

Fractional supersymmetry and hierarchy of shape invariant potentials

Maurice Robert Kibler, Mohammed Daoud

▶ To cite this version:

Maurice Robert Kibler, Mohammed Daoud. Fractional supersymmetry and hierarchy of shape invariant potentials. 2006. in2p3-00090893v1

HAL Id: in2p3-00090893 https://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-00090893v1

Preprint submitted on 4 Sep 2006 (v1), last revised 7 Nov 2006 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fractional supersymmetry and hierarchy of shape invariant potentials

M. Daoud ^{1 2} and M. R. Kibler ³

Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, IN2P3-CNRS/Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

Fractional supersymmetric quantum mechanics is developed from a generalized Weyl-Heisenberg algebra. The Hamiltonian and the supercharges of fractional supersymmetric dynamical systems are built in terms of the generators of this algebra. The Hamiltonian gives rise to a hierarchy of isospectral Hamiltonians. Special cases of the algebra lead to dynamical systems for which the isospectral supersymmetric partner Hamiltonians are connected by a (translational or cyclic) shape invariance condition.

¹Permanent address: Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences, Université Ibn Zohr, Agadir, Morocco

²Electronic mail: m_daoud@hotmail.com

³Electronic mail: m.kibler@ipnl.in2p3.fr

1 INTRODUCTION

Supersymmetry was initially introduced in high energy physics, as a kind of symmetry between bosons and fermions, to describe fundamental interactions of Nature in an unified way (e.g., see Ref. 1). Supersymmetry cannot be an exact symmetry. In order to understand supersymmetry breaking in quantum field theory, Witten studied supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM).² In the present days, SUSYQM turns out to be a powerful tool to investigate integrability in quantum mechanics.³⁻⁵ In this connection, the concept of shape invariant potential was introduced by Gendenshtein.⁶ This concept is especially useful to determine the spectrum of exactly solvable potentials. Indeed, for a given solvable potential, shape invariance implies integrability. It is now well-known there are three kinds of shape invariant potentials, namely, translational shape invariant potentials,^{7,8} scaling shape invariant potentials^{9,10} and cyclic shape invariant potentials.^{11,12}

For any exactly solvable Hamiltonian (shape invariant or not), SUSYQM provides us with a process to generate a supersymmetric partner Hamiltonian. This process can be used successively to span a hierarchy of isospectral Hamiltonians.⁵

The aim of this work is to study shape invariant potentials together with the generation of a hierarchy of isospectral superpartner Hamiltonians in the framework of *fractional* SUSYQM of order k ($k = 3, 4, \cdots$).

In general, to pass from ordinary SUSYQM to fractional SUSYQM of order k (abbreviated as k-SUSYQM in the following), it is necessary to replace the Z_2 -grading of the relevant Hilbert space by a Z_k -grading. This amounts either to replace a fermionic degree of freedom by a para-fermionic¹³⁻¹⁸ one, of order k - 1, or to introduce k-fermions,¹⁹⁻²² which are objects interpolating between bosons and fermions. Quantum groups, with the deformation parameter being a root of unity, play also an important role in the development of k-SUSYQM.^{23,31}

The approach of k-SUSYQM developed in the present paper took its origin in Ref. 22 (see also Refs. 32-34 for some similar developments). It is based on a Z_k -graded Weyl-Heisenberg algebra W_k . Section 3 deals with algebra W_k and its use for generating a family of k isospectral Hamiltonians. In Sections 4 and 5, some specific Hamiltonians (with translational shape invariant potentials or cyclic shape invariant potentials) corresponding to particular cases of the algebra W_k are studied. We will start in Section 2 with some preliminaries and motivations.

Throughout the present paper, [A, B] and $\{A, B\}$ stand for the commutator and the anti-commutator of the operators A and B, respectively. The operator A^{\dagger} denotes the adjoint of A. The symbol δ is the Kronecker delta. Many quantities are defined modulo k ($\Pi_k \equiv \Pi_0, H_k \equiv H_0, F_k \equiv F_0$ and $V_k \equiv V_0$). As usual, $f \circ g(x) = f(g(x))$ for two functions f and g. We shall use the convention according to which $\sum_{i=a}^{b} x(i) = 0$ when b < a and the symbols S_0 and S_1 for denoting the sets $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, respectively.

