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The LIGO detection of the gravitational wave transient GW150914, from the inspiral and merger of two black holes with masses $\gtrsim 30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, suggests a population of binary black holes with relatively high mass. This observation implies that the stochastic gravitational-wave background from binary black holes, created from the incoherent superposition of all the merging binaries in the Universe,


#### Abstract

could be higher than previously expected. Using the properties of GW150914, we estimate the energy density of such a background from binary black holes. In the most sensitive part of the Advanced LIGO/Virgo band for stochastic backgrounds (near 25 Hz ), we predict $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f=25 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) $=$ $1.1_{-0.9}^{+2.7} \times 10^{-9}$ with $90 \%$ confidence. This prediction is robustly demonstrated for a variety of formation scenarios with different parameters. The differences between models are small compared to the statistical uncertainty arising from the currently poorly constrained local coalescence rate. We conclude that this background is potentially measurable by the Advanced LIGO/Virgo detectors operating at their projected final sensitivity.
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Introduction - On September 14, 2015 the Advanced ${ }_{43}$ LIGO [1, 2] Hanford and Livingston detectors observed 44 the gravitational-wave event GW150914 with a signifi- ${ }_{45}$ cance in excess of $5.1 \sigma$ [3]. The observed signal is consis- 46 tent with a binary black hole waveform with component ${ }_{47}$ masses of $m_{1}=36_{-4}^{+5} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $m_{2}=29_{-4}^{+4} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, as mea- ${ }_{48}$ sured in the source frame, and coalescing at a luminosity distance of $410_{-180}^{+160} \mathrm{Mpc}$, corresponding to a redshift of ${ }^{49}$ $z=0.09_{-0.04}^{+0.03}[3,4]$.

For every event like GW150914 observed by advanced ${ }^{51}$ gravitational-wave detectors, there are many more too distant to be resolved. The gravitational waves from these unresolvable events combine to create a stochastic background, which can be detected by correlating $5_{52}$ the signals from two or more gravitational-wave detec- ${ }_{53}$ tors [5]. While it has long been known that the advanced ${ }_{54}$ detectors could observe such a background, the detection ${ }_{55}$ of GW150914 suggests that the binary black hole back- ${ }_{56}$ ground level is likely to be at the higher end of previous $5_{57}$ predictions (see, e.g., [6-13]).

Heavy black holes like GW150914 are predicted to 59 form in low-metallicity stellar environments, lower than 60 about half of solar metallicity, and in the presence of ${ }_{61}$ relatively weak massive-star winds [14]. These masses ${ }_{62}$ are also larger than the masses inferred from reliable dy- ${ }_{63}$ namical measurements in black-hole X-ray binaries [14]. ${ }_{64}$ More massive binaries emit more energy in gravitational ${ }_{65}$ waves. Hence, the measurement of the component masses ${ }_{66}$ of GW150914 favors a higher amplitude of the corresponding gravitational-wave background.

In addition, the coalescence rate of binary black holes like GW150914 in the local Universe is estimated to be $16_{-13}^{+38} \mathrm{Gpc}^{-3} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}[15]$ median with $90 \%$ credible interval. This rate excludes the lower end of pre-detection rate es- 67 timates [14], while being consistent with the higher end. ${ }_{68}$ A higher coalescence rate also implies a brighter stochas- ${ }_{69}$ tic background.

There are currently two possible formation channels ${ }_{71}$ that are consistent with the GW150914 event [14]. Bi- 72 nary black holes may be formed from isolated binaries of ${ }_{73}$ massive stars in galactic fields, or through dynamical interactions in dense stellar environments such as globular

[^2]clusters [14]. The evolution of the merger rate with redshift depends in part on the assumed formation scenario.

In this paper we discuss the detectability of the stochastic background produced by binary black holes throughout the Universe based on the measured properties of GW150914.

