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ band and a redshift of the other singlet $\pi\pi^*$ bands. Concerning triplets, T_1 is expected to be stabilized in the same manner as the ground state, while T_2 may suffer a slight blueshift. These estimations are in agreement with previous experimental results and other theoretical works on the absorption spectrum.^{2,43} Taking into account these results, the population of the lowest-lying T_1 state from the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state through ISC would be allowed according to El-Sayed rules, since T_1 is found to have a mixed $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ character.

Table 2 shows a comparison between the ΔE_V values of several states of interest and their main corresponding excitation nature, computed at various levels of theory. Regarding the different CASPT2//CASSCF calculations, the largest discrepancies appear in the $\pi\pi^*$ states, which can be attributed to a deficiency of CASPT2(12/11), in comparison to CASPT2(16/15), to accurately describe the excited states of $\pi\pi^*$ nature. It is worth reminding at this point that the less relevant correlating pairs of π and π^* orbitals were excluded from the active space in the CASPT2(12/11) calculations. Hence, the S_2 state (of $\pi\pi^*$ nature) may not be perfectly described, and actually appears at higher energies than when the large active space is used. On the other hand, the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ excited state is accurately described with all the CASPT2//CASSCF approaches. A comparison with the large active space calculation shows a small difference of 0.01 eV when the C_2 symmetry is imposed and 0.02 eV when the symmetry is not considered. Regarding the ΔE_V excitation energies of the lowest-lying triplet, the close-lying T_2 and T_3 states appear in different irreducible representations at the CASPT2(12/11)

Table 2 Excitation energies computed with several methodologies at the CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP S_0 equilibrium structure. The CASPT2(16/15) excitation energies are reproduced for convenience from Table 1. The ANO-L-VDZP basis set is used for the CASPT2 calculations.

State	CASPT2(12/11)		CASPT2(16/15)
	A symmetry ΔE_V (eV)	B symmetry ΔE_V (eV)	No symmetry ΔE_V (eV)
S_0	0.00		0.00
$S_1(n\pi^*)$	3.65		3.64
$S_2(\pi\pi^*)$		4.71	4.67
$T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$	3.25		3.11
$T_2(\pi\pi^*)$		3.43	3.57
$T_3(\pi\pi^*)$	3.61		3.60
	TD-PBE0/def2-TZVP	EOM-CCSD/cc-pVDZ	
$S_1(n\pi^*)$	3.66	4.00	
$S_2(\pi\pi^*)$	4.74	5.06	
$T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$	2.98	3.49	
$T_2(\pi\pi^*)$	3.41	3.88	
$T_3(\pi\pi^*)$	3.42	3.91	

and CASPT2(16/15) levels when the C_2 symmetry is imposed. On the other hand, the CASPT2(12/11) approach with the C_1 point group symmetry performs more accurately as compared to the reference CASPT2(16/15) results. The differences obtained in this case are not larger than 0.2 eV for T_1 , T_2 and T_3 .

The results obtained with TD-DFT and the def2-TZVP basis set do not show large discrepancies with the reference CASPT2(16/15) values. Note that the above-mentioned CASPT2 and TD-DFT values for the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation energy are also close to those measured in solution with various solvents⁴⁴ or in crystals⁴⁵ (around 3.6 eV in both the cases). The CCSD/cc-pVDZ level, however, overestimates this transition energy. This may be due to two factors, (i) the double- ζ Dunning basis set is too small, and (ii) excitations that are not introduced at the CCSD level of theory are important for the excitation energies. Test calculations with a larger (triple zeta) basis set showed similar results for the vertical excitation energies as when the double zeta quality basis set was used, thus issue (ii) is most probably the main one.

Further calculations were carried out in order to test the basis set convergence. The valence triple- ζ ANO-L-VTZP basis set results are thus compared with previously obtained ANO-L-VDZP ones (see Table 3). From the comparison of both sets of values, it can be seen that the triple- ζ quality basis set does not lead to significant changes as compared to the corresponding double- ζ basis set, *i.e.*, basis set convergence is nearly achieved, and a double- ζ basis set can be safely used for more extensive explorations of potential energy surfaces.

It is known that CASSCF optimized geometries may suffer from lack of dynamic electron correlation. Therefore, we also computed the ΔE_V with the same method

Table 3 Vertical excitation energies computed with the CASPT2(12/11) method and two ANO-L-type basis sets at the S_0 equilibrium structure optimized at the CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP level.