2 PRELIMINARIES AND MOTIVATIONS

For the purpose to establish our notations and to present our motivations, we shall begin with a brief review of *ordinary* SUSYQM, corresponding to k = 2, and of shape invariance (for more details, see Refs. 3-5).

2.1 Ordinary Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

Let us start with ordinary SUSYQM. A supersymmetric dynamical system is defined by a triplet $(H, Q_+, Q_-)_2$ of linear operators acting on a Z₂-graded Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and satisfying the following relations

$$H = H^{\dagger}, \quad Q_{-} = Q_{+}^{\dagger}, \quad Q_{\pm}^{2} = 0$$
$$\{Q_{-}, Q_{+}\} = H, \quad [H, Q_{\pm}] = 0.$$

The operators Q_+ and Q_- are the supercharges of the system. The self-adjoint operator H, the supersymmetric Hamiltonian for the (one-dimensional) system, can be written as

$$H = H_0 + H_1,$$

where H_0 and H_1 act on the states $|n, 0\rangle$ and $|n, 1\rangle$ of grading 0 and 1, respectively. These states span the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ |n, s\rangle : n \text{ ranging}; s = 0, 1 \}.$$

We shall assume that there is no supersymmetry breaking. In this situation, the Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 are isospectral except that the ground state of H_0 has no supersymmetric partner in the spectrum of H_1 .

Now suppose that H_0 has p states $|n, 0\rangle$ with $n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ $(p \ge 2)$. From the Hamiltonian H_1 with p-1 states $|n, 1\rangle$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots, p-1)$, we can find a supersymmetric partner H_2 with p-2 states $|n, 2\rangle$ $(n = 2, 3, \dots, p-1)$ and we can repeat this process to generate a hierarchy of p Hamiltonians H_0, H_1, \dots, H_{p-1} . The Hamiltonian H_m (0 < m < p) has the same energy spectrum than H_0 except that the m-1 first energies of H_0 do not occur in the spectrum of H_m . This result remains valid when p goes to infinity.

We can then ask the following question. What happens when we go from ordinary SUSYQM to k-fractional SUSYQM (with $k = 3, 4, \cdots$)? We shall answer this question by showing that a hierarchy of isospectral Hamiltonians $H_0, H_1, \cdots, H_{k-1}$ can be constructed from a single Hamiltonian H_0 by making use of a Z_k -graded Weyl-Heisenberg algebra. This construction shall be achieved without a repetition process of the type $H_0 \to H_1$, $H_1 \to H_2, \cdots, H_{k-2} \to H_{k-1}$ as used in ordinary SUSYQM.

2.2 Shape Invariance

It is known that there exists a set of exactly solvable potentials characterized by an integrability condition known as shape invariance condition.^{3,5,6} In connection with ordinary SUSYQM, this shape invariance condition leads in an easy way to the spectrum of any invariant shape potential.

More precisely, let us consider the partner potentials $V_0(x, a_0)$ and $V_1(x, a_0)$ associated with the supersymmetric Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 such that

$$H_s = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_s(x, a_0), \quad s = 0, 1,$$

where a_0 is a set of real parameters. The shape invariance condition is defined by

$$V_1(x, a_0) = V_0(x, a_1) + R(a_0), \tag{1}$$

where $a_1 = h(a_0)$ corresponds to a reparametrisation in V_0 and $R(a_0)$ is a constant. The shape invariance condition immediately yields the energies and wavefunctions of H_0 .^{3,5} One obtain the energies $E_{n,0}$ of H_0

$$E_{n,0} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} R(a_l), \quad n \ge 1,$$

where

$$a_l = h^{(l)}(a_0) = h \circ h \circ \cdots \circ h(a_0), \quad l \text{ times.}$$

(We take $E_{0,0} = 0$.) The three kinds of shape invariance mentioned in the introduction correspond to $a_1 = a_0 + \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, $a_1 = \beta a_0$ with $0 < \beta < 1$, and $a_1 = h(a_0)$ with $h^{(l)}(a_0) = a_0$ for translational,^{7,8} scaling,^{9,10} and cyclic^{11,12} shape invariance, respectively.

Another motivation for this work is to show that the isospectral Hamiltonians obtained from k-SUSYQM are connected through shape invariance. In this respect, we shall use some specific realizations of the Z_k -graded Weyl-Heisenberg algebra W_k in order to generate a hierarchy of Hamiltonians subjected to translational or cyclic shape invariance.

