

From public alerts to gravitational-wave candidates during the LIGO-Virgo third observation run O3

Nicolas Arnaud

► To cite this version:

Nicolas Arnaud. From public alerts to gravitational-wave candidates during the LIGO-Virgo third observation run O3. 40th International Conference on High Energy Physics - ICHEP 2020, Jul 2020, Prague (virtual), Czech Republic. in2p3-03999155

HAL Id: in2p3-03999155 https://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-03999155

Submitted on 21 Feb 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. From public alerts to gravitational-wave candidates during the LIGO-Virgo third observation run O3

Nicolas Arnaud (<u>narnaud@lal.in2p3.fr</u>)

Laboratoire de Physique des Deux Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie (Université Paris-Saclay & CNRS/IN2P3) European Gravitational Observatory (Consortium, CNRS & INFN)

On behalf of the Virgo Collaboration and the LIGO Scientific Collaboration VIR-0158A-20 DCC G2000184



LIGO Scientific Collaboration

ICHEP – July 29, 2020









IIII EGO GRAVITATIONAL OBSERVATORY







Outline

- Detecting gravitational waves with the global LIGO-Virgo network
- The LIGO-Virgo third Observing Run: O3
- Detector Characterization and Data Quality
- Public alerts
 - Dataflow and associated latency
 - Vetting alerts in real time with data quality reports
 - Statistics for O3
- Outlook
 - The path to the fourth Observing Run: O4



https://gwevents.ego-gw.it/counter

nos, etc.) expected

3

Gravitational waves (GW) in a nutshell

- One of the first predictions of general relativity (GR, 1916)
 - Accelerated masses induce perturbations of the fabric of the spacetime, propagating at the speed of light – 'speed of gravity'
- Traceless and transverse (tensor) waves
 - 2 polarizations in GR: «+» and «×»
 - Quadrupolar radiation
 - \rightarrow Deviation from axisymmetry to emit GW
- GW strain h
 - Dimensionless, scales like 1/distance
- Detectors directly sensitive to h
 - \rightarrow Small sensitivity gains can lead to large improvements in event rate
- Rough classification
 - Signal duration
 - Frequency range
 - Known/unknown waveform
 - Any/no counterpart (electromagnetic spectrum, neutrinos, etc.) expected

Detectable by the instruments



Example (*): the Advanced Virgo detector

- Suspended, power-recycled Michelson interferometer with 3-km long Fabry-Perot cavities in the arms
- Working point
 - Michelson on the dark fringe
 - All Fabry-Perot cavities resonant
 - → Feedback control systems acting on the mirror positions and on the laser
- GW passing through
 - Differential effect on the arm optical paths
 - → Change of interference condition at the detector output
 - \rightarrow Variation of the detected power
- Sensitivity limited by noises
 - Fundamental
 - Technical
 - Environmental

Continuous struggle: design, improvement,

noise hunting, mitigation



^(*) LIGO detectors are conceptually the same

4

The LIGO-Virgo global network

- A single interferometer is not enough to detect GW with certainty
 - Difficult to separate confidently a potential signal from noise
- \rightarrow Need to use a network of interferometers
 - 2nd generation: « Advanced »
 - LIGO Hanford: 2015
 - LIGO Livingston: 2015
 - Virgo: 2017
 - GEO-600: « Astrowatch » + R&D
 - KAGRA: 2020+
 - LIGO-India: coming decade



- Agreements (MOUs) between the different projects Virgo/LIGO: since 2007
 - Share data, common analysis, publish together

Virgo-LIGO/KAGRA: 2019

- Interferometers are non-directional detectors
 - Sensitive to a significant fraction of the sky but non-uniform response
 - Time delays for the signal arrival in the different instruments: O(few ms)
 - \rightarrow Threefold detection: reconstruct source location in the sky

The O3 schedule

- Early plan
 - 12 months of data taking: $2019/04 \rightarrow 2020/04$
 - 2 chunks of 6 months (O3a and O3b) + 1-month commissioning break (2019/10)
- Then came the pandemic...
 - O3 run globally suspended on March 27
 - Later decision not to start an « O3c » and to focus on the O3-O4 upgrades



O3 performance

• 3-Detector network duty cycle



- O2-O3 sensitivity improvement for Virgo
 - Significant progress for the LIGO detectors as well



Detector characterization and data quality

- « DetChar » groups
 - Experiment-specific but collaborating closely
 - Same goals, similar issues, tools sharing
- \rightarrow Interacting with many groups, on different critical paths at various stages / latencies