State	ANO-L-VDZP ΔE_V (eV)	ANO-L-VTZP ΔE_V (eV)
	ΔE_V (eV)	ΔE_V (eV)
S_1	3.64	3.54
S_2	4.67	4.63
S_3	4.67	4.66
T_1	3.10	3.05
T_2	3.56	3.51
T_3	3.59	3.56

but using the ground state equilibrium geometry obtained at the DFT(PBE0)/def2-TZVP level of theory (see Table 4). Results in good agreement with the ones obtained with the CASSCF ground state geometry (see Table 2) are found. One should also note that a mixed $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ character is also obtained for T_1 , in agreement with the CASPT2//CASSCF results discussed above.

Table 4 CASPT2(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP vertical excitation energies computed at the S_0 PBE0/def2-TZVP optimized structure.

State	A symmetry ΔE_V (eV)	B symmetry ΔE_V (eV)
	ΔE_V (eV)	ΔE_V (eV)
$S_1(n\pi^*)$	3.58	
$S_2(\pi\pi^*)$	4.59	
$T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$	3.20	
$T_2(\pi\pi^*)$		3.31
$T_3(\pi\pi^*)$	3.54	

From the analysis of the results obtained at different CASPT2//CASSCF levels, we have concluded above that a CAS space comprising only 11 orbitals is not enough to correctly describe the $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state. An accurate characterization of the potential energy surface (PES) related to S_2 would be important to interpret those experimental works in which the evolution after photoexcitation to this excited state (S_2) is studied instead or in addition to the analysis of the decay signals after direct population of the S_1 state.^{2,46} In an attempt to improve the description, we followed the possibility of employing the RASSCF/RASPT2 method with the RAS(2,11,2), RAS(4,7,4), and RAS(6,3,6) subspaces (see Table 5). The RASPT2(2,11,2) level yields results in a very good agreement with the CASPT2(16/15) method. The RAS space is built in such a way that the two π orbitals with the occupation numbers closer to two from the CASSCF(16/15) computations are moved to the RAS1 subspace and their correlating counterparts, which are almost unoccupied, are placed in RAS3. The main disadvantage of this method is that it does not significantly reduce the computational cost. Thus, one can try to reduce the RAS2 subspace to only seven orbitals. The resulting RASPT2(4,7,4) calculations yield results which follow the same trends as the CASPT2(16/15) ones. A further reduction of the RAS2 subspace to only three orbitals leads to very poor results. This issue cannot be fixed by increasing the number of holes in the RAS1 and electrons in the RAS3 space from two to four, due to convergence problems. As already discussed, it is clear that using the CAS(12/11) space within the CASPT2//CASSCF formalism does not lead to a satisfactory description of the S_2 state. The (4,7,4) active space within the RASPT2//RASSCF formalism yields some significant improvements, but at a higher computational cost. Hence, the CASPT2(12/11)/ANO-LVDZP//CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-LVDZP approach will be employed in the characterization of the decay paths mainly focusing on the relaxation from S_1 , as most of the experimental works, while an approximate description will be provided for the decay route after photoexcitation to the S_2 state.

Table 5 RASPT2 excitation energies (in eV) computed at the S_0 CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-LVDZP equilibrium structure.

Method	S_1	S_2	T_1	T_2	T_3
CASPT2(16/15)	3.66	4.33	3.33	3.41	3.69
RASPT2(2,11,2)	3.66	4.32	3.32	3.42	3.68
RASPT2(4,7,4)	3.59	4.48	3.40	3.52	3.75
RASPT2(6,3,6)	3.71	5.43	3.80	4.36	4.44

4.2 Energy decay mechanisms

The main decay paths after irradiation of benzophenone are presented and discussed here in two sub-sections. First, the evolution from the FC region along the lowest-lying singlet $\pi\pi^*$ and $n\pi^*$ excited states is described. Next, the subsequent decay of the triplet states is analyzed.