Binary black hole background - The energy density spectrum of gravitational waves is described by the following dimensionless quantity [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f)=\frac{f}{\rho_{c}} \frac{d \rho_{\mathrm{GW}}}{d f}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \rho_{\mathrm{GW}}$ is the energy density in the frequency interval $f$ to $f+d f, \rho_{c}=3 H_{0}^{2} c^{2} / 8 \pi G$ is the critical energy density required to close the Universe, and $H_{0}=$ $67.8 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Mpc}$ is the Hubble constant [16].

A population of binary black holes is characterized by the distribution of the intrinsic source parameters $\theta$ (usually the component masses and spin). Since this distribution is unknown at present, following [15] and [17] we divide the distribution into distinct classes corresponding to the observed candidates. If binary black holes in some class $k$, with source parameters $\theta_{k}$, merge at a rate $R_{m}\left(z ; \theta_{k}\right)$ per unit comoving volume $V_{c}$ per unit source time, then the total gravitational-wave energy density spectrum from all the sources in this class is given by (see, e.g. [6-13]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}\left(f ; \theta_{k}\right)=\frac{f}{\rho_{c} H_{0}} \int_{0}^{z_{\max }} d z \frac{R_{m}\left(z, \theta_{k}\right) \frac{d E_{\mathrm{GW}}}{d f_{s}}\left(f_{s}, \theta_{k}\right)}{(1+z) E\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}, \Omega_{\Lambda}, z\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the final energy density spectrum is the sum of $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}\left(f ; \theta_{k}\right)$ from each class. ${ }^{1}$ In Eq. $2, d E_{\mathrm{GW}} / d f_{s}\left(f_{s}, \theta_{k}\right)$ is the spectral energy density of a source of class $k$ at the frequency $f_{s}=f(1+z)$, which depends on the source parameters $\theta_{k} ; E\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}, \Omega_{\Lambda}, z\right)=\sqrt{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}(1+z)^{3}+\Omega_{\Lambda}}$ captures the dependence of the comoving volume on redshift for the standard flat cosmology model, with $\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}=0.31$

[^3]and $\Omega_{\Lambda}=1-\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}$. The $(1+z)$ factor in the denom-125 inator of Eq. 2 corrects for the cosmic expansion, con-126 verting time in the source frame to the detector frame. ${ }_{127}$ The parameter $z_{\max }$ corresponds to the time of the first ${ }_{128}$ coalescences. We set $z_{\max }=10$, noting, however, that $t_{129}$ sources above $z \sim 5$ contribute very little to the total ${ }_{130}$ background (see, e.g., [6-13]).

The merger rate $R_{m}\left(z ; \theta_{k}\right)$ is a convolution of the $\mathrm{bi}_{-132}$ nary formation rate $R_{f}\left(z ; \theta_{k}\right)$ with the distribution of $\mathrm{f}_{133}$ the time delays $P\left(t_{d} ; \theta_{k}\right)$ between binary black hole formation and merger (see e.g., [18])

$$
R_{m}\left(z ; \theta_{k}\right)=\int_{t_{\min }}^{t_{\max }} R_{f}\left(z_{f} ; \theta_{k}\right) P\left(t_{d} ; \theta_{k}\right) d t_{d}
$$

where $t_{d}$ is the time delay, $z_{f}$ is the redshift at the for- ${ }_{140}$ mation time $t_{f}=t(z)-t_{d}$, and $t(z)$ is the age of the ${ }_{141}$ Universe at merger.

Inference on GW150914 [4], along with expectations that gravitational-wave emission is efficient in circular- ${ }_{144}^{143}$ izing the orbit [14], allows us to restrict our models for ${ }_{145}^{144}$ $d E_{\mathrm{GW}} / d f_{s}$ to circular orbits. Measurements do not con- ${ }^{146}$ strain the component spins in the orbital plane [4]; we ${ }^{146}$ therefore restrict our model to spins (anti-)aligned with ${ }_{148}^{147}$ the orbital angular momentum, and use the functional ${ }^{148}$ form of $d E_{\mathrm{GW}} / d f_{s}$ derived in [19]. In addition to the ${ }_{150}^{149}$ component masses, this model depends on the effective ${ }^{150}$ spin parameter along the direction of the orbital angu- ${ }^{151}$ lar momentum $\chi_{\text {eff }}$, which takes values between -1 (in ${ }^{152}$ which both black holes have maximal spins anti-aligned ${ }^{153}$ with respect to the orbital angular momentum) and $+1_{155}^{154}$ (assuming maximally aligned spins) [4].