3 FRACTIONAL SUPERSYMMETRIC QUANTUM ME-CHANICS

3.1 Definition

Let us go now to k-SUSYQM. A k-fractional supersymmetric dynamical system is defined by a triplet $(H, Q_+, Q_-)_k$ of operators satisfying the following relations^{13-16,22}

$$H = H^{\dagger}, \quad Q_{-} = Q_{+}^{\dagger}, \quad Q_{\pm}^{k} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k-1} Q_{-}^{k-1-s} Q_{+} Q_{-}^{s} = Q_{-}^{k-2} H, \quad [H, Q_{\pm}] = 0,$$
 (2)

where $k = 3, 4, \cdots$. The Hamiltonian H and the supercharges Q_{\pm} of the dynamical system are linear operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{H}_s,$$

which is Z_k -graded in view of the relations $Q_{\pm}^k = 0$. It is to be observed that Eq. (2) works equally well in the case k = 2 corresponding to ordinary SUSYQM.

3.2 Generalized Weyl-Heisenberg algebra

Following Ref. 22, we consider the generalized Weyl-Heisenberg algebra W_k , with $k \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, spanned by the four linear operators X_+ , X_- , N and K acting on the space \mathcal{H} and satisfying

$$X_{-} = X_{+}^{\dagger}, \quad N = N^{\dagger}, \quad KK^{\dagger} = K^{\dagger}K = 1, \quad K^{k} = 1,$$

$$[X_{-}, X_{+}] = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} f_{s}(N)\Pi_{s}, \quad [N, X_{-}] = -X_{-}, \quad [N, X_{+}] = X_{+},$$
$$KX_{+} - qX_{+}K = 0, \quad KX_{-} - pX_{-}K = 0, \quad [K, N] = 0,$$
(3)

where q and p are roots of unity with

$$q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}, \quad p = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{k}}.$$

In Eq. (3), the functions $f_s: N \mapsto f_s(N)$ of the number operator N are such that

$$f_s(N) = f_s(N)^{\dagger}.$$

Furthermore, the operators Π_s are defined in terms of the Klein or grading operator K as

$$\Pi_s = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} p^{st} K^t, \quad s \in S_0.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\Pi_s = \Pi_s^\dagger, \quad \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \Pi_s = 1, \quad \Pi_s \Pi_t = \delta_{s,t} \Pi_s.$$

Consequently, the operators Π_s are projection operators for the cyclic group Z_k . It can be proved that they satisfy

$$\Pi_s X_+ = X_+ \Pi_{s-1} \iff X_- \Pi_s = \Pi_{s-1} X_-$$

Note that the operators X_+ and X_- can be considered as generalized creation and annihilation operators, respectively.

It should be realized that, for fixed k, Eq. (3) defines indeed a family of generalized Weyl-Heisenberg algebras W_k . The various members of the family are distinguished by the various sets $\{f_s\} \equiv \{f_s(N) : s \in S_0\}$.

3.3 Realization of *k*-SUSYQM

We can use the generators of W_k for obtaining a realization of $(H, Q_+, Q_-)_k$.

First, we take the supercharge operators Q_{\pm} (see Eq. (2)) in the form

$$Q_{-} = X_{-}(1 - \Pi_{1}), \quad Q_{+} = X_{+}(1 - \Pi_{0}).$$
 (4)

It can be proved that they satisfy the Hermitean conjugation property $Q_{-} = Q_{+}^{\dagger}$ and the *k*-nilpotency property $Q_{\pm}^{k} = 0$. Note that there are *k* equivalent definitions of type (4) corresponding to the *k* circular permutations of $0, 1, \dots, k-1$.

Second, the k-fractional supersymmetric Hamiltonian H, satisfying (2) and compatible with (4), takes the form²²

$$H = (k-1)X_{+}X_{-} - \sum_{s=3}^{k} \sum_{t=2}^{s-1} (t-1)f_{t}(N-s+t)\Pi_{s} - \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \sum_{t=s}^{k-1} (t-k)f_{t}(N-s+t)\Pi_{s},$$

in terms of X_+X_- , Π_s and f_s . In addition, it can be shown that the operator H can be decomposed as

$$H = \sum_{s=1}^{k} H_s \Pi_s = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} H_{k-s} \Pi_{k-s},$$
(5)

where

$$H_s = (k-1)X_+X_- - \sum_{t=2}^{k-1} (t-1)f_t(N-s+t) + (k-1)\sum_{t=s}^{k-1} f_t(N-s+t), s \in S_1.$$
(6)

As an important result, it can be proved, from $[H, Q_{\pm}] = 0$, that the k operators $H_k \equiv H_0$, H_{k-1}, \dots, H_1 constitute a hierarchy of isospectral Hamiltonians. Therefore, the spectra of H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{k-1} can be deduced from the spectrum of H_0 .