4.2.1 Singlet manifold. After irradiation with high-energy UV light, the $S_4(\pi\pi^*)$ state, which is the third $^1(\pi\pi^*)$ excited state placed at 5.39 eV at the CASPT2(16/15) level (see Table 1), has the largest probability to be populated among the excited states computed in the present work. It is worth noting that a total amount of 7 triplet states of both $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ nature exist at lower energies than that of S_4 . Therefore, many singlet-triplet crossings (STCs) can be expected along the decay of the brightest state S_4 , which will increase the probability for population transfer to the triplet manifold. Nevertheless, the interesting electronic states of benzophenone are those appearing at lower energies (see, for example, ref 47 and references therein). Experimental works to compare with use photoexcitation wavelengths to populate $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ and especially the lowest-lying $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state. At the CASPT2(12/11)/ANO-LVDZP//CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-LVDZP level, the ΔE_V transition energies to S_1 and S_2 are 3.64 and 4.67 eV, respectively, and the associated oscillator strengths f values are 0.001 and 0.008, respectively. Thus, the f related to the $^1(\pi\pi^*)$ state is one order of magnitude higher than that related to the $^1(n\pi^*)$ state. This behavior can be understood more clearly in a planar C_{2v} molecule. In such a case, the $S_0 \rightarrow ^1(\pi\pi^*)$ transition is generally allowed, while the $S_0 \rightarrow ^1(n\pi^*)$ transition is not. Since, in the non-planar case, S_1 has a mixed $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ character, this transition is allowed, and the transition probability is related to the $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ mixing, such that:

$$f(S_0 \rightarrow n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*) = \left| \frac{\langle n\pi^* | H | \pi\pi^* \rangle}{E_{\pi\pi^*} - E_{n\pi^*}} \right|^2 f(S_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi^*) \quad (1)$$

where the notations $n\pi^*$ and $\pi\pi^*$ correspond, according to perturbation theory, to the zeroth-order states and the Hamiltonian H includes the (electronic) interactions that mix these two zeroth-order states. The first term in the right-hand side of Eq. 1 is defined as the mixing coefficient, λ . It follows that the magnitude of f for the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1(n\pi^*)$ transition depends on the magnitude of the electronic matrix element between the zeroth-order ($n\pi^*$) and ($\pi\pi^*$) states, their energy gap, and the zeroth-order f for the $S_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi^*$ transition. Note that since the $n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*$ mixing of S_1 is weak (it is almost pure $n\pi^*$ in

Fig. 3 CASPT2(12/11)//CASSCF(12/11) energies of the ground and lowest-lying singlet and triplet excited states of benzophenone along the MEP on the PES of the $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state from the FC region toward $S_2(\pi\pi^*)_{min}$.

the FC region), we will refer to this state in the remainder of the text as $S_1(n\pi^*)$.

The initial evolution of the system after light irradiation and population of the low-energy $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state is shown in Figure 3. $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ evolves directly toward its relaxed non-planar minimum, $S_2(\pi\pi^*)_{min}$, placed adiabatically (*i.e.*, with respect to the energy of the S_0 state at its optimized geometry) at 4.36 eV. The geometry of the $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ minimum (Figure 4) is characterized by a slight elongation of the CO bond (1.23 Å), coplanarity of one phenyl ring with the carbonyl group, and an angle of 51° between the phenyl rings. In Figure 3, it can be seen that the S_2 MEP does not lead to a $S_2(\pi\pi^*)/S_1(n\pi^*)$ conical intersection (CI). The energy splitting, at the end of the MEP, between these two states is still large, 0.69 eV. Taking into account that the CASPT2(12/11)//CASSCF(12/11) level used in the present study leads to a too high S_2 relative energy (as concluded in section 4.1), a barrierless or almost barrierless decay path towards a S_2/S_1 CI cannot be discarded. Recent experimental works studying the decay mechanisms after photoexcitation to S_2 supported a fast IC process for population transfer to the lowest-lying S_1 excited state.⁴⁶

Next, we focus on the relaxation from the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state that can be for instance reached after photoexcitation to S_2 or direct photoexcitation. Considering the latter case, the evolution on the PES of the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ excited state, starting at the FC region is shown in Figure 5. Note that the MEP on the S_1 surface evolves directly to the equilibrium structure of the state, $S_1(n\pi^*)_{min}$, located adia-

Fig. 5 CASPT2(12/11)//CASSCF(12/11) energies of the ground and lowest-lying singlet and triplet excited states of benzophenone along the MEP on the PES of the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state from the FC region toward $S_1(n\pi^*)_{min}$.

batically at 3.15 eV above S_0 (the experimental 0-0 energy difference being 3.25 eV).³ Along the MEP reported for $S_1(n\pi^*)$, four different decay mechanisms, which are anticipated here and described in detail below, may be active:

- $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_3(\pi\pi^*)$ ISC followed by IC from T_3 to T_2 , IC from T_2 to T_1 and finally phosphorescence from T_1 to S_0 .
- $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ ISC followed by IC and then phosphorescence from T_1 to S_0 .
- direct $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_1$ ISC, and phosphorescence from T_1 to S_0 .
- fluorescence from S_1 to S_0 .