Fiducial Model - The GW150914 event appears consis-157 tent with both the dynamic and field formation chan-158 nels [14]; however the field channel is currently better 159 described in the stochastic background literature. Thus160 our Fiducial model is inspired by population synthesis161 studies of field binaries (see [13]).

We assume that the binary black hole formation rate is163 proportional to the star formation rate (SFR) at metal-164 licity $Z \leq Z_{\odot} / 2$ [14], where $Z_{\odot}$ is the solar metallicity. 165 That is, to compute the binary black hole formation rate,166 the SFR is multiplied by the fraction of star formation167 occurring below the metallicity threshold $Z_{c}=Z_{\odot} / 2_{\text {.168 }}$ For the SFR, we use the recent model [20], referred to169 here as "Vangioni", based on the gamma-ray burst rate170 of [21] and on the normalization described in [22, 23].171 We adopt the mean metallicity-redshift relation of [24],172 rescaled upwards by a factor of 3 to account for local ${ }_{173}$ observations [20, 25]. In addition, we assume the metal-174 licity is $\log _{10}$-normally distributed with a standard devi-175 ation of 0.5 around the mean at each redshift [26]. We ${ }_{176}$ further assume that the time delay distribution follows ${ }_{177}$ $P\left(t_{d}\right) \propto t_{d}^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha=-1$ for $t_{d}>t_{\min }[18,27-33]$, where $_{178}$ $t_{\text {min }}=50 \mathrm{Myr}$ is the minimum delay time for a massive ${ }_{179}$
binary to evolve until coalescence [e.g., 34], and a maximum time delay $t_{\max }$ equal to the Hubble time.

The rest of the Fiducial model parameters correspond to the median inferred parameters of GW150914: the chirp mass $M_{c}=28 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, the symmetric mass ratio $\eta \sim 0.25$, and the effective spin parameter $\chi_{\mathrm{eff}}=-0.06$. We normalize the overall merger rate so that the local merger rate at $z=0$ matches the most conservative median inferred rate, $16 \mathrm{Gpc}^{-3} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}[15]$.

Results - We plot $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f)$ for the Fiducial model as a solid blue curve in Fig. 1a. The curve is shown against the pink shaded region, which represents the $90 \%$ credible interval statistical uncertainty in the local rate. Considering this uncertainty, we predict $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f=$ $25 \mathrm{~Hz})=1.1_{-0.9}^{+2.7} \times 10^{-9}$. The spectrum is well approximated by a power law $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f) \propto f^{2 / 3}$ at low frequencies where the contribution from the inspiral phase is dominant and the spectral energy density is $d E_{\mathrm{GW}} / d f_{s}=$ $\left[(G \pi)^{2 / 3} / 3\right] M_{c}^{5 / 3} f_{s}^{-1 / 3}$. This power law remains a good approximation until the spectrum reaches a maximum at $f \sim 100 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The shape is in agreement with previous predictions (see, e.g., [7-13]), except that the maximum is shifted to lower frequencies, due to the higher mass considered.

This calculation of $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f)$ captures the total energy density in gravitational waves generated by binary black hole coalescences. In practice, some of these sources will be individually detected as resolved binaries. We define "the residual background" as the energy density spectrum that excludes potentially resolvable binaries. While the total background is a property of the Universe, the residual background is detector-dependent. As sensitivity improves, the surveyed volume increases, more binaries are resolved and the residual background decreases.