3.4 Representation of W_k

Let us now examine the action of X_+ , X_- , N and K on each subspace

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \{ |n, s\rangle : n \text{ ranging} \}$$

of \mathcal{H} (*n* can take a finite or infinite number of values according to whether as \mathcal{H}_s is of finite or infinite dimension). For this purpose, we introduce the structure functions $F_s: N \mapsto F_s(N)$ through

$$X_{+}X_{-} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} F_{s}(N)\Pi_{s}, \quad X_{-}X_{+} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} F_{s+1}(N+1)\Pi_{s}.$$

In view of Eq. (3), we have the recurrence relation

$$F_{s+1}(n+1) - F_s(n) = f_s(n), \quad F_s(0) = 0.$$
(7)

Then, we can take²²

$$X_{+}|n,s\rangle = \sqrt{F_{s+1}(n+1)}|n+1,s+1\rangle, \quad s \neq k-1,$$

$$X_{+}|n,s\rangle = \sqrt{F_{s+1}(n+1)}|n+1,0\rangle, \quad s = k-1,$$

$$X_{-}|n,s\rangle = \sqrt{F_{s}(n)}|n-1,s-1\rangle, \quad s \neq 0,$$

$$X_{-}|n,s\rangle = \sqrt{F_{s}(n)}|n-1,k-1\rangle, \quad s = 0,$$

$$N|n,s\rangle = n|n,s\rangle, \quad K|n,s\rangle = q^{s}|n,s\rangle$$
(8)

for the action of X_+ , X_- , N and K on space \mathcal{H}_s . Relations (8) define a representation of W_k .

In the following, we shall consider two special cases of W_k : (i) The case where $f_s(N)$ is independent of s (see Section 4) and (ii) The case where $f_s(N)$ is independent of N (see Section 5).

4 TRANSLATIONAL SHAPE INVARIANT POTENTIALS

4.1 Structure function

In this section, we assume that $f_s(N)$ is independent of s and linear in N. More precisely, we take

$$f_s(N) = aN + b \Rightarrow [X_-, X_+] = aN + b,$$

with strictly positive eigenvalues, where a and b are two real parameters. Thus, from Eq. (7) we have

$$X_+X_- \equiv F(N, a, b),$$

where

$$F(N, a, b) = \frac{1}{2}aN(N-1) + bN.$$

The non-linear spectrum of X_+X_- is then given by

$$X_+X_-|n,s\rangle = \left[\frac{1}{2}an(n-1) + bn\right]|n,s\rangle.$$

For either a = 0 and b > 0 or a > 0 and $b \ge 0$, the spectrum of X_+X_- is infinitedimensional and does not present degeneracies. For a < 0 and $b \ge 0$, the spectrum of X_+X_- is finite-dimensional with $n = 0, 1, \dots, E(-\frac{b}{a})$ and all the states are non-degenerate.

It is possible to find a realization of each of the three cases just described in terms of an exactly solvable dynamical system in a one-dimensional space, with coordinate x, and characterized by a potential V(x, a, b). As a matter of fact, we have:

(i) a = 0 and b = 1 correspond to the harmonic oscillator potential

$$V_{ho}(x,0,1) = x^2, (9)$$

with an infinite non-degenerate spectrum $(n \in \mathbf{N})$.

(ii) a = 2 and b = u + v + 1, with u > 1 and v > 1, correspond to the Pöschl-Teller potential

$$V_{PT}\left(x, 2, \left\{u + \frac{1}{2}, v + \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{u(u-1)}{\sin^2 \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{v(v-1)}{\cos^2 \frac{x}{2}}\right] - \frac{1}{4}(u+v)^2, \tag{10}$$

with an infinite non-degenerate spectrum $(n \in \mathbf{N})$.