Dataflow: from raw data to detections

• Three main pillars

Global data quality for offline analysis



Plus monitoring: online & offline products

- More information: <u>https://emfollow.docs.ligo.org/userguide</u>
- LIGO-Virgo data are jointly analyzed in real-time
 - Modelled searches: compact binary coalescences
 - Unmodelled searches: « bursts » (Type-II supernovae, etc.)
 - Coincidence with external triggers (γ ray bursts)
 - \rightarrow Twofold goal

 - DetectLocalize
- potential transient GW signals
- Arrival time delays in the different detectors
- Waveform distorsions

- When a significant-enough candidate is found
 - False-alarm rate lower than 1 / O(few months)
- \rightarrow Alert sent to astronomers in order to search for counterparts
 - Through NASA's Gamma-ray Coordinates Network (GCN)



• Alert flow



- Human vetting for all alerts during O3
 - On-call experts (run coordinators, pipelines, DetChar, offline, etc.) notified

O3 median values

- \rightarrow Rapid response team meeting convoked right away
- Public alerts can be retracted
- Actual latencies:
 - ~few minutes for preliminary
 - ~few tens of minutes for alerts
 - Quicker decision in average to retract an alert

- Gravitational-Wave Candidate Event Database: « GraceDB »
 - https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/public/O3
 - \rightarrow Online classification + skymap

| | | | GraceDE | 6 — Gravitat | ional-Way | e Candidate Event Database |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| HOME | PUBLIC ALERTS | SEARCH LATES | ST DOCUME | NTATION | | |
| LIGO/V | rirgo O3 Pu ndidates: 56 | ıblic Alerts | | | | |
| SORT: EVENT ID | (A-Z) * | | | | | |
| Event ID | Possible Source (Probability) | UTC | GCN | Location | FAR | Comments |
| <u>5200316bj</u> | MassGap (>99%) | March 16, 2020 21:57:56 UTC | <u>GCN Circulars</u> <u>Notices</u> <u>VOE</u> | | 1 per 446.44 years | |
| <u>5200311bg</u> | BBH (>99%) | March 11, 2020 11:58:53 UTC | <u>GCN Circulars</u> <u>Notices</u> <u>VOE</u> | | 1 per 3.5448e+17 years | |
| <u>5200308e</u> | NSBH (83%), Terrestrial (17%) | March 8, 2020 01:19:27 UTC | <u>GCN Circulars</u> <u>Notices</u> <u>VOE</u> | | 1 per 8.757 years | RETRACTED |
| <u>5200303ba</u> | BBH (86%), Terrestrial (14%) | March 3, 2020 12:15:48 UTC | <u>GCN Circulars</u> <u>Notices</u> <u>VOE</u> | | 1 per 2.4086 years | RETRACTED |
| <u>5200302c</u> | BBH (89%), Terrestrial (11%) | March 2, 2020 01:58:11 UTC | <u>GCN Circulars</u> <u>Notices</u> <u>VOE</u> | | 1 per 3.3894 years | |





Data quality reports: vetting the alerts

- Triggers produced by online pipelines create new entries in GraceDB
- These triggers generate alerts that are received at the sites
- Alerts significant enough trigger a Data Quality Report (DQR)
 - Generation
 - Configuration
 - Running on EGO HTCondor farm
- Results of the checks are
 - stored locally for expert vetting and
 - sent back to GraceDB, in order to be associated with the original trigger



- At the end of O3: 34 checks, 99 jobs in total
 - Configuration) / Running / Postprocessing / Upload back to GraceDB
- Key checks
 - Virgo detector configuration
 - Time-frequency spectrograms of the GW strain channel
 - Superimpose trigger template track when possible
 - Scan of the main online data quality flags
- Virgo noise characterization
 - Noise transients
 - Look for noise correlations (time)
 - Browse noise coherences (frequency)
 - Noise Gaussianity and stationary
- Virgo status
 - Complete data quality flags scan
 - Browse online process logfiles to search for errors
 - Snapshot of the global monitoring system displaying alarms and warnings
 - Data/reference comparison plots

- Environment status
 - Local earthquakes
 - Weather, sea activity

- Misc.
 - Check of the event GPS time

- O(15,000) DQRs generated during O3 to respond to all GraceDB alerts
 - ~10% had false alarm rate low enough (still much higher than public alert threshold) to have their DQR fully processed automatically
 - → Overall: extremely reliable framework
 - Continuous development during O3
 - Bug fixes, code improvement, feedback from user, additional features
 - New checks added
- Each DQR has its own summary webpage allowing to browse results
 - Color code
 - Hierarchical structure
 - Buttons leading to more information and some documentation
- → Original framework
 developed in LIGO
 Reused on Virgo