In order to estimate the probability for singlet-triplet population transfer from S_1 to T_2 and T_3 , the SOC matrix elements between the spin components of S_1 and T_1 , S_1 and T_2 , and S_1 and T_3 are determined in the FC region, using the spin-orbit RASSI (SO-RASSI) method.^{26,27} SOC magnitudes of 24 and 22 cm^{-1} are obtained between the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ and $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ states and between the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ and $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ states, respectively, whereas no significant SOC was found between $S_1(n\pi^*)$ and $T_3(\pi\pi^*)$. At the CASPT2 level, the singlet-triplet splittings (ΔE_{ST}) between S_1 and T_1 and S_1 and T_2 are 0.53 eV and 0.07 eV, respectively. Considering that an efficient population transfer from singlet to triplet states requires small energy gaps, the $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ ISC mentioned above is expected to be the most viable mechanism

Fig. 4 Lowest-energy structure of the $S_2(\pi\pi^*)$ excited state obtained at the end of the MEP computed at the CASSCF(12/11)/ANO-L-VDZP level of theory.

Fig. 6 CASSCF(12/11) dipole moments of the ground and lowest-lying excited state along the MEP on the PES of $S_1(n\pi^*)$.

for populating the triplet state in the molecule, out of the three proposed mechanisms. To gain more insight on the relative importance of S_1 in those three possible mechanisms, we pursue our analysis along the MEP. As can be seen in Figure 5, the energy difference between S_1 and T_1 can decrease down to ~ 0.25 eV, which could allow a population transfer from S_1 to T_1 . However, the SOC with the T_2 state, which also runs close in energy to S_1 , is much larger than the one with T_1 . In particular, the computed $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ and $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ SOC magnitudes for the MEP points that follows the FC region are around 59 and 22 cm^{-1} , respectively. These findings point to the indirect mechanism in the gas-phase, in which an intermediate T_2 state is initially populated, instead of a direct population of the lowest-lying T_1 state.

In order to estimate the solvent effects and, particularly, the plausability of the direct T_1 population in solu-

tion, an analysis of the dipole moment (μ) values of the S_0 , S_1 , T_1 , and T_2 along the MEP is considered. Figure 6 compiles the μ values computed with CASSCF. At the FC region, a more important blueshift is estimated for S_1 than for T_1 and T_2 . It implies that the S_1/T_2 CI may appear slightly displaced from the FC and also that the S_1 - T_1 energy separation may be larger, hence unfavouring the direct population of T_1 . Along the MEP, the μ values of S_1 and T_1 are similar to each other and lower than those of T_2 . Thus, a less important blueshift can be expected for T_2 , thus approaching the S_1 and T_2 PESs, whereas the energy separation between the PESs of S_1 and T_1 is estimated to remain as in the gas phase. Nevertheless, these μ differences and the estimated blueshifts are small, which may explain the fact that experimentalists do not observe major differences when solvents with different polarities are used.²

On the basis of the present findings, it can be predicted for the gas phase and solution, in general, that after irradiation to the S_1 state, the S_1 and T_2 cross in the FC region and both states evolve very close in terms of energy along the S_1 MEP (see figure 5) with a large probability of population transfer due to the high SOC. On the other hand, T_1 is shown to have a relatively larger energy separation and lower SOC interaction.

The relaxation pathway involving fluorescence from the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state is not likely to be efficient since, along the MEP of the S_1 excited state, the conditions for a fast singlet to triplet population transfer are fulfilled, *i.e.*, (i) small energy gap with the lowest-lying T_2 state and (ii) large SOC magnitudes. This is in agreement with the experimental observation that the benzophenone molecule exhibits mainly phosphorescence (with an associated quantum yield of 84%),^{48,49} and not fluorescence. Note that the computed CASPT2 vertical emission energy from the $S_1(n\pi^*)_{min}$ state is 2.34 eV.

Fig. 7 CASPT2(12/11)//CASSCF(12/11) energies of the ground and lowest-lying singlet and triplet excited states of benzophenone along the MEP on the PES of the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state from the $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ STC at the FC region toward the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ CI.