The dashed blue curve in Fig. 1a represents the residual background calculated for the network of the Advanced LIGO [1, 2] and Advanced Virgo [36, 37] detectors at final sensitivity, assuming that a binary black hole signal is detected if it is associated with a single-detector matched filter signal-to-noise ratio of $\rho>8$ in at least two detectors [38]. The difference between the two curves is about $30 \%$ in the sensitive frequency band $(10-50 \mathrm{~Hz})$, indicating that the residual background carries complementary information about the binary black hole population. Binaries with the same component masses as GW150914 can be detected at a redshift up to $z \lesssim 1.3$ by advanced detectors operating at design sensitivity if optimally located and oriented (see Fig. 4 of [14]). However, most sources at $z \gtrsim 0.4$ will not be individually resolvable because of unfavorable location and orientation.

The sensitive frequency band of the Advanced LIGOVirgo network to a gravitational-wave background produced by binary black holes is $10-50 \mathrm{~Hz}$, where $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}} \sim$ $f^{2 / 3}$. It corresponds to more than $95 \%$ of the accumu-


FIG. 1. Expected sensitivity of the network of advanced LIGO and Virgo detectors to the Fiducial field model. Left panel: Energy density spectra are shown in blue (solid for the total background; dashed for the residual background, excluding resolved sources, assuming final advanced LIGO and Virgo [1, 2] sensitivity). The pink shaded region "Poisson" shows the 90\% CL statistical uncertainty on the total background, propagated from the local rate measurement. The black power-law integrated curves show the $1 \sigma$ sensitivity of the network expected for the two first observing runs O 1 and O 2 , and for 2 years at the design sensitivity in O5. (O3 and O4 are not significantly different than O5; see Table I.) If the astrophysical background spectrum intersects a black line, it has expected $\mathrm{SNR} \geq 1$. In both panels we assume a coincident duty cycle of $33 \%$ for O1 (actual) and $50 \%$ for all other runs (predicted). Right panel: Predicted SNR as a function of total observing time. The blue lines and pink shaded region have the same interpretation as in the left panel. Each observing run is indicated by an improvement in the LIGO-Virgo network sensitivity [35], which results in a discontinuity in the slope. The thresholds for SNR $=1$, 3 (false-alarm probability $<3 \times 10^{-3}$ ) and 5 (false-alarm probability $<6 \times 10^{-7}$ ) are indicated by horizontal lines.
lated sensitivity $[12,13,39]$. The black curves shown201 in Fig. 1a are power-law integrated curves [40], which202 represent the expected $1 \sigma$ sensitivity of the standard $\mathrm{d}_{203}$ cross-correlation search [5] to power-law gravitational-204 wave backgrounds, of which the $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f) \propto f^{2 / 3}$ spec-205 trum for binary inspirals is an example. A power-law in-206 tegrated curve is calculated by taking the locus of power-207 law spectra that have expected $\mathrm{SNR}=1$, where [5]: ${ }_{208}^{208}$
$\mathrm{SNR}=\frac{3 H_{0}^{2}}{10 \pi^{2}} \sqrt{2 T}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} d f \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j>i} \frac{\gamma_{i j}^{2}(f) \Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}^{2}(f)}{f^{6} P_{i}(f) P_{j}(f)}\right]^{1 / 2}{ }^{211}{ }_{213}^{212}$
for a network of detectors $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Hence, if ${ }^{215}$ the spectrum of an astrophysical background intersects ${ }^{216}$ a black curve, then it has an expected $\mathrm{SNR} \geq 1$. In Eq. ${ }^{217}$ 4, $P_{i}(f)$ and $P_{j}(f)$ are the one-sided strain noise power ${ }^{218}$ spectral densities of two detectors; $\gamma_{i j}(f)$ is the normal- ${ }^{219}$ ized isotropic overlap reduction function [41, 42]; and $T^{220}$ is the accumulated coincident observation time. While ${ }^{221}$ Eq. 4 is derived by assuming a Gaussian background [5], ${ }^{222}$ it can also be applied to non-Gaussian backgrounds (with ${ }^{223}$ signals that are clearly separated in time) such as the bi- ${ }^{224}$ nary black hole background considered here [43]. The ${ }^{225}$ different black curves shown in this plot illustrate the ${ }^{226}$ improvement in expected sensitivity in the coming years. 227