(iii) a = -2 and b = 2l + 1, with $l \in \mathbf{N}$, correspond to the Morse potential

$$V_M(x, -2, 2l+1) = e^{-2x} - (2l+3)e^{-x} + (l+1)^2,$$
(11)

with an finite non-degenerate spectrum $(n = 0, 1, \dots, l)$.

4.2 Isospectral Hamiltonians

The various isospectral Hamiltonians occuring in (5) are easily deduced from Eq. (6). This gives

$$H_{k-s} \equiv H_{k-s}(N, a, b) = (k-1) \times \\ \times \left[F\left(N, a, b - \frac{k-2}{2}a + sa\right) + \frac{k-2}{2}\left(\frac{ka}{3} - b\right) + \frac{1}{2}s(s-k+1)a + sb \right], \quad s \in S_0.$$

Thus, the isospectral Hamiltonians are linked by

$$H_{k-s}(N,a,b) = H_0(N,a,b+sa) + (k-1) \left[\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)a+sb\right], \quad s \in S_0,$$
(12)

a relation of central importance, in the k-SUSYQM context, for the derivation of the translational shape invariance condition.

Let us denote by $V_k \equiv V_0, V_{k-1}, \dots, V_1$ the potentials (in *x*-representation) associated with the isopectral Hamiltonians H_0, H_{k-1}, \dots, H_1 , respectively. In other words, we set

$$H_{k-s}(N, a, b) \equiv -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_{k-s}(x, a, b), \quad s \in S_0.$$

By using Eq. (12), we immediately get the recurrence relation

$$V_{k-s}(x,a,b) = V_0(x,a,b+sa) + (k-1) \left[\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)a + sb \right], \quad s \in S_0,$$
(13)

which may be considered as the k-SUSYQM version of the translational shape invariance condition for ordinary SUSY (see Eq. (1)).

By way of illustration, Eq. (13) yields the following results.

(i) For the harmonic oscillator system:

$$V_{k-s}(x,0,1) = x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(k-1)(2s-k+2).$$
(14)

(ii) For the Pöschl-Teller system:

$$V_{k-s}\left(x, 2, \left\{u + \frac{1}{2}, v + \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{(u+s+1-\frac{k}{2})(u+s-\frac{k}{2})}{\sin^2 \frac{x}{2}} + \frac{(v+s+1-\frac{k}{2})(v+s-\frac{k}{2})}{\cos^2 \frac{x}{2}}\right]$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}(u+v+2s+2-k)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(k-1)(k-2)(2k-3u-3v-3) + (k-1)s(s-k+u+v+2).$$
(15)
(iii) For the Morse system:

$$V_{k-s}(x, -2, 2l+1) = e^{-2x} - (2l+k+1-2s)e^{-x} + \frac{1}{4}(2l+k-2s)^2 - \frac{1}{6}(k-1)(k-2)(2k+6l+3) + (k-1)s(k-s+2l)$$
(16)

In the case k = 2 and s = 0, Eqs. (14), (15) and (16) reduce to Eqs. (9), (10) and (11), respectively.

5 CYCLIC SHAPE INVARIANT POTENTIALS

5.1 Structure function

In this section, we assume that $f_s(N)$ is independent of N, i.e.,

$$f_s(N) = f_s \Rightarrow [X_-, X_+] = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} f_s \Pi_s.$$

(The paradigmatic case of the harmonic oscillator corresponds to $f_s = \text{constant}$ for any s in $S_{0.}$)

It is convenient to write the integer n occurring in $|n, s\rangle$ as n = kp + t with $p \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t \in S_0$. Here, to adapt our construction to one-dimensional periodic potentials, we restrict the Hilbert-Fock space \mathcal{H} to its subspace $\mathcal{G} = \{|kp + s, s\rangle : p \text{ ranging}; s \in S_0\}$. In addition, it is appropriate to denote the state $|kp + s, s\rangle$ as $|kp + s\rangle$. Hence, the action of the number operator N on the states $|kn + s\rangle$ is given by

$$N|kn+s) = (kn+s)|kn+s|$$

and the grading operator K can be identified, on the subspace \mathcal{G} , with the operator q^N since

$$K|kn+s) = q^{s}|kn+s) = q^{kn+s}|kn+s) = q^{N}|kn+s).$$

From Eq. (7), it can be shown

$$F_s(N) = g_0 N + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} g_t \frac{1 - q^{st}}{1 - q^t},$$

where

$$g_t = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} p^{st} f_s, \quad t \in S_0.$$