Fig. 8 CASPT2(12/11)//CASSCF(12/11) energies of the ground and lowest-lying singlet and triplet excited states of benzophenone along the MEP on the PES of the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state from the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/S_1(n\pi^*)$ re-crossing point toward $S_1(n\pi^*)_{min}$.

4.2.2 Triplet manifold. The MEP on the PES of $S_1(n\pi^*)$ from the FC region toward its minimum structure indicates that the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state of benzophenone can be efficiently populated via the $S_1(n\pi^*)/T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ ISC process described above. Figure 7 shows the subsequent evolution of the triplet manifold by the MEP computation of the T_2 state from the crossing region with S_1 . It can be seen that the MEP on the T_2 PES leads initially to a re-crossing point with the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ excited state and finally to the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ CI. Hence, two different relaxation pathways can be assumed at this point:

- via a $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/S_1(n\pi^*)$ re-crossing favouring the re-population of the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state
- via a $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ CI leading to the phosphorescent T_1 state

We start by discussing the ISC re-crossing channel. The computed SOC magnitude between the T_2 and S_1 states at the re-crossing point is relatively large: 47 cm^{-1} . This suggests that part of the population may be transferred back to the S_1 state via an efficient ISC process. From the S-T re-crossing point, a MEP, computed on the PES of the S_1 state with CASPT2//CASSCF (see Figure 8), leads directly to the $S_1(n\pi^*)_{min}$. The behavior of the S_1 and T_2 excited states along the MEPs displayed in Figures 7 and 8 confirms the aforementioned hypothesis of a strong link between both states in the photoexcited benzophenone. Hence, taking into consideration the small energy difference (which becomes even smaller assuming a polar solvent) and high SOCs between S_1 and T_2

(around 59 cm^{-1} along the S_1 MEP), the population lost with the re-crossing may be transferred back and forth to the T_2 state via several re-crossing processes, and finally the wave-packet may be driven toward the T_2/T_1 CI, which appears at lower energies compared to the PES of S_1 and other points on the T_2 surface. It is worth mentioning here that the presence of the T_2/S_1 re-crossings (Figure 7) may be related to the E-type delayed fluorescence reported experimentally by Brown and Singer.⁵⁰ Briefly, after the low fluorescence has ended, the spectrum does not show only a phosphorescence spectral distribution but also some residual intensity (fluorescence) that decay at the same rate as the phosphorescence.

We finish the discussion by commenting on the second proposed relaxation mechanism from the T_2 state. The $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ CI is computed to be adiabatically at 3.22 eV above the S_0 state at the CASPT2 level, favouring the population switch toward the T_1 state. At the same level of theory, the energy splitting between the two states (ΔE_{CI}) is computed to be 0.004 eV. The geometry of T_2 at the CI shows a coplanarity of one of the phenyl rings with the carbonyl group, a CO bond distance of 1.35 Å, and a dihedral angle of 45° between the two phenyl planes. From the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/T_1$ CI, the MEP on the $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ PES leads directly to the T_1 state minimum, $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)_{min}$ (see Figure 9), which is placed adiabatically at 2.85 eV in agreement with the band origin observed experimentally at 3.00 eV.³ The computed vertical emission energy (phosphorescence) from the $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)_{min}$ equilibrium structure is 2.19 eV. Therefore, we conclude that the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state plays a

Fig. 9 CASPT2(12/11)//CASSCF(12/11) energies of the ground and lowest-lying singlet and triplet excited states of benzophenone along the MEP on the PES of the $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ state from the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)/T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$ CI toward $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)_{min}$.

crucial role in the fast ISC in benzophenone, as in other related conjugated ketones and aldehydes.^{51,52}

5 Conclusion

In this work, the CASPT2//CASSCF approach with the double- ζ plus polarization ANO-L basis set was used to revisit the photophysics of benzophenone, by means of computations of MEPs and the determination of relevant energy minima and CIs. The obtained results are in good agreement with the experimental findings that suggest a two-step kinetic model ($S_1 \rightarrow IS \rightarrow T_1$) relaxation mechanism of the S_1 excited state², and we attribute the intermediate state to $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$. Moreover, the results allow rationalizing the low quantum yield of fluorescence of benzophenone and suggest an explanation for the E-type delayed fluorescent emission signal observed experimentally.⁵⁰ The main relaxation mechanisms from the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ excited state are shown schematically in Figure 10. After populating the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ state in the FC region, the $S_1(n\pi^*)_{min}$ point, which lies 3.15 eV above S_0 , can be reached. However, this path is not expected to be predominant since a strong coupling between the $S_1(n\pi^*)$ and $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ states is computed already in the FC region, which may result in an efficient population transfer to the T_2 state in between the FC region and $S_1(n\pi^*)_{min}$. Favorable intersystem re-crossings leading to the S_1 state are found which may bring back population to the S_1 state and that explains the observable delayed fluorescence signal.⁵⁰ Eventually, the system may further de-