Following [35, 39], we consider five different phases, denoted O1 to O5, corresponding to the first five observing runs, summarized in Table I. For clarity, we show only the $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2$, and O 5 power-law integrated curves since the differences between the projected sensitivities for O3, O 4 , and O 5 are relatively small. In Fig. 1b, we plot the expected accumulated SNR for the Fiducial model as a function of total observation time. For both the sensitivity curves and the accumulated SNR, we assume a coincident duty cycle for each pair of detectors of $33 \%$ for O1 (actual) and $50 \%$ for all other runs (predicted). The total background associated with the Fiducial model could be identified with $\mathrm{SNR}=3$, corresponding to false alarm probability $<3 \times 10^{-3}$, after approximately 6 years of observing. In the most optimistic scenario given by statistical uncertainties, the total background could be identified after 1.5 years with $\mathrm{SNR}=3$ and after approximatively 2 years with $\mathrm{SNR}=5$, which is even before design sensitivity is reached. It would take about 2 years of observing to achieve $\mathrm{SNR}=3$ and about 3.5 years for $\mathrm{SNR}=5$ for the optimistic residual background. The most pessimistic case considered here is out of reach of the advanced detector network but is in the scope of third generation detectors, such as the proposed Einstein Telescope [? ] whose sensitivity would enable to reach $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}} \sim 10^{-12}$ after a year of observation [? ? ].
Alternative Models - We now investigate the impact of

TABLE I. Different phases in the evolution of the aLIGO-AdVirgo detector network over the next several years. The aLIGO and AdVirgo noise curves corresponding to high-sensitivity versions of "Early", "Mid", "Late", and "Design" spectra are taken from [35]. Note that AdVirgo did not participate in the O1 observing run, so is not included in the first phase. "Duration" refers to the planned calendar time as opposed to the amount of accumulated data, for which we assume a duty cycle of $33 \%$ for O1 (actual) and $50 \%$ for all other runs (predicted). The last column indicates the signal-to-noise ratio at the end of each phase assuming the Fiducial model and accounting for the range of uncertainty in the rate.

| Observing run | Epoch | Duration (months) | aLIGO sensitivity | AdVirgo sensitivity | SNR (90\% CL) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | $2015-2016$ | 4 | Early | - | $0-0.14$ |
| O2 | $2016-2017$ | 6 | Mid | Early | $0.046-0.81$ |
| O3 | $2017-2018$ | 9 | Late | Mid | $0.19-3.4$ |
| O4 | 2019 | 12 | Design | Late | $0.31-5.6$ |
| O5 | $2020+$ | - | Design | Design | $0.67-12$ |

possible variations on the Fiducial model. We consider ${ }_{264}$ the following alternatives:

- AltSFR differs from the Fiducial model in as- ${ }_{267}$ suming a different SFR proposed by Tornatore $\mathrm{et}_{268}$ al. [44], who combined observations and simulations at higher redshift; the formation rate is assumed ${ }_{269}$ to be proportional to the SFR, with no metallic- ${ }_{270}$ ity threshold. We also considered the Madau $\&_{271}$ Dickinson SFR [24], and found that it produces $\mathrm{an}_{272}$ energy density spectrum that is essentially indis-273 tinguishable from the Fiducial model.
- LongDelay is identical to the Fiducial model but ${ }_{276}^{275}$ assumes a significantly longer minimum time delay 277 $t_{\text {min }}=5 \mathrm{Gyr}$, potentially consistent with binary ${ }_{278}$ black hole formation via the chemically homoge- ${ }_{279}$ neous evolution of rapidly rotating massive stars in ${ }_{280}^{29}$ very tight binaries [45].
- LowMetallicity is the same as Fiducial, but as- ${ }^{282}$ sumes that a significantly lower metallicity is re- ${ }^{283}$ quired to form heavy black holes, with a threshold ${ }^{284}$ of $Z_{c}=Z_{\odot} / 10[14]$.
- FlatDelay assumes a flat time delay distribution, ${ }^{287}$ $\alpha=0$, with $t_{\min }=50 \mathrm{Myr}$ and $t_{\max }=1 \mathrm{Gyr} .^{288}$ This is inspired by the supposition that dynami- ${ }^{289}$ cal formation of the most massive binaries is likely ${ }^{290}$ to happen fairly early in the history of the host ${ }^{291}$ environment.
- ConstRate follows the assumption of [3] in consid-294 ering a redshift-independent merger rate, $R_{m}(z)={ }^{295}$ $16 \mathrm{Gpc}^{-3} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$.
- LowMass is the same as the Fiducial model except ${ }_{298}$ we add a second class of lower-mass binary black299 hole sources corresponding to a smaller range for in-300 dividual detections during O1. As an example, we $3_{01}$ assume a chirp mass of half the mass of GW150914,302 $M_{c}=15 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and a local merger rate of $61 \mathrm{Gpc}^{-3}{ }_{303}$
$\mathrm{yr}^{-1}[4,15]$, corresponding to the second most significant event (LVT151012) identified in [3, 38] with insufficient significance to decisively claim a detection. We assume here that the metallicity threshold is $Z_{c}=\mathrm{Z}_{\odot}$.

Figure 2 shows the impact of alternative models described above. The differences in the spectra of alternative models are not negligible. However, all models considered here fall within the range of statistical uncertainty in the local merger rate estimate relative to the Fiducial model in the sensitive frequency band.

The impact of an alternative star formation rate, as examined through model AltSFR, is particularly small, indicating that the accuracy of SFR models is not a significant source of systematic error in predicting the strength of the gravitational-wave background.

Relative to the Fiducial model, the LongDelay, FlatDelay, and ConstRate models all predict fewer binaries at $z>0$, even though all of these models are constrained to have the same local merger rate $(z=0)$. These latter three models consequently yield a lower energy density. The LowMetallicity model is characterized by a greater high-redshift merger rate than the Fiducial model, with significant merger rates extending out to $z \sim 5-6$. This is because very little of the local Universe has the required low metallicity, so the local mergers come from the long time-delay tail of a large high-redshift population. Consequently, the LowMetallicity model has a higher overall normalization, as well as a different spectral shape at frequencies above 100 Hz due to the redshifting of the dominant high$z$ contribution to the gravitational-wave background to lower frequencies.

Relative to the Fiducial model, the LowMass model shows a greater energy density at all frequencies, particularly at high frequencies due to the signals from lowermass binaries. This model indicates that if there is a significant rate of mergers of binaries with smaller masses than GW150914, their contribution to the gravitationalwave energy density spectrum could be significant. The


FIG. 2. Energy density spectra for the different models sum-350 marized in the text. The pink shaded region "Poisson" shows351 the $90 \%$ CL statistical uncertainty propagated from the local ${ }_{352}$ rate measurement, on the Fiducial model. The black dashed ${ }_{353}$ curve shows the design sensitivity of the network of Advanced ${ }^{35}$
LIGO [1, 2] and Virgo [36, 37]; see Tab. I. If the astrophysical ${ }^{354}$ background spectrum intersects with the dashed black line, it ${ }^{355}$ has expected $\mathrm{SNR} \geq 1$.
delta-function mass distributions assumed in all models ${ }^{359}$ are motivated by the observed candidates, but are not ${ }^{360}$ realistic. We have analyzed two alternative broad mass ${ }^{361}$ distributions considered in [15], flat in the log-mass of the ${ }^{362}$ component black holes and a Salpeter-like mass function ${ }^{363}$ for the larger black hole with a flat mass ratio; these yield ${ }^{364}$ broadly consistent energy densities. We have not carried ${ }^{365}$ out a systematic study of black hole spin. Measurements ${ }^{366}$ of GW150914 prefer small values of spin in the direction ${ }^{367}$ of orbital momentum, but spins in the orbital plane are ${ }^{368}$ not constrained. Preliminary studies carried out as part ${ }^{369}$ of this investigation suggest that $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f)$ could change ${ }^{370}$ by a factor of $\lesssim 2$ for models including spin.