Thus, the action of

$$X_{+}X_{-} = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} g_{t} \frac{1-q^{Nt}}{1-q^{t}}$$

on the space \mathcal{G} reads

$$X_{+}X_{-}|kn+s) = \left(n\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_{i} + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} f_{i}\right)|kn+s).$$
(17)

The spectrum of X_+X_- is periodic and can be seen as a superposition of identical blocks. For a given block, the various gaps between the consecutive eigenvalues are

 $f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_{k-1}.$

The first block (corresponding to n = 0) has the following nonzero eigenvalues

$$E_1 = f_0, \ E_2 = f_0 + f_1, \ \cdots, \ E_k = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_{k-1},$$

while the second block (corresponding to n = 1) has the eigenvalues

$$E_{k+1} = E_k + f_0, \ E_{k+2} = E_k + f_0 + f_1, \ \cdots, \ E_{2k} = E_k + f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_{k-1},$$

and so on for the subsequent blocks corresponding to $n = 2, 3, \cdots$ (the eigenvalue for the ground state is $E_0 = 0$). In other words, in the $(n + 1)^{\text{th}}$ block the parameter f_s is the difference between the eigenvalues for $|kn + s + 1\rangle$ and $|kn + s\rangle$. According to Eq. (17), the various eigenvalues are given by

$$E_{kn+s} = nkg_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} f_i, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}; \ s \in S_0.$$

Thus, each block has the length kg_0 which can be considered as the period of the cyclic spectrum.

At this level, it should be emphasized that our approach covers the one of Ref. 11 concerning the two-body Calogero-Sutherland model. The latter model corresponds to k = 2. Consequently, the relevant Hilbert-Fock space is

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ |2n+s) : n \in \mathbf{N}; s = 0, 1 \}$$

and X_+X_- reads

$$X_{+}X_{-} = \frac{1}{2}(f_{0} + f_{1})N + \frac{1}{2}(f_{0} - f_{1})\Pi_{1}.$$

Equation (17) can then be particularized as

$$X_{+}X_{-}|2n) = n(f_{0} + f_{1})|2n),$$
$$X_{+}X_{-}|2n+1) = [n(f_{0} + f_{1}) + f_{0}]|2n+1),$$

in accordance with the results of Ref. 11. (Our parameters f_0 and f_1 read $f_0 = \omega_0$ and $f_1 = \omega_1$ in the notations of Ref. 11.)

It is interesting to note that that the spectrum of X_+X_- coincides with one of the Hamiltonian corresponding to the potential (in *x*-representation)

$$V_0(x, f_0, f_1) = \frac{1}{16}(f_0 + f_1)^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(f_0 - f_1)(3f_0 + f_1)}{(f_0 + f_1)^2} \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{2}f_1.$$
 (18)

Furthermore, using the standard tools of ordinary SUSYQM, we get

$$V_1(x, f_0, f_1) = \frac{1}{16} (f_0 + f_1)^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(f_1 - f_0)(3f_1 + f_0)}{(f_0 + f_1)^2} \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{2} f_0,$$
(19)

which corresponds to the operator $X_{-}X_{+}$.

For k > 2, the derivation of analytical forms of the potentials exhibiting a cyclic spectrum was discussed in Ref. 12.

5.2 Isospectral Hamiltonians

Going back to the general case, the expressions for the isospectral Hamiltonians in (6) can be obtained from (5). This yields the relations

$$H_s \equiv H_s(N, \{f_s\}) = (k-1)X_+X_- + \sum_{t=2}^{k-1} (1-t)f_t + (k-1)\sum_{t=s}^{k-1} f_t, \quad s \in S_1.$$

These relations show that the spectra of the supersymmetric partner Hamiltonians H_0 , H_1, \dots, H_{k-1} can be deduced from the one of X_+X_- given by Eq. (17). By combining the latter two relations, we obtain

$$H_{k-s}(N, \{f_s\}) = H_0(N+s, \{f_s\}), \quad s \in S_0,$$
(20),

an important relation for the derivation of the cyclic shape invariance condition.