Fig. 10 Schematic representation of the main potential decay mechanisms of benzophenone from the lowest-lying singlet $n\pi^*$ excited state.

cay along the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state toward the T_2/T_1 CI, which may funnel the energy to the lowest-lying triplet state $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)$. Finally, the molecule may arrive in a barrierless manner to the equilibrium structure of the T_1 state, $T_1(n\pi^*/\pi\pi^*)_{min}$, at 2.85 eV from where it efficiently phosphoresces. We note that a computational chemistry perspective of this work could consist in computing with quantum dynamics the ISC rate in benzophenone, which would be a highly demanding task owing the complexity of the involved electronic states.

Acknowledgments

Helpful discussions with Prof. Manuela Merchán are acknowledged. The research was supported by the EM-TCCM master program and by the Project CTQ2010-14892 of the Spanish MEC/FEDER. R.W.A.H. acknowledges the Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials (“Dieptestrategie program”) for financial support. D.R.-S. thanks the “Juan de la Cierva” program of the Spanish MINECO (Ref. JCI-2012-13431).

References

- 1 R. Katoh, M. Kotani, Y. Hirata and T. Okada, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **264**, 631–635.
- 2 S. Aloïse, C. Ruckebusch, L. Blanchet, J. Réhault, G. Buntix and J.-P. Huvenne, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2008, **112**, 224–231.
- 3 N. Ohmori, T. Suzuki and M. Ito, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1988, **92**, 1086–1093.
- 4 P. F. McGarry, C. E. Doubleday, C.-H. Wu, H. A. Staab and N. J. Turro, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 1994, **77**, 109–117.
- 5 M. El-Sayed, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1968, **1**, 8–16.
- 6 S. Yabumoto, S. Sato and H. Hamaguchi, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **416**, 100–103.