| Data Event: GPS = 1249852257.012957 (; | a Quality F 2019-08-14 21:10:39.0125 | Report for S1908 957+00:00 UTC) - DQR generation sta | 814bv arting at 2019-08-14 21:17:39+00:00 UTC |
|--|---|---|--|
| Clickable buttons: | GraceDB event | GraceDB joint LIGO-Virgo DQR | Condor monitoring |
| Color caption: [Data OK] | fail human_inp not OK] [No automate | error or bad_state [Code crash or processing problem] | missing [Check still running] higher latency tier |
| Virgo DQR documentation: | Checks FAQ | Instructions for shifters and RRT | LIGO DQR documentation: Introduction |
| THE MOST IMPOR Brute-force coherence reports (bruco) Is the c Virgo data quality antiflags Virgo data quality (What was the Virgo noise stationarity while the candida | RTANT CHECKS: TO BE (candidate GPS time in Virg flags Virgo glitch (ate signal was observed? | CHECKED FIRST | go systems UPV on last 24 hours |
| What was the status of the environment around Virgo a Virgo status (process: virgo_status) (V1) | at the time of the candidate | ?? | |

• Virgo detector status



UTC date

• Time-frequency spectrograms





- Detector monitoring system
 - Snapshot recorded every 10 seconds
 - \rightarrow Full tree / hierarchical structure

| # DMS | | | | | ITF | Mode: Scienc | 00 (Od ih i3m 14s) | ITF Stat | e: LOW_NO | ISE_3_SQZ | (0d 6h 40m 49s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|
| | | SIB1_B | ENCH | | 1_BR | SIB1 | | SI | | SI | | | |
| Toda attac | | MC_I | PAY | | | MC_ | | N | | M | | | |
| Injection | | Laser LaserAn | | pli LaserChil | | SL_TempController | | RFC | | | LNFS | | |
| | MC_Power | PST | AB | IMC | C_AA | IMC_AA | GALVO | м | C_F0_z | | BPC | | BPC_Electr |
| | PD | QPD_ | B1p | QPI | D_B2 | QPE | D_85 | | омс | Pic | oDisable | | Shutter |
| Detection | SDB1_IP | SDB1 | _LC | SDB | 1_BR | SDB1 | _Vert | SC | DB1_TE | SDI | 31_Guard | | SDB1_Electr |
| E | B2_8MHz_DPHI B4_ | 56MHz_DPHI | DARM_UGF | UNLC | оск : | SSFS_UGF | FmodEr | г | Etalon | GIPC | D | ARM_Corr | EQ_Mode |
| ISC | B1p_DC E | 4_112MHz_MAG | B7_D | с | B8_DC | LSC | _rms | ASC_rm | s | DIFFp_AA | 50F | lz_FF | ViolinModes |
| | BS_IP | BS_F7 | | BS_PAY | E | IS_BR | BS_V | 'ert | BS_T | E | BS_Gua | rd | BS_Electr |
| | NI_IP | NI_F7 | | NI_PAY | 1 | NI_BR | NI_V | ert | NI_T | E | NI_Gua | rd | NI_Electr |
| | NE_IP | NE_F7 | | NE_PAY | N | IE_BR | NE_V | 'ert | NE_T | E | NE_Gua | rd | NE_Electr |
| Suspensions | PR_IP | PR_F7 | | PR_PAY | F | PR_BR | PR_V | ert | PR_T | E | PR_Gua | rd | PR_Electr |
| | SR_IP | SR_F7 | | SR_PAY | 9 | SR_BR | SR_V | 'ert | SR_T | E | SR_Gua | rd | SR_Electr |
| | WI_IP | WI_F7 | | WI_PAY | V | VI_BR | WI_V | /ert | wI_T | E | WI_Gua | rd | WI_Electr |
| | WE_IP | WE_F7 | | WE_PAY | v | /E_BR | WE_V | /ert | WE_1 | TE | WE_Gua | ırd | WE_Electr |
| | CB_Hall | MC_Hall | Т | CS_zones | N | E_Hall | WE_H | Hall | WindAct | tivity | Seismo | n | BRMSMon |
| Environment | INJ_Area | DET_Area | EE_Roo | om | DAQ_Room | Exte | ernal | DeadChan | inel | Lights | Sea/ | Activity | WAB |
| | ACS_CB_Hall ACS | TCS_CHILRO | ACS_TB | ACS_DAC | 2_Room AC | S_EE_Room | ACS_M | C 4 | ACS_INJ | ACS_DE | T . | ACS_NE | ACS_WAB |
| Infrastructures | UPS_TB | UPS_CB | UPS_MC | UPS_ | _NE | UPS_WE | FlatChanr | nel Exi | istChannel | ACS_W | E AC | CS_CB_CR | ACS_COB |
| SBE | EIB_SBE | SDB2_SBE | SDB2_ | LC | SNEB_SBE | SNE | B_LC | SWEB_SE | 3E | SWEB_LC | SPR | B_SBE | SPRB_LC |
| TCS | NE_RH | | WE_RH | | NI_CO2_ | Laser | wi_o | CO2_Laser | | Chillers | | | TCS_Electr |
| sqz | PLL | Sque | ezer | SQ: | Z_AA | sqz_s | Shutter | Cot | he_CTRL | 9 | iQZ_Inj | | Rack_TE |
| | LargeValves | Clean_Air | Tu | beStations | Tub | ePumps | MiniTo | wers | TurboLi | nks | RemDryP | MP | VAC_SERVOS |
| Vacuum | Pressure | Compressed | Air To | werServers | Tow | erPumps | CryoT | rap | O2_Sen | sors | Tank | | HLS |
| De | etectorSEnvironme | ControlRoom | Minitow | ers | ISC | Inje | ction | TCS | s | uspension | Va | cuum | Metatron |
| VPM | DetectorMonitori | ng D | ataCollection | | Stora | ge | Dai | taAccess | | Automati | on | | DetChar |
| VPM_LL_Transfer | | LowLatencyD | ataTransfer-R | ealLiveData | 1 | | | | Broa | dcastOnlineD | ataForCWB | | |
| | Latency | Disk | | Timing | Tim | ing_rtpc | Timing | _dsp | Fast_C | AC | ADCs_T | E | Daq_Boxes_TE |
| DAQ-Computing | Domains | DMS_machines | DetOp_ma | chines | olservers | rtı | ocs | CoilSwitchBo | oxes II | NF_devices | ENV_ | devices | VAC_devices |
| Calib_Hrec | CalNE | CalWE | CalINJ | Call | BS | CalPR | PCalNE | | PCalWE | HOFT | | NCAL | NoiseInjection |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| ITFOnCall | | Te | | | | | 1 | | | Generato | | | TcsAl |