From (20), we can prove that

$$H_{k-s}(N, \{f_s\}) = H_0(N, h^{(s)}\{f_s\}) + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} f_i, \quad s \in S_0$$
(21)

with

$$h^{(s)} = h \circ h \circ \cdots \circ h, \quad s \text{ times},$$

where h is the circular permutation

$$h\{f_s\} = h\{f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_{k-2}, f_{k-1}\} = \{f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_{k-1}, f_0\}$$

such that $h^{(k)}$ is the identity.

We continue with dynamical systems in one-dimensional space (coordinate x). Let us note $V_0(x, \{f_s\})$ the potential associated with $H_0(N, \{f_s\})$:

$$H_0(N, \{f_s\}) \equiv -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_0(x, \{f_s\})$$

From Eq. (21), it is easy to check that the potential $V_{k-s}(x, \{f_s\})$ associated with the Hamiltonian $H_{k-s}(N, \{f_s\})$ can be obtained via

$$V_{k-s}(x, \{f_s\}) = V_0(x, h^{(s)}\{f_s\}) + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} f_i, \quad s \in S_0,$$
(22)

to be compared with the cyclic shape invariance condition for ordinary SUSY (see Eq. (1) and Refs. 11 and 12).

As an example, for k = 2, Eq. (22) leads to

$$V_1(x, \{f_0, f_1\}) = V_0(x, \{f_1, f_0\}) + f_0,$$

a relation satisfied by Eqs. (18) and (19) for the Calogero-Sutherland potential.

6 CONCLUDING REMARKS

It was shown in the present paper how to tackle k-fractional SUSYQM through a Z_k -graded Weyl-Heisenberg algebra, noted W_k with $k = 3, 4, \cdots$ (the case k = 2 corresponding to ordinary SUSYQM). From the generators of this algebra, it was possible to find several realizations of k-fractional supersymmetric dynamical systems. Each system was characterized by a k-fractional supersymmetric Hamiltonian which gave rise to a hierarchy of k isospectral Hamiltonians H_{k-s} with $s \in S_0$. Two special cases of algebra W_k were examined. They both led to k-fractional isospectral Hamiltonians, the potentials of which are connected by a recurrence relation that reflects a (translational or cyclic) shape invariance condition.

As a conclusion, k-fractional SUSYQM developed in the framework of algebra W_k turns out to be a useful tool to generate a hierarchy of k isospectral Hamiltonians linked by a translational or cyclic invariance condition.

A brief comparison with the results given by ordinary SUSYQM is in order. For k = 2, the hierarchy of Hamiltonians reduces to a pair of isospectal Hamiltonians. Therefore,

in order to generate a hierarchy of k isospectal Hamiltonians, it is necessary to apply ordinary SUSYQM repeatedly. This is no longer the case for k-fractional SUSYQM since the hierarchy of k isospectral Hamiltonians is generated at once. The equivalence between the approaches via ordinary SUSYQM applied repeatedly and k-fractional SUSYQM is ensured by the fact that k-fractional SUSYQM can be seen as a superposition of k - 1copies of ordinary SUSYQM.²²

To close this paper, it is worthwhile to mention that our approach to k-fractional SUSYQM by means of algebra W_k can be applied to other potentials. For instance, by taking $X_+X_- \equiv F(N, a, b, c)$, where the structure function F is given by

$$F(N, a, b, c) = \frac{1}{2}aN(N-1) + bN + c\frac{1}{(N+1)^2},$$

it might be possible to describe potentials involving a Coulombic part. Along this vein, a k-fractional SUSYQM approach to the effective screened potential,³⁵ singular inverse-power potentials,³⁶ and non-central potentials³⁷ could be fruitful.