Conclusions and discussion - The detection of gravita-373 tional waves from GW150914 is consistent with the ex-374 istence of high-mass binary black hole mergers with $\mathrm{a}_{375}$ coalescence rate of tens per $\mathrm{Gpc}^{3}$ per year. As a con-376 sequence, the stochastic background from binary black ${ }_{377}$ holes is expected to be at the higher end of previous ${ }_{378}$ predictions (see, e.g., $[7-13]$ ). We have shown that, for ${ }_{379}$ the Fiducial field model, the energy density spectrum 380 is $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f=25 \mathrm{~Hz})=1.1_{-0.9}^{+2.7} \times 10^{-9}$ with $90 \%$ confi-381 dence. This, in turn, implies that the background may ${ }_{32}$ be measured by the network of advanced LIGO and Virgo ${ }_{333}$ detectors operating at or near their final sensitivity. The $3_{34}$ uncertainty in this prediction arises from the statistical $3_{35}$ uncertainty in the local merger rate estimate.

Our predictions are subject to statistical fluctuations387 in the observed $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}}(f)$ due to random realizations of the ${ }_{38}$
binaries that coalesce during the observing run. These fluctuations are much smaller than the current local merger uncertainty [43]. The predictions may also be conservative. Throughout, we have assumed the use of the standard cross-correlation statistic, which is known to be sub-optimal for non-Gaussian backgrounds [46]. The development of more sensitive non-Gaussian pipelines may hasten the detection of the binary black hole background [47-49].

We have examined several alternative models for the merger rate evolution with redshift, representative of the uncertainties in the formation channels for high-mass binary black holes. We find that all of these variations lie within the envelope of the uncertain local rate normalization in the $10-50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ band, as illustrated in Fig. 2. The power-law slope of the spectrum in this frequency band is not expected to deviate from $2 / 3$ unless there is a significant contribution from sources with high total mass merging at high redshift, $M(1+z) \gtrsim 200 M_{\odot}$. This illustrates the robustness of the predicted amplitude and power-law slope of the energy density spectrum.

However, this also implies that the stochastic background measurement with Advanced LIGO and Virgo detectors can only constrain the amplitude of the background power law in the $10-50 \mathrm{~Hz}$ sensitive frequency band. The sensitivity of this search at the $2 \sigma$ level will correspond to $\Omega_{\mathrm{GW}} \sim 10^{-9}$ at 25 Hz with the fullsensitivity network of the Advanced LIGO/Virgo detectors. Therefore, the stochastic search alone will not be able to distinguish between different model variations that have a similar effect on the spectrum in the $10-50$ Hz band. Future measurements of individual binary coalescences will help break at least some of these degeneracies, by providing a better estimate of the local merger rate and chirp mass distribution. Combining the two types of measurements (stochastic and individual coalescence event) could therefore help distinguish between different astrophysical formation scenarios for binary black holes [50], but the full potential of this approach may only be reached using third generation of gravitational-wave detectors.

Finally, gravitational waves from distant BBH mergers may be a foreground noise source for the detection of a cosmological background from the early epochs of the Universe in the frequency band of ground-based detectors. However, this astrophysical background has a different spectral shape and different statistical properties (non-continuous and non-Gaussian) that could be used, in principle, to distinguish it from the primordial background.
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