- Environment
 - Wind and seismic motion



| | Event | Reference |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Band RMS: 0.0 Hz -> 5000.0 Hz | 7.516e-07 au | 6.465e-07 au |

- Control signal spectra
 - Comparison to reference

[au/vHz]

SD

- 80 public alerts in O3
 - 24 retracted
 - Most of them are due to noise transient / unusual data quality condition that a single pipeline was not read to deal with
 - → Fixed quickly and not recurring again
 - 56 not retracted

- Comparison O2-O3
 - Good agreement between O3a and O3b



First published detections from O3

- GW190425
 - Likely the second binary neutron star merger detected but no counterpart
 - Total mass larger than any known neutron star
- GW190412
 - Asymmetric binary black hole merger: 30 vs. 8 solar masses
 - First observation of GW higher multipoles beyond the leading quadrupolar order
- GW190814
 - System more asymetric than GW190412 9:1 mass ratio
 - Uncertain nature of the secondary component
 - \rightarrow Heaviest neutron star in a binary system or lighter black hole
- More to come
 - Individual events if separate analysis warranted
 - New issue of the GW transient catalog
 - Many searches ongoing on the full O3 dataset
- → Open data: Gravitational Wave Open Science Center(GWOSC)
 - <u>https://www.gw-openscience.org</u>

The path to O4: the « Advanced Plus » detectors

- Shutdown period post-O3 to prepare the 4th Observation Run O4
 - New series of upgrades: « Advanced detectors » → « Advanced Plus detectors »
- Early, pre-pandemic, planning

"2021/2022 – 2022/2023: 4-detector network with the two LIGO instruments at 160–190 Mpc; Phase 1 of AdV+ at 90–120 Mpc and KAGRA at 25–130 Mpc. The projected sensitivities and precise dates of this run are now being actively planned and remain fluid."



- Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the schedule is being actively studied
 - \rightarrow Stay tuned by subscribing to the OpenLVEM forum
 - <u>https://wiki.gw-astronomy.org/OpenLVEM</u>

Outlook

- Successful O3 run for the LIGO-Virgo network
 - In spite of the premature end due to the covid-19 pandemic
- Collaborations now focused towards O4
 - Upgrade plans
 - Updated schedules being worked on
 - → OpenLVEM forum: <u>https://wiki.gw-astronomy.org/OpenLVEM</u>
- O4 run
 - At least as long as O3
 - Goal: improved sensitivity (and duty cycle)
 - KAGRA joining the network
- \rightarrow More events / alerts expected
 - Decisions more automated
 - Lower latencies expected
 - Additional tools / developments needed to help separating signals from noise