- 7 W. Fuß, S. Cochbrunner, A. M. Müller, T. Chikarski, W. E. Schmid and S. A. Trushin, *Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **232**, 161–174.
- 8 M. A. Robb, M. Garavelli, M. Olivucci and F. Bernardi, *Rev. Comput. Chem.*, 2000, **15**, 87–146.
- 9 M. Olivucci, *Theoretical and Computational Chemistry*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2005.
- 10 A. Giussani, J. Segarra-Martí, D. Roca-Sanjuán and M. Merchán, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2013, DOI: 10.1007/128_2013_501.
- 11 M. Robb, F. Bernardi and M. Olivucci, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1995, **67**, 783–789.
- 12 M. El-Sayed and R. Leyerle, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1975, **62**, 1579–1580.
- 13 M. Batley and D. R. Kearns, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1968, **2**, 423–425.
- 14 Y. Matsushita, Y. Kajii and K. Obi, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1992, **96**, 4455–4458.
- 15 H. Miyasaka, K. Morita, K. Kamada and N. Mataga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1990, **63**, 3385–3397.
- 16 F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **7**, 3297–3305.
- 17 TURBOMOLE, V6.4 2012, a development of University of Karlsruhe and Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, 1989–2007, TURBOMOLE GmbH, since 2007; available from <http://www.turbomole.com>.
- 18 J. P. Perdew, M. Ernzerhof and K. Burke, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1996, **105**, 9982–9985.
- 19 C. Adamo and V. Barone, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, **110**, 6158–6170.
- 20 B. O. Roos In, *Advances in Chemical Physics; Ab Initio Methods in Quantum Chemistry II*, K. P. Lawley, Ed., Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, England, 1987, pp. 399–445.
- 21 B. O. Roos, P. R. Taylor and P. E. M. Siegbahn, *Chem. Phys.*, 1980, **48**, 157–173.
- 22 P.-O. Widmark, P.-Å. Malmqvist and B. O. Roos, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1991, **77**, 291–306.
- 23 P.-O. Widmark, B. Joakim, B. J. Persson and B. O. Roos, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1991, **79**, 419–432.
- 24 K. Andersson, F. Aquilante, A. Bernhardsson, M. R. A. Blomberg, D. L. Cooper, M. Cossi, A. Devarajan, L. De Vico, N. Ferré, M. P. Fülscher, A. Gaenko, L. Gagliardi, G. Ghigo, C. de Graaf, B. A. Heß, D. Hagberg, A. Holt, G. Karlström, J. W. Krogh, R. Lindh, P.-Å. Malmqvist, P. Neogrády, J. Olsen, T. B. Pedersen, M. Pitonak, J. Raab, M. Reiher, B. O. Roos, U. Ryde, I. Schapiro, B. Schimmelpfennig, L. Seijo, L. Serrano-Andrés, P. E. M. Siegbahn, J. Stålring, T. Thorsteinsson, S. Vancoullie, V. Veryazov, P. O. Widmark and A. Wolf, *MOLCAS version 7.6*, Lund University, Sweden.
- 25 L. De Vico, M. Olivucci and R. Lindh, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2005, **1**, 1029–1037.
- 26 P.-Å. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos and B. Schimmelpfennig, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2002, **357**, 230–240.
- 27 B. O. Roos and P.-Å. Malmqvist, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **6**, 2919–2927.
- 28 B. A. Heß, C. M. Marian, U. Wahlgren and O. Gropen, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **251**, 365–371.
- 29 O. Christiansen, J. Gauss and B. Schimmelpfennig, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **2**, 965–971.
- 30 K. Andersson, P.-Å. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos, A. J. Sadlej and K. Wolinski, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1990, **94**, 5483–5488.
- 31 K. Andersson, P.-Å. Malmqvist and B. O. Roos, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1992, **96**, 1218–1226.
- 32 N. Forsberg and P.-Å. Malmqvist, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **274**, 196–204.
- 33 G. Ghigo, B. O. Roos and P.-Å. Malmqvist, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, **396**, 142–149.
- 34 P.-Å. Malmqvist, K. Pierloot, A. R. M. Shahi, C. J. Cramer and L. Gagliardi, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **128**, 204109.
- 35 J. Olsen, B. O. Roos, P. Jorgensen and H. Jensen, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1988, **89**, 2185–2193.
- 36 M. Valiev, E. Bylaska, N. Govind, K. Kowalski, T. Straatsma, H. van Dam, D. Wang, J. Nieplocha, T. W. E. Apra and W. de Jong, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2010, **181**, 1477–1489.
- 37 Dalton, a molecular electronic structure program, Release Dalton2011 (2011), see <http://daltonprogram.org>.
- 38 P. Sett, T. Misra, S. Chattopadhyay, A. De and P. Mallick, *Vibrational Spectroscopy*, 2007, **44**, 331–342.
- 39 R. Hoffmann and J. Swenson, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1969, **74**, 415–420.
- 40 E. B. Fleischer, N. Sung and S. Hawkinson, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1968, **72**, 4311–4312.
- 41 R. M. Hochstrasser and L. J. Noe, *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy*, 1971, **38**, 175–180.
- 42 J. W. Barker and L. J. Noe, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1972, **57**, 3035–3041.
- 43 T. Hiroto, I. Tetsuji and K. Kohichi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 6008–6014.
- 44 L. Wendell, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1965, **31**, 1045–1050.
- 45 K. K. Chin, A. Natarajan, M. N. Gard, L. M. Campos, H. Shepherd, E. Johansson and M. A. Garcia-Garibay, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 4266–4268.
- 46 G. Spighi, M.-A. Gaveau, J.-M. Mestdag, L. Poisson and B. Soep, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 9610–9618.
- 47 E. Dumont and A. Monari, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 4119–4124.
- 48 E. H. Gilmore, G. E. Gibson and D. S. McClure, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1952, **20**, 829–836.
- 49 E. H. Gilmore, G. E. Gibson and D. S. McClure, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1955, **23**, 399.
- 50 R. Brown and L. Singer, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1972, **14**, 193–195.
- 51 M. Huix-Rotlland, D. Siri and Ferré, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 19293–19300.
- 52 Q. Ou and J. E. Subotnik, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 19839–19849.

Table of contents:

Artwork:

Synopsis:

The dominant triplet population mechanism in benzophenone is determined from wave function based calculations, and involves the $T_2(\pi\pi^*)$ state as an intermediate between S_1 and T_1 .