References

- [1] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Nucl. Phys. B **70**, 39 (1974).
- [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **188**, 513 (1981).
- [3] G. Junker, Supersymmetric Methods in Quantum and Statistical Physics (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- [4] B. K. Bagchi, *Supersymmetry in Quantum and Classical Mechanics* (Chapman and Hall, London, 2000).
- [5] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001); Phys. Rep. 251, 268 (1995).
- [6] L. Gendenshtein, JETP Lett. **38**, 356 (1983).
- [7] F. Cooper, J. N. Ginocchio and A. Khare, Phys. Rev. D 36, 2458 (1987).
- [8] C. Chuan, J. Phys. A 24, L1165 (1991).
- [9] A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, J. Phys. A 26, L901 (1993).
- [10] D. T. Barclay, R. Dutt, A. Gangopadhyaya, A. Khare, A. Pagnamenta and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rev. A 48, 2786 (1993).
- [11] A. Gangopadhyaya and U. P. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett. A 224, 5 (1996).
- [12] U. P. Sukhatme, C. Rasinariu and A. Khare, Phys. Lett. A 234, 401 (1997).
- [13] V. A. Rubakov and V. P. Spiridonov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 3, 1337 (1988).
- [14] J. Beckers and N. Debergh, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4, 1209 (1989); Nucl. Phys. B 340, 767 (1990); N. Debergh, J. Math. Phys 34, 1270 (1993); J. Phys. A. 26, 7219 (1993); 27, L213 (1994).
- [15] A. Khare, J. Phys. A 25, L749 (1992); J. Math. Phys. 34, 1277 (1993).
- [16] A. T. Filippov, A. P. Isaev and A. B. Kurdikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7, 2129 (1992); Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8, 4973 (1993).
- [17] S. Durand, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7, 2905 (1992); Phys. Lett. B 312, 115 (1993); Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8, 2323 (1993).
- [18] S. Klishevich and M. S. Plyushchay, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 14, 2379 (1999).
- [19] M. Daoud, Y. Hassouni and M. Kibler, in *Symmetries in Science X*, edited by B. Gruber and M. Ramek (Plenum, New York, 1998); Yad. Fiz. **61**, 1935 (1998).
- [20] M. Daoud and M. Kibler, in Symmetry and Structural Properties of Condensed Matter, edited by T. Lulek, B. Lulek and A. Wal (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001); in Proceedings of the Sixth International Wigner Symposium (Bogazici Univ. Press, Istanbul, Turkey, 2002).

- [21] H.-Y. Pan and Z. S. Zhao, Phys. Lett. A **312**, 1 (2003).
- [22] M. Daoud and M. Kibler, Phys. Lett. A **321**, 147 (2004).
- [23] C. Ahn, D. Bernard and A. LeClair, Nucl. Phys. B 346, 409 (1990); A. LeClair and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 401, 413 (1993).
- [24] R. Kerner, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 403 (1992).
- [25] J. L. Matheus-Valle and M. A. R.-Monteiro, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7, 3023 (1992); Phys. Lett. B 300, 66 (1993); L. P. Collato and J. L. Matheus-Valle, J. Math. Phys. 37, 6121 (1996).
- [26] E. H. Saidi, M. B. Sedra and J. Zerouaoui, Class. and Quant. Gravity 7, 1567 (1995).
- [27] N. Mohammedi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 10, 1287 (1995).
- [28] J. A. de Azcárraga and A. J. Macfarlane, J. Math. Phys. 37, 1115 (1996).
- [29] A. Perez, M. Rausch de Traubenberg and P. Simon, Nucl. Phys. B 482, 325 (1996);
 N. Fleury and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11, 899 (1996); M. Rausch de Traubenberg and M. J. Slupinski, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12, 3051 (1997); M. Rausch de Traubenberg and P. Simon, Nucl. Phys. B 517, 485 (1998); M. Rausch de Traubenberg and M. J. Slupinski, J. Math. Phys. 41, 4556 (2000).
- [30] A. Mostafazadeh, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 11, 1057, 2941, 2957 (1996); K. Aghababaei Samani and A. Mostafazadeh, Nucl. Phys. B 595, 467 (2001).
- [31] H. Ahmedov and Ö. F. Dayi, J. Phys. A **32**, 6247 (1999).
- [32] M. Daoud and M. Kibler, Phys. Part. Nuclei (Supp. 1) 33, S43 (2002); Int. J. Quant. Chem. 91, 551 (2003); M. R. Kibler and M. Daoud, in *Fundamental World of Quantum Chemistry*, edited by E. J. Brändas and E. S. Kryachko (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004).
- [33] A. Chenaghlou and H. Fakhri, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 18, 939 (2003).
- [34] M. Stošić and R. Picken, math-phys/0407019.
- [35] Shang-Wu Qian, Bo-Wen Huang and Zhi-Yu Gu, New J. Phys. 4, 13.1 (2002).
- [36] B. Gönül, O. Özer, M. Koçak, D. Tutcu and Y. Cançelik, J. Phys. A 34, 8271 (2001).
- [37] M. Koçak, I. Zorba and B. Gönül, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 2127 (2002).